

RESPONSE OF GROWTH AND PRODUCTION OF RED AND GREEN OKRA PLANTS TO EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZATION

Abstract

The effect of nitrogen on growth, and development of plants, metabolism, dry matter production has been well investigated. Extensive research has been conducted on organic fertilizers especially, i.e. the compost. This study investigated the effect of compost on okra pods yield, nitrogen agronomic use efficiency, supplied of nitrogen recovery efficiency and supplied nitrogen physiological efficiency in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). A completely randomized experimental design of 2x4 factorial patterns with three replications was used in this study. Factor (I) was the okra species (Green okra - Red okra) and factor (II) was the doses of compost (0, 50, 100 and 150 kg N ha⁻¹). Kind of okra has different effects on the parameters observed. Results showed that green okra and red okra showed increased yield due to the increase in the nitrogen dose through compost. Agronomic use efficiency of green okra and red okra increased with increasing doses of compost. The nitrogen recovery efficiency of green okra and red okra also, increased with increasing doses of compost. Nitrogen physiological efficiency of green okra and red okra increased with increasing compost doses. An increase in compost doses resulted in increased nitrogen uptake, pods yield, Agronomic efficiency, nitrogen recovery efficiency and nitrogen physiological efficiency of both green and red okra. Increased compost doses resulted in decreased nitrogen use efficiency in green okra. Green okra is more efficient in using organic nitrogen from compost.

Keywords: Agronomic efficiency, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench, compost, Pods yield, Nitrogen use efficiency.

INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench) is a member of the Malvaceae family important vegetable growing in tropical and subtropical regions of the world consisting of about 2,300 species consisting of cotton (*Gossypium spp.*) and cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) (Aliyu and Ajala, 2016). Important vegetable crops are grown in tropical and subtropical regions of the world (Gemede *et al.*, 2015). The

nutritional composition of edible okra includes crude fiber (27.33%) and total ash (14.05%) (**Adewole and Ilesanmi, 2011**). The growth and edible green pods yield of okra depends on many factors including seed quality, nutrition, climatic conditions and cultural practices (**Shahid *et al.*, 2013**). The okra plant is rich in nutrients, and its leaves and green pods are consumed as vegetables in the diet. In addition, okra has many therapeutic benefits: antidiabetic, antihyperlipidemic, antioxidant activity, and prevention of diseases associated with cell damage, treating dysentery, and diarrhea (**Degni *et al.*, 2021**).

Organic fertilizers such as manure, poultry manure and vermin-compost are very active and important for the soil. It provides most of the macro and micronutrients, protects the soil against erosion, supplies the cementing agent for the formation of the desired soil aggregates and loosens the soil. The application of vermin-compost and poultry manure further increases the yield and yield attributes of okra (**Chowdhury *et al.*, 2014**). Organic matter in the form of compost is the decomposition of plant biomass that has been described through the activity of soil microorganisms. Green waste (GW) is an important part of municipal waste (MSW). The composition of GW varies greatly due to the diversity of source materials, vegetation types, and climatic conditions. C/N ratio higher than 25, deficit of essential nutrients, namely, i.e. nitrogen (0.5-1.5 %), phosphorus (0.1-0.2 %) and potassium (0.4-0.8 %) and high content of stubborn organic compounds (lignin) (**Reyes-Torres *et al.*, 2018**).

Based on the description above, the researchers conducted a study on the effect of green waste compost to try to produce two types of okra, the stove, and the efficiency of nitrogen use. The objectives of this research were: (1) the effect of giving compost on okra crop yield, (2) the optimum dose of compost that needed to be given.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted from January to May, 2017 in the experimental garden of the Faculty of Animal Husbandry and Agriculture, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia. The research location is at an altitude of 250 m above sea level. The soil at the experimental site had Oxisol, clay texture, soil pH 6.5, N total 0.18%, P total 0.18%, K₂O 0.23% and C 1.52%. Compost consists of N total 1.32%, P total 0.18%, K₂O 0.85 and C 11.51%. Plot size 3x3 square meters. Okra plants were planted with a spacing of 50×50 cm. The seeds used are green okra and red okra. A completely randomized trial design with a 2x4 factorial pattern with three replications was used in this study. Factor (I) was the type of okra (green okra, red okra), and factor (II) was the compost dose (0, 50, 100 and 150 kg N ha⁻¹). Okra plants were harvested when the edible green pods length reached to 10 cm.

Nitrogen absorption by plants is calculated according to the following formula:-

Absorption N = N × weight DM

Total nitrogen content was measured according to the Kjeldahl method. Nitrogen utilization efficiency defined as okra pods dry matter yield/ per unit applied nitrogen; agronomic efficiency (AE), plant recovery efficiency of applied nitrogen, and physiological efficiency of applied nitrogen were calculated as described by **Zhu *et al.*, (2017)**, **Ding *et al.*, (2018)** with modifications for okra plants, according to the following equations:-

Agronomic Efficiency = (grain yield in plots receiving N fertilizer - grain in control zero N)/ amount of N fertilizer applied.

The plant recovery efficiency of applied nitrogen (NRE) % = total plant N uptake in aboveground biomass, respectively, with fertilizer application N (kg ha⁻¹)

- total plant N uptake in aboveground biomass, respectively, in the treatment of N removal (kg ha^{-1})/ the amount of fertilizer N applied (kg ha^{-1}).

The physiological efficiency of applied nitrogen (NPE) is an index of the physiological efficiency of nitrogen absorbed defined as the ratio of kg grain production to kg of nitrogen absorbed in the production of above-ground dry matter (okra and straw) at maturity.

Statistical analysis: All data were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for a completely randomized block design and a real difference test was used for mean separation ($P < 0.05$) following the procedure of **Steel and Torrie (1990)**.

RESULTS

Okra edible green pods:

In this study, compost applied as organic fertilizer resulted in a significant increase in the physical and biochemical parameters of experimental plants compared to control plants given organic fertilizer without a compost (control). In addition, the addition of compost can increase the organic content of the soil. The ANOVA results showed a significant interaction effect between okra species and different compost doses in terms of okra edible green pods. Different okra types and compost dosage significantly affected the yields associated with okra pods. Green okra increased about 23.22% to 118.78% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha^{-1} compared to no compost. Red okra increased 13.3% to 60.83% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha^{-1} compared to no compost dose (Table 1).

Nitrogen edible green pods:

Different types of okra and compost dosage had a significant effect on pods nitrogen (Table 1). Green okra pods nitrogen increased with increasing compost dose, which increased 14.6% to 119.7% at a supplementary dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ of compost nitrogen compared to no compost dose. Red okra pods nitrogen increased with increasing compost dose, which increased from 14.17% to 68.0% at 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ of compost nitrogen supplementation compared to no compost dose.

Table (1): Effect of kind of okra, N doses of compost and their interaction on leaf area index, okra pods yield and rootstock, nitrogen content in okra pods, nitrogen content in stover, nitrogen use efficiency and agronomic efficiency due to compost fertilization.

Type of okra	N doses of compost (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Leaf area index (LAI)	Pods yield (kg/ 9m ²)	Straw yield (kg/9 m ²)	N pods content (g/ kg)	N straw content (g/kg)
Green okra	0	0.34 ^d	6.830 ^d	7.28 ^b	191.45 ^d	188.06 ^{bc}
	50	0.50 ^c	8.416 ^c	7.39 ^b	219.41 ^c	178.70 ^c
	100	0.62 ^c	9.983 ^b	8.72 ^{ab}	280.92 ^b	192.18 ^{bc}
	150	0.82 ^b	14.943 ^a	10.29 ^a	420.72 ^a	226.26 ^{ab}
Red okra	0	0.27 ^d	2.750 ^e	7.29 ^b	73.08 ^f	188.37 ^{bc}
	50	0.78 ^b	3.116 ^f	7.83 ^b	85.16 ^f	234.11 ^{ab}
	100	0.79 ^b	3.290 ^f	7.64 ^b	88.19 ^f	198.11 ^{bc}
	150	0.98 ^a	4.423 ^f	9.26 ^a	122.78 ^e	251.32 ^a
Green okra		0.57 ^b	10.043 ^a	8.42 ^a	278.12 ^a	196.30 ^b
Red okra		0.71 ^a	3.395 ^b	8.14 ^a	92.31 ^b	217.98 ^a
	0	0.31 ^c	4.790 ^d	7.28 ^b	132.26 ^d	188.22 ^b
	50	0.64 ^b	5.767 ^c	7.61 ^b	152.28 ^c	206.41 ^{ab}
	100	0.70 ^b	6.636 ^b	8.17 ^b	184.55 ^b	195.14 ^b
	150	0.90 ^a	9.683 ^a	10.06 ^a	271.75 ^a	238.79 ^a
Kind of Okra		*	*	*	*	*
N compost dosage		*	*	*	*	*
Okra*Compost		*	*	*	*	*

Straw yield of okra

The type of okra did not significantly affect the yield of straw (Table 1). The dose of compost had a significant effect on the production of straw. The production

of green okra straw increased with increasing compost dose, which increased 1.51% to 41.34 5% at the addition of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ of compost nitrogen dose compared to no compost dose. The production of red okra straw increased by 7.44% to 27.02% with 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ dose of nitrogen compost compared to no compost dose.

Straw nitrogen of okra

The type of okra had a significant effect on the nitrogen yield of straw. The compost dose showed a significant effect on straw nitrogen (Table 1). Nitrogen absorption by green okra increased with increasing compost dose, which increased by 2.19% to 20.31% at a supplementary dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ of compost nitrogen compared to no compost dose. The nitrogen content of red okra increased by 24.28% to 33.41% after GWC nitrogen supplementation at a dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ compared to no compost dose.

Nitrogen Use Efficiency

Different types of okra and compost dosage significantly affected NUE (Table 2). NUE of green okra decreased with increasing compost dose by 41% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹. NUE of red okra also decreased with compost dose, which decreased by 47% to 53% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹.

Agronomic Efficiency (AE)

Different types of okra and compost dosage significantly affected agronomic efficiency. Agronomic efficiency (AE) of green okra increased with increasing compost dose, which increased from 99% to 411.6% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹. The agronomic efficiency of red okra increased with increasing compost dose, which increased from 48% to 360%

after supplementation of compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹. Different types of okra and compost dosage significantly affected the agronomic efficiency.

Applied Nitrogen Recovery Efficiency (NRE)

The type of okra and the dose of compost had a significant effect on NRE (Table 2). The NRE of green okra increased with increasing compost dose. NRE of red okra increased with increasing compost dose at a supplementary dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ of compost nitrogen.

Applied Nitrogen Physiological Efficiency (NPE)

Okra types and compost dosages differed significantly in terms of NPE (Table 2). The NPE of green okra increased with increasing compost dose, which increased from 56% to 170.45% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹. NPE of red okra increased with increasing compost dose, which increased from 36.36% to 669.09% after supplementation with compost nitrogen dose of 50 to 150 kg N .ha⁻¹.

NPE is a dry matter above the soil, namely nitrogen fertilizer minus DM in the control divided by nitrogen fertilizer minus control nitrogen. The value of NPE in both types of okra increased with increasing nitrogen dose. This implies that the Nitrogen originating in the compost is capable of overhauling and using plants to provide plant physiological growth. For green okra, there was an increase in NPE by 55% to 166% due to compost from 100 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹. In red okra, there was an increase from 36% to 672.7% at a dose of 100 to 150 kg N ha⁻¹ of compost.

Table (2): Effect of kind of okra, N doses of compost and their interaction on efficiency of nitrogen use, agronomic efficiency, recovery efficiency of applied nitrogen and physiological efficiency of applied nitrogen due to compost fertilization.

Type of Okra	N doses of compost (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE)	Agronomic Efficiency (AE)	N Recovery Efficiency (NRE)	Nitrogen Physiological Efficiency (NPE)
Green okra	0	0.00 ^e	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^e	0.00 ^f
	50	4.21 ^a	4.36 ^c	37.05 ^{de}	0.17 ^d
	100	2.49 ^b	8.66 ^b	93.65 ^{bc}	0.27 ^c
	150	2.49 ^b	22.30 ^a	178.27 ^a	0.47 ^b
Red okra	0	0.00 ^e	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^e	0.00 ^f
	50	1.58 ^c	1.00 ^d	105.42 ^b	0.08 ^{de}
	100	0.82 ^d	1.48 ^d	39.28 ^{cde}	0.14 ^e
	150	0.73 ^d	4.60 ^c	75.10 ^{bcd}	0.84 ^a
Green okra Red okra		2.29 ^a	8.83 ^a	77.24 ^a	0.23 ^a
		0.79 ^b	1.77 ^b	54.95 ^a	0.26 ^a
	0	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^d	0.00 ^c	0.00 ^d
	50	2.89 ^a	2.68 ^c	71.24 ^b	0.13 ^c
	100	1.65 ^b	5.07 ^b	66.47 ^b	0.20 ^b
	150	1.61 ^b	13.45 ^a	126.68 ^a	0.66 ^a
Kind of Okra N compost dosage Okra*Compost		*	*	*	*
		*	*	*	*
		*	*	*	*

Discussion

The beneficial effects of organic fertilizers are due to their potential to promote microbial activity, increase nutrient availability and enhance plant photosynthesis. In addition, the addition of organic fertilizers to the soil not only increases the availability of direct nutrients but also functions as a slow-release fertilizer to provide N, P, and K. The nitrogen content of the soil affects plant growth. Plant roots absorb soil nitrogen, especially nitrate (NO₃⁻) and ammonium (NH₄⁺), and then assimilate this inorganic nitrogen into the amino acid glutamine starting with the conversion of nitrate to ammonium (Goron and Raizada, 2017). Nitrogen release from most of these sources is slow and highly dependent on

mineralization processes in the soil, which are sensitive to various soil conditions, including humidity and temperature (**Tiemens-Hulscher *et al.*, 2014**). Based on **Purbajanti *et al.*, (2019)** that food crop biomass and N accumulation depend on physiological processes in plants. N absorption, C intake and growth rate, as well as C and N allocation in organs and in plants have their own characteristics. In soil conditions with large amounts of available N, plant N uptake depends on the availability and distribution of soil N and on roots. If the available N content is sufficient, then the N uptake is highly dependent on the rate of plant growth. Plant growth and development requires nitrogen because nitrogen is an important part of the structure of chloroplasts and proteins. Nitrogen deficiency is easily recognized in horticultural crops, but the effect of excess nitrogen on horticultural crop quality has not been studied.

Nitrogen is an important input factor required for plant growth and biomass production. The nitrogen concentration in the dry matter of straw increased linearly from 0.32% to 0.71% over the nitrogen treatment range (nitrogen supply levels ranging from 48 to 288 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹) (**Murozuka *et al.*, 2014**). Organic fertilizers are applied in the form of compost, and hence, large amounts of nitrogen are not available. Green okra shows greater value than red okra. Environmental factors such as temperature, rainfall, and texture affect the efficiency of nitrogen use, because they affect plant growth and development. Plant management includes parameters such as plant density, plant spatial planning, nitrogen fertilizer dosage, fertilization, and water (**Benincasa *et al.*, 2011**). Nitrogen utilization efficiency (NUE) can be defined as yield per unit of nitrogen available to plants (**Wang and Peng, 2017**), and it is the term used to indicate the relative balance between the amount of N fertilizer absorbed and used by plants. Crop versus the amount of “lost” N fertilizer. Another way of thinking about NUE is in terms of the amount of

grain harvested versus N supplied (**Ayneband *et al.*, 2012**). Based on the results of this study, NUE decreased due to the addition of compost dose, while for red okra NUE increased from 41% to 53% with the given compost dose. It seems appropriate to investigate cereals that are only able to take up and use 30% to 50% of the available nitrogen (**Beatty and Wong, 2017**). Four commonly used agronomic indices to describe nutrient efficiency: partial factor productivity, i.e. kg yield per kg nutrient, are applied; agronomic efficiency, namely increasing yields per kg of applied nutrients; real recovery efficiency, i.e. kg of nutrients taken per kg of nutrients applied; and physiological efficiency, namely an increase in yield of kg per kg of nutrients absorbed (**Sigua *et al.*, 2013**). Agronomic Efficiency (AE) shows an increase in yield per unit of nitrogen applied. Nitrogen AE (NAE) was also calculated to assess the potential for increased yield in response to different nitrogen fertilization levels (**Tedone *et al.*, 2018**). AE is affected by the amount of nitrogen fertilizer. Both AE and recovery efficiency can characterize the nutritional effect. Average AE nitrogen (AEN) has been reported to be 9.4 kg/ kg (**Chuan *et al.*, 2016**).

NRE shows the total nitrogen from the accumulation of nitrogen fertilizer above the soil minus the total nitrogen accumulated above the control zero soil divided by the amount of nitrogen applied (**Hou *et al.*, 2019**). The NRE values obtained in this study indicate an inconsistent effect. EBT of green okra increased from 37.19% to 178.27% with increasing nitrogen dose from GWC. This result contradicts the results of **Chuan *et al.*, (2016)**, who reported that the value of EBT for rice could reach 50% to 80%. Red okra had inconsistent effects, where increasing the compost dose to 100 kg N ha⁻¹ resulted in a 78.5% decrease in EBT, but with 150 kg N ha⁻¹ nitrogen, it further decreased to 35.04%.

The availability of nitrogen mainly affects the pattern of biomass allocation between vegetative and reproductive organs of plants because nitrogen concentrations are much more stable in plants. The application of compost revitalizes the biological activity of the soil and reverses the negative effects of chemical fertilizers. Changes in compost with chemical fertilizers increase soil biomass, soil respiration, enzymatic activity, and nitrification rate (**Sharma *et al.*, 2017**). Nitrogen release from most sources of organic matter is slow and highly dependent on the mineralization process in the soil and is sensitive to various soil conditions, including humidity and temperature (**Taiwo, 2011**).

CONCLUSION

The analyzed compost dose resulted in an increase in nitrogen uptake and yield of green and red okra. Increasing the compost dose did result in a decrease in the efficiency of nitrogen use in green okra. In addition, with increasing doses of compost, agronomic efficiency, nitrogen recovery efficiency and physiological nitrogen efficiency also increased in green and red okra plants. Green okra is more efficient in utilizing organic nitrogen from compost.

REFERENCES

- Adewole, M. B. and Ilesanmi, A. O. 2011. Effects of soil amendments on the nutritional quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* [L.] Moench). *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 11: 45-55.
- Aliyu, U., Ajala, A. A., 2016. Effect of Variety and Plant Density on Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus Esculentus* (L.) Moench) *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS)* , Volume 9, Issue 2 Ver. II :38-42.
- Ayneband, A., Sara A. and Afrasyab R. 2014. *Journal of Plant Physiology and Breeding*, 4(2): 9-21.
- Beatty, P. H. and Wong, J. L. 2017. Nitrogen Use Efficiency. *Life Sciences*, Volume 1:278–284.

- Benincasa, P., Guiducci, M. and Tei, F. 2011. The Nitrogen Use Efficiency: Meaning and Sources of Variation—Case Studies on Three Vegetable Crops in Central Italy. Hort Technology, June 2011 vol. 21 (3): 266-273.
- Chowdhury, M. S., Z. Hasan, K. Kabir, M. Shah Jahan and M. H. Kabir. 2014. Response of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) to Growth Regulators and Organic Manures The Agriculturists, 12(2): 56-63.
- Chuan, L., He, P. Zhao, T. Zheng, Z. and Xu, X. 2016. Agronomic Characteristics Related to Grain Yield and Nutrient Use Efficiency for Wheat Production in China. PLoS One; 11(9): <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162802>
- Degni, B. F., C. T. Haba, W. G. Dibi, D. Soro, J. T. Zoueu . 2021. Effect of light spectrum on growth, development, and mineral contents of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Open Agriculture; 6: 276–285. <https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-2021-0218>
- Ding, W., Xu, X., He P., Ullah, S., Zhang, J., Cui, Z., Zhou, W. 2018. Improving yield and nitrogen use efficiency through alternative fertilization options for rice in China: A meta-analysis. Field Crops Research; 227:11-18.
- Gemedé, H. F., Ratta, N., Haki, G. D., Woldegiorgis, A. Z. and Beyene, F, 2015. Nutritional Quality and Health Benefits of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*): A Review. J. Food Process Technol, 6:6.
- Goron, T. L. and Raizada, M. N. 2017. Biosensor-Mediated In Situ Imaging Defines the Availability Period of Assimilatory Glutamine in Maize Seedling Leaves Following Nitrogen Fertilization. Nitrogen, 1, (2): 1-9.
- Hou, W., Khan, M. R., Zhang, J., Lu, J., Ren, T., Cong, R., Li, X., 2019. Nitrogen rate and plant density interaction enhances radiation interception, yield and nitrogen use efficiency of mechanically transplanted rice. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. (269)183-192.
- Murozuka, K. E. Laursen, H., Lindedam, J., Shield, I. F. Bruun, S., Magid, J., Møller, I. S. and Schjoerring, J. K. 2014. Nitrogen fertilization affects silicon concentration, cell wall composition and biofuel potential of wheat straw. Biomass and Bioenergy. Volume 64, May 2014, Pages 291-298.
- Purbajanti, E. D., Adinurani, Turkadze, T., Vincēviča-Gaile, Z. and Setyobudi, R. H. (2019). Effectiveness the source of nitrogen from NO_3^- and NH_4^+ for *Panicum maximum* Jacq. growth in saline soil. IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 293, 012015.

- Reyes-Torres, M., E. R. Oviedo-Ocaña, I. Dominguez, D. Komilis, A. Sánchez. (2018). A optimization strategies. *Waste Management*; 77(2): 486-499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.04.037>
- Sharma, A., Saha, T. N., Arora, A., Shah, R., and Nain, L, 2017. Efficient Microorganism Compost Benefits Plant Growth and Improves Soil Health in Calendula and Marigold. *Horticultural Plant Journal*, 3 (2): 67–72.
- Sigua, G. C., Williams, M.M., Chase Jr., C.C., Grabowski, J., Kongchum, M. 2013. Nitrogen recovery and agronomic efficiency of forages with nitrogen fertilization under flooded condition. *Agricultural Sciences*. Vol.4, No.3, 138-148.
- Shahid, M. R., M. Amjad, K., Ziaf, M. M. Jahangir, S. Ahmad, Q. Iqbal and Aa. Nawaz. 2013. Growth, Yield and Seed Production of Okra as Influenced by Different Growth Regulator. *Pak. J. Agri. Sci.*, Vol. 50(3), 387-392.
- Steel R. G. D. and Torrie, J. H.. 1990. *Principles and Procedures of Statistic*. The McMilan and Co, New York.
- Taiwo, A. M. 2011. Composting as a Sustainable Waste Management Technique in Developing Countries. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 4(2):93-102.
- Tedone, L. S., Ali, A., Verdini, L., De Mastro, G. 2018. Nitrogen management strategy for optimizing agronomic and environmental performance of rainfed durum wheat under Mediterranean climate. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Volume 172 (1): 2058-2074.
- Tiemens-Hulscher, M., Lammerts van Bueren, E. T., Struik, P. C. 2014. Identifying nitrogen-efficient potato cultivars for organic farming. *Euphytica*, 199:137–154.
- Wang, F., Peng, S. 2017. Yield potential and nitrogen use efficiency of China's super rice *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 16(5): 1000–1008.
- Zhu, D., Zhang, H., Guo, B., Xu, K., Dai, Q., Wei, H., Gao, H., Hu, Y., Cui, P., Huo, Z. 2017.. Effects of nitrogen level on yield and quality of japonica soft super rice. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 16(5): 1018–1027.