

Seroprevalence of Cattle Brucellosis in Al Gadarif State – Eastern Sudan

Original research paper

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study was conducted to determine the seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis in Al Gadarif state -Eastern Sudan- and to evaluate the sensitivity of RBPT, mRBPT, and milk ring test for serodiagnosis of bovine brucellosis.

Study Design: Collect serum and milk samples and apply the recommended tests for diagnosis.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was carried out in Al Gadarif state regional laboratory and Central Veterinary Research Laboratory (CVRL) in 2015.

Methodology: A total of 367 serum samples were collected from 12 localities which include: Al Fao, Fashaga, Baldiat Al Gadarif, Wasat Gadarif, West Gadarif, Basonda, Al Gorisha, Al rhad, Mafaza, Butana, East Galapat and Gla nahl. Also, 100 bulk milk samples have been collected from Baldiat Al Gadarif, West Gadarif, Wasat Gadarif, and Fashaga and subjected to the milk ring test. All collected sera samples were tested for Brucella antibodies using the following serological tests: Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT), modified Rose Bengal Plate test (mRBT 1:2 and 1:3) and, c.ELISA test, the last test was done for 143 serum samples only.

Results: The overall seroprevalence was 35.7%, 38.4%, 42.2% and 8.4% using RBPT, mRBPT (1:2), mRBPT (1:3) and c.ELISA tests respectively.

According to this study the lowest seroprevalence was observed in Al Fao locality (11.1%), and the highest seroprevalence was in Al Gorisha Locality (71.4%). There was similarity in seroprevalence rate results using RBPT (1:2) and RBPT (1:3) in Al rahd (43.6%), Al Gorisha (85.7%) and Mafaza (50%) localities. Seroprevalence rate showed 10.9% and 9.1% in males and females respectively. There was no association between sex-as risk factor- and cattle brucellosis. Using the milk ring test the overall prevalence of positive milk samples was 39% (39/100). The highest prevalence was in Fashaga (60%), followed by Wasat Gadarif (46.7%). Baldiat Al Gadarif showed the lowest prevalence (22.9%).

Conclusion:

The serological investigation proved the occurrence of bovine brucellosis in Al Gadarif state -Eastern Sudan - using the recommended tests.

Keywords: Brucellosis, Seroprevalence, Al Gadarif state, Cattle, Serological tests.

INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is one of the world's major zoonotic diseases [1, 2]. The disease is characterized by inflammation of the genital organs and fetal membranes, abortion, sterility, and the formation of localized lesions in the lymphatic system and joints [3, 4]. In cattle, brucellosis is typically caused by *Brucella abortus* and *B. melitensis* generally [5].

The *Brucella* is small, non-motile, aerobic, facultative intracellular, Gram-negative coccobacilli.

The disease is considered endemic in several countries [6]. Several types of research were done in Al Gadarif state to determine brucellosis seroprevalence among sheep, goats and camels. The *Brucella* antibodies showed various result rates

[7, 8, and 9]. El Ansari, *et al* [10], reported a low prevalence of brucellosis in domestic animals, including goats in Eastern Sudan. . However in Khartoum state the overall seroprevalence rate of brucellosis among cattle was found to be 25.7% [1]. Many tests are used for the diagnosis of brucellosis such as the Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT), Serum Agglutination Test (SAT), Milk Ring Test (MRT), complement fixation test and ELISA test [11]. This study was conducted to determine the seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis in Al Gadarif state and to evaluate the sensitivity of RBPT and mRBPT, and the milk ring test for serodiagnosis of bovine brucellosis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area

The study was carried out in Al Gadarif state which is in the eastern part of Sudan. It lies between 12°40' and 15°46' latitude and 33°30' and 36°30' longitude. It is boarded by Ethiopia from the East part and by Sinaar, Kassala, Khartoum, and Gazira states from the other parts.

Samples for serological examinations

The standard formula of Thrusfield [12] was used to calculate the sample size (n). A total of 467 random samples consisting of 367 sera and 100 milk samples were collected of different cattle breeds with different sex and ages, in different localities of Al Gadarif state.

Blood was collected from each animal aseptically by vein puncture. The samples were left in a refrigerator at 4°C overnight. Then sera were separated, kept in Eppendorf tubes, and stored frozen till used. To collect

milk samples, the whole udder was washed and the end of each teat was disinfected with small amount of alcohol and was kept dry. Then the first two streams of milk were discarded and the sample from all teats was wrinkled directly into 50ml sterile universal bottles, placed on ice in flask and transferred to Central Veterinary Research Laboratory (CVRL).

Serum samples were examined using the recommended tests of (OIE, 2022)[13], which are RBPT, mRBPT, and the Milk ring test was used for testing milk samples.

Rose Bengal Plate Test (RBPT):

This simple agglutination test essentially, consists of mixing an equal volume of antigen and serum and observing the agglutination after a period of time. This test was done according to (OIE, 2022) [13].

The procedure briefly that; the antigen and tested sera were placed at room temperature, then 25 μ l of tested serum and antigen were placed and mixed onto the well of the white enamel plate. The plates were shaken for 4 min. The samples with no agglutination (0) were recorded as negative, while any visible colored agglutination is considered to be positive reaction. The modified Rose Bengal Plate Test (mRBPT) was performed following the procedure described by Blasco *et al.*, [14], in which 50 μ L(1:2) and 75 μ L(1:3) of tested sera was mixed with 25 μ L of the antigen. The plates were shaken for 4 min and any agglutination that appeared within this time was recorded as a positive reaction.

Competitive Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (c.ELISA):

The kits were brought from Animal Health Veterinary Laboratory Agency (AHVLA- U.K) and carried out as described by OIE (2022). The

interpretation of the results was done by comparing the tested samples with negative and positive controls.

Milk Ring Test (MRT):

The test was performed according to Alton *et al.*, [15]. The test was done by adding 1ml to each milk sample into a sterile test tube. Then 30µl of the stained antigen was added each sample tube, mixed well, and left in a water bath at 37°C for 24 hours before reading the results. Positive samples showed a blue ring on the top of the sample while the negative remained homogeneously blue.

RESULTS

The overall seroprevalence findings of cattle brucellosis revealed 35.7% (131/367), 38.41(141/367), 42.8% (157/367)and 8.4% (12/143) using the Rose Bengal test, modified Rose Bengal (1: 2), modified Rose Bengal (1:3) and c.ELISA tests respectively.

In this study the highest seroprevalence was in Al Gorisha Locality which was 71.4%, 85.7% and 85.7% using the RBPT, mRBPT(1:2),and mRBPT (1:3) tests. While the lowest seroprevalence was found in Al Fao locality with an estimated 11.1%, 19.4% and 25% using the above mentioned three tests.

The obtained data in this study showed no significant association between brucellosis with sex, using the c.ELISA test. Among the screened serum samples the seroprevalence rate showed 10.9% and 9.1% in males and females respectively.

The overall prevalence rate among the one hundred screens milk samples revealed 39 % (39/100),using the milk ring test. The highest

prevalence was in Fashaga (60%), followed by Wasat Gadarif (46.7%). The lowest prevalence was in Baldiat Al Gadarif (22.9%).

Table 1: Seroprevalence rate within localities of Al Gadarif state using RBPT ,mRBPT (1:2)and mRBPT (1:3):

Locality	Number of the tested sample	RBPT %	m.RBPT(1:2) %	m.RBPT(1:3) %
Gla nahl	34	8(23.5)%	8(23.5)%	10(29.4)%
Rhad	32	12(37.5)%	14(43.6)%	14(43.6)%
Al Gorisha	28	20(71.4)%	24(85.7)%	24(85.7)%
Wasat Gadarif	30	4(13.3)%	8(26.7)%	10(33.3)%
Fashaga	28	11(39.8)%	11(39.8)%	13(46.4)%
Mafaza	36	17(47.2)%	18(50)%	18(50)%
West Galapat	28	6(21.4)%	9(32.1)%	12(42.9)%
Baldiat Al Gadarif	28	11(39.3)%	13(46.4)%	14(50)%
Al boutana	36	11(30.6)%	14(38.9)%	15(42.7)%
East Galapat	21	7(33.3)%	9(42.9)%	10(47.6)%
Al fao	36	4(11.1)%	7(19.4)%	9(25)%
Basonda	30	6(20)%	6(20)%	8(26.7)%
Total	367	131(35.7)	141(38.4)%	157(42.8)%

Table 2: Seroprevalence rate of cattle brucellosis in both males and females using c.ELISA and Rose Bengal test:

Sex	samples	Seropositive% by Rose Bengal	Seropositive% by c.ELISA
Male	55	6(10.9)%	8(14.5)%
Female	88	8(9.1)%	9(10.2)%

Table 3 : Association of sex -as a risk factor -with the seropositive results of cattle brucellosis in Al Gadarif state:

Risk factor sex	No. inspected	Frequency %	Cumulative Frequency %	No. affected (%)	Chi-square	p-value	Odds ratio
Male	55	38.5	38.5	6(10.9)	.127 ^a	.776	1.224
Female	88	61.5	100	8(9.1)			

Table 4: Results of bovine brucellosis examined by (MRT), from four localities in

Al Gadarif State

Locality	Samples	Positive %

Balldia Al-Gadarif	35	8(22.9)%
West Gadarif	25	11(44)%
Wast Gadarif	30	14(46.7)%
Al Fashga	10	6(60)%

DISCUSSION

Brucellosis in dairy cows is a public health hazard to the milkers, nomads, animal owners, and their families in contact with the infected animals or their discharges [1, 5].

Many surveys have been carried out to estimate cattle Brucellosis in Sudan. Most of the work was directed towards bovine brucellosis because of the larger number and increase value of cattle. Recently, The Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries and Animal owners, paid great attention to goat and cattle production and have already imported foreign breeds to improve the local ones.

As the incidence of brucellosis appears not to be declining on a worldwide basis, rapid and accurate diagnosis is imperative in order to control/eradicate this disease from man, domestic animals, and wildlife.

In the current study, three types of Rose bengal test were used to determine the seroprevalence of cattle brucellosis. However, modified RBPT (1:3) was found to have similar results with m.RBPT (1:2) in some sera samples, different results between them also recorded. This contradicts of the results necessitate more work to explain the reason.

The overall seroprevalence findings of cattle brucellosis revealed 35.7% (131/367), 38.41 % (141/367), 42.8% (157/367) and 8.4 % (12/143), using the Rose bengal, modified Rose bengal (1:2), modified Rose Bengal (1:3) and c.ELISA tests respectively. These findings are lower than the prevalence of the disease in Gongli state in South Sudan in which the overall estimated seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis was 31%, however the findings were significantly higher compared to the findings in Khartoum State 25.7% (n=77) using RBPT and 22.7% (n=68) (95% CI : 17.96 to 27.44) using Serum Agglutination test (SAT)[16]. On the other hand seroprevalence estimate of brucellosis in Ethiopia which is the neighbor of Al Gadarif state from east part was 16.3% (95% CI: 12.9-20.5) in cattle [17], this result is obviously lower than the result obtained from the study. Out of the 77 RBPT positive sera, 66.2% (n=51) were confirmed to be positive by c-ELISA (95% CI from 55.63 to 76.77) in Bahr el Ghazal region, South Sudan [1, 15].

In this study there no significant association between sex and cattle brucellosis using Rose bengal test mRBPT (1:2), mRBPT (1:3) and ELISA respectively (p-value .776). On the other hand, Abdallah *et al.* [18] and Wegdan *et al.* [1]; their study revealed that no association between the prevalence of brucellosis in sheep in North Kordofan state and cattle in Khartoum state respectively. Serological investigations demonstrated that brucellosis is occurring in the Sudan and evidence of infection has been found in large and small ruminants (cattle, sheep, goats, and camels), wildlife and human beings. *B. abortus* biovars 1, 3, 6 and 7 and *B. melitensis* biovars 2 and 3 were found to be associated with the disease [11]. These estimated overall findings indicated that the c.ELISA test is specific for bovine species, as which was carried out in the area of study of sheep, goats, and camels [8, 9, and 7]. The highest prevalence of positive milk for

Brucella using MRT was 39% (39/100) from the total tested milk. On the other hand the highest prevalence was in Fashaga (60%), followed by Wasat Gadarif (46.7%). Baldiat Al Gadarif showed the lowest prevalence (22.9%).

CONCLUSION

The present study concluded that; brucellosis is present in cattle in the study area. And more work must be done to study the prevalence of the disease in other states and other species. The eradication of the disease must be taken in to consideration through screening, monitoring, diagnosis, and vaccination programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their appreciation and thank to the Central Veterinary Research Laboratory, Soba, Khartoum, Sudan, for their input and support in completing this work.

COMPETING INTERST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1-wegdan Osman Mohamed-Khair Ebrahim, Abdelhamid Ahmed Mohamed Elfadil, Ali Abdelgani Elgadal and Yassir Adam Shuaib. Seroprevalence and risk factors of anti-brucella antibodies in cattle in Khartoum State, The

Sudan journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research.2016; Vol 3.No 2, Pages 134-144.

2-Angara T-E. and Y. A. Shuaib: Evolution of bovine brucellosis over 11-year period in Sudan.; Inter. J. Vet. Sci., 2015; 4(1), 33-38.

3-Cadmus BIS, Adesokan KH, Adedokun OB, Stack AJ. Seroprevalence of bovine brucellosis in trade cattle slaughtered in Ibadan, Nigeria, from 2004-2006.; Journal of the South African Veterinary Association. 2010; 81: 50-53.

4-Dean AS, Crump L, Greter H, Schelling E, Zinsstag J. Global burden of human brucellosis: a systematic review of disease frequency. PLoS neglected tropical diseases. 2012; 6(10): 1865.

5-Radostits OM, Gay CC, Blood DC, Hinchcliff KW. Disease caused by *Brucella* spp. A Textbook of the Disease of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses., 10th Edn., ELBS Bailliere Tindall, London, UK. 2007; pp: 870-871.

6-Muma J., Samui K., Siamudaala V., Oloya J., Matope G., Omer M., Munyeme M., Mubita C., Skjerve E.: Prevalence of antibodies to *Brucella* spp. and individual risk factors of infection in traditional cattle, goats and sheep reared in livestock--wildlife interface areas of Zambia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 2006; 38, 195-206.

7-Mahasin M. A., H. H. Ibrahaem, Y. A. Shuaib, A. A. Elgadal, and M. T. Shigidi: Sero-Prevalence of Anti-*Brucella* Antibodies in Camels in El-Gedarif State: a short communication.; Sch. J. Agric. Vet. Sci., 2017; 4(11), 461 - 463.

8-Khuzaima Babiker Adam¹ , Hatim Hamad Ibrahaem, Yassir Adam Shuaib, Saad El-Tiab Mohamed-Noor, Ali Abdelgani Elgadal, Mohamed

Taha Shigidi, Abdel Hafeez Hassan Nimir. Sero-Prevalence of Anti-Brucella Antibodies in Goats in El-Gedarif state, Eastern Sudan. ARC Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 2017; Volume 4, Issue 1, PP 1-8 ISSN No. (Online) 2455-2518

9-Mohammed Abdalkarim Abdalla, Enaam Mohamed El-Sanousi, Yassir Adam Shuaib, Hatim Hamad Ibrahaem, Khalid Musa Fadle-Al-Mola, Saad El-Tiab Mohamed-Noor, Siham Elias Suliman³, Salah Hassan Idris and Mohamed Abdelsalam Abdalla. Sero-Prevalence of Brucellosis in Sheep in El-Gadarif state. EC Veterinary Science. 2019; 15-19.

10-EL. Ansari, E.H.B.A., Mohammed, A. R., Hamad, A.R. and Karom, A.G. Brucellosis among animal and human contacts in Eastern Sudan; Saudi. Med. J. 2001; 22:577-579.

11-Musa T.M., Enaam M.E. Angara E.E., and Ali A.A.. Brucellosis, a challenge to veterinarians in Africa: the situation of the disease in Sudan, The proceedings of the first scientific conference (ARRC), animal resources Research Corporation, 17-21/8/2008. Khartoum, Sudan. 2008; Pp 45-56.

12-Thrusfield M . Veterinary Epidemiology. The United Kingdom, Black Well Science ltd, Ed. (3), 2007; Chap. 15, pp. 220-221.

13-OIE. Terrestrial Manual .2022; Chapter. 3.1.4.. Bovine brucellosis. World Organization for Animal Health (OIE).

14-Blasco, J.M., B. Garin-Bastuji, C.M. Marin, G. Gerbier, J. Fanlo, M.P.J. de Bagues and C. Cau,. Efficacy of different rose Bengal and complement fixation antigens for the diagnosis of *Brucella melitensis* infection in sheep and goats. Vet. Rec. 1994; 134: 415-420.

15-Alton G. Gm, J. Lois, and Pietz D. E. Laboratory techniques in brucellosis. 1975; 2nd edition. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

16- Berhanu Sibhat, Tesfaye Sisay Tessema , Emmor Nile , Kassahun Asmare. Brucellosis in Ethiopia: A comprehensive review of literature from the year 2000-2020 and the way forward. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* 2022. doi: 10.1111/tbed.14495.

17-Nuol Aywel Madut, Adrian Muwonge, George William Nasinyama , John Bwalya Muma⁴, Jacques Godfroid , Ambrose Samuel Jubara, James Muleme, Clovice Kankya. The seroprevalence of brucellosis in cattle and their herders in Bahr el Ghazal region, South Sudan. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases.* *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2018; 12(6): 6456.

18- Abdallah A. A., Abdelhamid A. M. Elfadil, Enaam M. Elsanousi, Yassir A. Shuaib. Seroprevalence and Risk Factors of Brucellosis in Sheep in North Kordofan State, Sudan. 2015; *IOSR-JAVS*, 8(1), 31-39.