

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92866
Title of the Manuscript:	Emerging issue of legal mechanisms of Caribbean countries to force action on climate change and its existential consequences
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It seems that the title of the manuscript can be shortened and mentioned in a much more concise manner. - Frankly and logically speaking the abstract should discuss and mention the aims, methodology, limitations, future research and as such which it seems it hasn't been prepared in place. - It's better to point out the foot note mentioned in the "abstract" section in the "introduction" section. - It seems that the study area should have been defined much more accurately. For this the author better rearrange and complete the definition of the study area. - As mentioned on page 2 in the footnote section, No.2: "BBC News (September 2019) Hurricane Dorian: Scale of Dorian Devastation Emerges. https://www.bbc.com/news/world-latin-america-49574900", and also similar references, the author could have used a much more scientific reference instead of the media such as the Meteorological Organisation or as such. - It seems that more information is needed on the research methodology for preparation of a rather more scientific article. - It seems that the references could have been up to date and more accurate instead of just referring to websites! 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bijan Yavar
Department, University & Country	Allameh Tabataba'i University, Iran