

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_93271</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Trend analysis of rainfall in Telangana state using advanced statistical approaches</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is quite good. The introduction provide writer's insight and plan to the problem-solving effort; the method is described clearly.</p> <p>But need improvement to this manuscript.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abstract has not stated the background of the research</li> <li>• The discussion it's still global</li> <li>• The conclusion does not answer the research objectives</li> <li>• The discussion need more literature review</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The discussion is not clear, only presents the results. In the discussion it should be compared with similar previous studies to show differences and/or similarities with this study.</li> <li>• Research methods do not need to be stated in the conclusion. The sentence at the conclusion is summarized</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some parts of this paper need to be improved, namely the discussion is explained in more detail and compared to previous research, conclusion and references need to be improved.</li> <li>• From the existing data, how to predict the monthly and annual rainfall patterns in the next few years.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Yelin Adalina</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Indonesia</b>