

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_93565
Title of the Manuscript:	CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS FOR QUANTITATIVE TRAITS IN GREENGRAM [Vigna radiate (L.) Wilczek]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction is extremely poor, lack coherence and logical order. None of the statements and arguments are referenced. The authors are required to rewrite the introduction section with proper information given in logical order and referenced accordingly. 2. The last portion of introduction lack the research question, aims and objectives. This is serious issue. Resolve it accordingly. 3. The Abstract mentions 20 genotypes while MM section describes 41 genotypes. Make it correct. 4. The results and discussion should be separated, in the present version, there no discussion available. 5. The ANAOVA/ analysis of variance results are the first to describe, then genetic parameters including heritability. The correlation and path analysis should be the last to describe. I suggest you to follow the article "ESTIMATION OF GENETIC PARAMETERS IN SOYBEAN FOR YIELD AND MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERS (prdb.pk)" 6. Provide a reasonable discussion of your finding with support of the previous literature. 7. Add a conclusion with reference to your observations and results and recommendations (Not just summarizing your results in one para). 8. Work on the English and typos. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Add more references. Improve the discussion. The abstract is longer than introduction, therefore work on it thoroughly.</p>	
Optional/General comments	After careful revision according to reviewers, will enhance the quality of the article and suitability for publication.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ahmad Ali
Department, University & Country	China