

## Performance of different varieties of Spinach beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis*) under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic condition

### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was carried out at the Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh during the *Rabi* 2021-2022 with a view to determine the performance of different varieties of spinach beet for its growth, yield under Prayagraj climate and to work out the economics of various treatments. Under this experiment, overall 7 varieties were sown under tags T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti), T<sub>2</sub> (All Green), T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1), T<sub>4</sub> (Green Iron), T<sub>5</sub> (Palak- Ashirwad), T<sub>6</sub> (Sudevi Green Spinach), T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya). From the present investigation it was concluded that variety Pusa Jyoti performed best in respect of all parameters specially yield at Prayagraj climatic conditions. Benefit Cost ratio of variety Pusa Jyoti was found to be highest therefore, it can be suggested to farmers to adapt it in cultivation practices.

**Keywords:** *Spinach beet, Agro-Climatic, Varieties, Benefit Cost ratio.*

---

### **INTRODUCTION**

Spinach Beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis*) is a leafy green flowering plant native to Indo-china region (Nath, 1976). It belongs to family *Amaranthaceae*, subfamily *Chenopodioideae* bearing chromosome number  $2n=2X=18$  (Bennett and Smith, 1976). Its leaves are a common edible vegetable consumed either fresh, or after storage using preservation techniques by canning, freezing, or dehydration. It may be eaten cooked or raw, and the taste differs considerably; the high oxalate content may be reduced by steaming. It is closely related to beet root, sugar beet, and Swiss chard. Sea beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *maritima*) is the ancestor of *palak*. It is commonly grown for its tender and soft succulent leaves. Spinach beet needs a well-balanced nutrition for better growth and yield. Manures are the substances which provide nutrients for proper growth of plants. Spinach plays a major

role in human nutrition leaves contain 86.4% moisture, 3.4% protein, 0.8 g fat, 3.7% fibre, 6.5% carbohydrates, 46 calorie, 5862 I.U vitamin A., 0.26 mg vitamin B1, 0.56 mg vitamin B2, 70 mg vitamin C, 380 mg calcium and 30 mg phosphorus. Spinach is a cool season crop. High temperature, especially long day cause bolting, thus reduces its market value. It thrives best in well drained loamy soil. pH should be in the range of 6-6.5. In 2018, world production of spinach was 26.3 million tonnes, with China alone accounting for 90% of the total followed by U.S.A., Kenya and Turkey. Spinach beet is widely grown in India. Few local varieties have gained importance in Uttar Pradesh climatic conditions. Varietal evaluation in a group of cultivars is a prerequisite for a successful breeding programme. Thus, study was done to evaluate the best performing varieties compared to local variety. According to Prayagraj agro-climatic condition Spinach beet can be grown successfully with higher yield. In view of the above-mentioned facts, the present study on the varietal evaluation of Spinach beet varieties under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition. Keeping these above point the present investigation was undertaken to assess the performance of different varieties of Spinach beet in terms of growth and yield under Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions and to estimate the economics of different varieties.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design comprising 7 varieties (detail of varieties presented in table 1) with three replications during *Rabi-2021* at Horticultural Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj to understand the performance in terms of growth, yield of different varieties of spinach beet. The observations were recorded on randomly selected five plants on characters *viz.* Plant height [15, 30, 45 DAS], Number of leaves per plant [15, 30, 45 DAS], Leaf length [15, 30, 45 DAS], Leaf width [15, 30, 45 DAS], Yield per plant (g/plant), Yield per plot (kg/plot), Yield per hectare (q/ha). In general, soil properties of experimental site showed a typical alluvial soil of eastern region of Uttar Pradesh. Soil was sandy loam in texture, slightly acidic in reaction and having low electrical conductivity, very high in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen, low in available phosphorus and moderately high in available potassium given in table 2 and 3. Weeding and plant protection measure were followed as and when needed. Observations were recorded at different stages of growth periods. The data were statistically analysed by the method suggested by **Fisher and Yates, 1936**.

**Table 1. List of varieties used as treatments**

<b>Treatment Symbols</b>	<b>Varieties name/ Treatments</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	Pusa Jyoti
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	All Green
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	All Green H-1
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	Green Iron
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	Palak-Ashirwad
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	Sudevi Green Spinach
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	Supriya

**Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of soil at Horticulture Research field (SHUATS).**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>	<b>Mechanical Properties</b>
1	Sand	48.15
2	Silt	21.34
3	Clay	30.51
4	Textural class	Sandy loam

**Table 3. Chemical composition of the soil**

S. No.	Ingredients	Quantity	Methods used
1	Soil pH	6.9	Digital pH meter (M.L.Jackon)
2	Organic carbon (%)	0.358	Wet method ( <b>Walkely and Black' 1965</b> )
3	Available Nitrogen (N)	212.56 kg/ha	Alkaline permanganate method ( <b>Subaiah &amp; Asijal 1956</b> )
4	Available Phosphorus (P)	14.59 kg/ha	Calorimetric method ( <b>Olson et al., 1954</b> )
5	Available Potash (K)	225.1 kg/ha	Flame Photometric method ( <b>Peru et al. 1973</b> )

**Source:** Soil analysis was done by KVK (Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Prayagraj, U.P.)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present investigation an attempt has been made to study the performance of different spinach beet varieties in Prayagraj climatic zone. The results obtained are presented in relevant Tables as follows;

### Plant height (cm)

The results pertaining to the performance of different varieties of spinach beet for plant height at 15, 30 and 45 DAS is shown in Table 4. The maximum plant height observed in T<sub>1</sub> (21.82 cm) at 15 DAS followed by T<sub>5</sub> (21.59 cm). Minimum plant height was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (13.00 cm). The maximum plant height (43.73 cm) at 30 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 38.73 cm. Minimum plant height 30.15 cm was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). The maximum plant height (59.44 cm) at 45 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 54.55 cm.

Minimum plant height 45.87 cm was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1), while the remaining treatments were moderate in their growth habit. Similar findings were reported by **Ahmad *et al.* (2004)** in cucumber, **Hossain *et al.* (2005)** in indian spinach, **Varalaxmi and Devaraju (2010)** in indian spinach, **Ali *et al.* (2014)** in onion, **Dunsin *et al.* (2015)** in tomatoes, **Malshe *et al.* (2016)** in okra, **Sharma *et al.* (2016)** in beetroot, **Karthick *et al.* (2017)** in ridge gourd, **Mshelia *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Singh *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Ddamulira *et al.* (2019)** in onion, **Gul *et al.* (2019)** in cucumber, **Ashraf *et al.* (2020)** in okra, **Khadijeh *et al.* (2020)**, **Nath *et al.* (2020)** in spinach, in beetroot and **Kurre *et al.* (2022)** in ridge gourd.

**Table 4. Plant height (cm) at 15, 30, 45 DAS of different varieties of spinach beet.**

<b>Treatment Notation</b>	<b>Varieties</b>	<b>15 DAS (in cm)</b>	<b>30 DAS (in cm)</b>	<b>45 DAS (in cm)</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	<b>Pusa Jyoti</b>	21.82	43.73	59.44
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>All Green</b>	16.38	37.29	53.48
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>All Green H-1</b>	13.00	30.15	45.87
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>Green Iron</b>	16.09	31.95	48.29
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	<b>Palak-Ashirwad</b>	21.59	38.73	54.55
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	<b>Sudevi Green Spinach</b>	17.85	34.27	50.47
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	<b>Supriya</b>	17.35	36.83	52.59
<b>Mean</b>		<b>17.73</b>	<b>36.14</b>	<b>52.10</b>
<b>'F' Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>C.V.</b>		<b>10.21</b>	<b>7.48</b>	<b>7.80</b>

<b>S.E.± (m)</b>	<b>1.05</b>	<b>1.56</b>	<b>2.34</b>
<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	<b>3.22</b>	<b>4.81</b>	<b>7.22</b>

### Leaf length (cm)

The results pertaining to the performance of different varieties of spinach beet for leaf length at 15, 30, 45 DAS are graphically presented in Table 5.

The maximum leaf length (5.96 cm) at 15 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya) with 5.56 cm. Minimum leaf length 4.85 cm was observed in T<sub>2</sub> (All Green). The maximum leaf length (9.87 cm) at 30 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya) with 8.14cm. Minimum leaf length 7.03 cm was observed in T<sub>2</sub> (All Green). The maximum leaf length (12.96 cm) at 45 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya) with 11.49 cm. Minimum leaf length 11.26 cm was observed in T<sub>2</sub> (All Green). Similar findings were reported by **Ahmad *et al.* (2004)** in cucumber, **Hossain *et al.* (2005)** in indian spinach, **Varalaxmi and Devaraju (2010)** in indian spinach, **Ali *et al.* (2014)** in onion, **Dunsin *et al.* (2015)** in tomatoes, **Malshe *et al.* (2016)** in okra, **Sharma *et al.* (2016)** in beetroot, **Karthick *et al.* (2017)** in ridge gourd, **Mshelia *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Singh *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Ddamulira *et al.* (2019)** in onion, **Gul *et al.* (2019)** in cucumber, **Ashraf *et al.* (2020)** in okra, **Khadijeh *et al.* (2020)**, **Nath *et al.* (2020)** in spinach, in beetroot and **Kurre *et al.* (2022)** in ridge gourd.

**Table 5 Leaf length (cm) 15 DAS, 30 DAS, 45 DAS of different Varieties of Spinach beet.**

<b>Treatment Notation</b>	<b>Varieties</b>	<b>15 DAS (in cm)</b>	<b>30 DAS (in cm)</b>	<b>45 DAS (in cm)</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	<b>Pusa Jyoti</b>	5.96	9.87	12.96
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>All Green</b>	4.85	7.03	11.26

<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>All Green H-1</b>	4.86	7.33	10.53
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>Green Iron</b>	5.25	7.69	10.05
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	<b>Palak-Ashirwad</b>	5.03	8.12	10.75
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	<b>Sudevi Green Spinach</b>	5.48	8.02	11.11
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	<b>Supriya</b>	5.56	8.14	11.49
<b>Mean</b>		<b>5.29</b>	<b>8.03</b>	<b>11.17</b>
<b>'F' Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>C.V.</b>		<b>4.62</b>	<b>6.24</b>	<b>7.97</b>
<b>S.E.± (m)</b>		<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>0.51</b>
<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.89</b>	<b>1.58</b>

### Leaf width (cm)

The results pertaining to the performance of different varieties of spinach beet for leaf width at 15, 30, 45 DAS are graphically presented in Table 6. The maximum leaf width (3.26 cm) at 15 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 2.62 cm. Minimum leaf width 1.76 cm was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). The maximum leaf width (5.58 cm) at 30 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 5.12 cm. Minimum leaf width 3.72 cm was observed in T<sub>2</sub> (All Green). The maximum leaf width (7.57 cm) at 45 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 7.27 cm. Minimum leaf width 6.46 cm was

observed in T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya). Similar findings were reported by **Ahmad *et al.* (2004)** in cucumber, **Hossain *et al.* (2005)** in indian spinach, **Varalaxmi and Devaraju (2010)** in indian spinach, **Ali *et al.* (2014)** in onion, **Dunsin *et al.* (2015)** in tomatoes, **Malshe *et al.* (2016)** in okra, **Sharma *et al.* (2016)** in beetroot, **Karthick *et al.* (2017)** in ridge gourd, **Mshelia *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Singh *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Ddamulira *et al.* (2019)** in onion, **Gul *et al.* (2019)** in cucumber, **Ashraf *et al.* (2020)** in okra, **Khadijeh *et al.* (2020)**, **Nath *et al.* (2020)** in spinach, in beetroot and **Kurre *et al.* (2022)** in ridge gourd.

**Table 6: Leaf width (cm) 15 DAS, 30 DAS, 45 DAS of different Varieties of Spinach beet.**

<b>Treatment</b>	<b>15 DAS (in cm)</b>	<b>30 DAS (in cm)</b>	<b>45 DAS (in cm)</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti)</b>	3.26	5.58	7.57
<b>T<sub>2</sub> (All Green)</b>	2.43	3.72	6.61
<b>T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1)</b>	1.76	4.64	6.72
<b>T<sub>4</sub> (Green Iron)</b>	2.38	4.98	6.67
<b>T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad)</b>	2.62	5.12	7.27
<b>T<sub>6</sub> (Sudevi Green Spinach)</b>	2.48	4.92	6.55
<b>T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya)</b>	2.36	5.02	6.46
<b>Mean</b>	<b>2.47</b>	<b>4.86</b>	<b>6.84</b>
<b>'F' Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>C.V.</b>	<b>9.04</b>	<b>5.90</b>	<b>5.92</b>
<b>S.E.± (m)</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.23</b>

<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.51</b>	<b>0.72</b>
-------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

### **Number of leaves per plant (No.)**

The results pertaining to the performance of different varieties of spinach beet for number of leaves per plant at 15, 30, 45 DAS are graphically presented in Table 7. The maximum number of leaves per plant (5.26) at 15 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 4.60. Minimum number of leaves per plant 3.33 was observed in T<sub>4</sub> (Green Iron). The maximum number of leaves per plant (7.80) at 30 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 7.40. Minimum number of leaves per plant 6.40 was observed in T<sub>4</sub> (Green Iron). The maximum number of leaves per plant (5.40) at 45 DAS was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>6</sub> (Sudevi Green Spinach) with 5.36. Minimum number of leaves per plant 5.13 was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). Similar findings were reported by **Ahmad *et al.* (2004)** in cucumber, **Hossain *et al.* (2005)** in indian spinach, **Varalaxmi and Devaraju (2010)** in indian spinach, **Ali *et al.* (2014)** in onion, **Dunsin *et al.* (2015)** in tomatoes, **Malshe *et al.* (2016)** in okra, **Sharma *et al.* (2016)** in beetroot, **Karthick *et al.* (2017)** in ridge gourd, **Mshelia *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Singh *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Ddamulira *et al.* (2019)** in onion, **Gul *et al.* (2019)** in cucumber, **Ashraf *et al.* (2020)** in okra, **Khadijeh *et al.* (2020)**, **Nath *et al.* (2020)** in spinach, in beetroot and **Kurre *et al.* (2022)** in ridge gourd.

**Table 7. Number of leaves per plant 15 DAS, 30 DAS, 45 DAS of different Varieties of Spinach beet.**

<b>Treatment Notation</b>	<b>Varieties</b>	<b>15 DAS</b>	<b>30 DAS</b>	<b>45 DAS</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	<b>Pusa Jyoti</b>	5.26	7.80	5.40

<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>All Green</b>	3.66	6.66	5.14
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>All Green H-1</b>	4.46	6.80	5.13
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>Green Iron</b>	3.33	6.40	5.34
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	<b>Palak-Ashirwad</b>	4.60	7.40	5.40
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	<b>Sudevi Green Spinach</b>	4.40	6.86	5.36
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	<b>Supriya</b>	3.73	7.00	5.33
<b>Mean</b>		<b>4.21</b>	<b>6.99</b>	<b>5.27</b>
<b>'F' Test</b>		<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>C.V.</b>		<b>8.39</b>	<b>6.57</b>	<b>6.05</b>
<b>S.E.± (m)</b>		<b>0.20</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.35</b>
<b>C.D. at 5%</b>		<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.82</b>	<b>0.48</b>

**Yield per plant (g/plant), Yield per plot (Kg/plot), Yield per hectare (q/ha).**

The results pertaining to the performance of different varieties of spinach beet for yield per plant are graphically presented in Table 8. The fresh leaves weight or yield per plant significantly varied among different treatment combinations. The maximum yield per plant (37.92 g/plant) was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>7</sub> (Supriya) with 32.19 g/plant. Minimum yield per plant 23.47 g/plant was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). The maximum yield per plot (2.90 kg/plot) was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 2.58 kg/plot. Minimum yield per plot 50.59 Kg/plot

was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). The maximum yield per hectare (64.44 q/ha) was observed with treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with 57.41 q/ha. Minimum yield per hectare 50.59 q/ha was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). Similar findings were reported by **Ahmad *et al.* (2004)** in cucumber, **Hossain *et al.* (2005)** in indian spinach, **Varalaxmi and Devaraju (2010)** in indian spinach, **Ali *et al.* (2014)** in onion, **Dunsin *et al.* (2015)** in tomatoes, **Malshe *et al.* (2016)** in okra, **Sharma *et al.* (2016)** in beetroot, **Karthick *et al.* (2017)** in ridge gourd, **Mshelia *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Singh *et al.* (2018)** in okra, **Ddamulira *et al.* (2019)** in onion, **Gul *et al.* (2019)** in cucumber, **Ashraf *et al.* (2020)** in okra, **Khadijeh *et al.* (2020)**, **Nath *et al.* (2020)** in spinach, in beetroot and **Kurre *et al.* (2022)** in ridge gourd.

**Table 8. Yield per plant, yield per plot and yield per hectare of different Varieties of Spinach beet.**

<b>Treatment Notation</b>	<b>Varieties</b>	<b>Yield per plant (g/plant)</b>	<b>Yield per plot (kg/plot)</b>	<b>Yield per hectare (q/ha)</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	<b>Pusa Jyoti</b>	<b>37.92</b>	<b>2.90</b>	<b>64.44</b>
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>All Green</b>	<b>30.73</b>	<b>2.42</b>	<b>53.77</b>
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>All Green H-1</b>	<b>23.47</b>	<b>2.27</b>	<b>50.59</b>
<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>Green Iron</b>	<b>31.44</b>	<b>2.51</b>	<b>55.70</b>
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	<b>Palak-Ashirwad</b>	<b>27.06</b>	<b>2.58</b>	<b>57.41</b>
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	<b>Sudevi Green Spinach</b>	<b>26.87</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>51.11</b>
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	<b>Supriya</b>	<b>32.19</b>	<b>2.30</b>	<b>51.18</b>
<b>Mean</b>		<b>29.95</b>	<b>2.47</b>	<b>54.89</b>

<b>'F' Test</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>S</b>
<b>C.V.</b>	<b>4.50</b>	<b>4.89</b>	<b>8.98</b>
<b>S.E.± (m)</b>	<b>1.45</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>2.84</b>
<b>C.D. at 5%</b>	<b>8.36</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>8.77</b>

### Economic Parameter

Maximum gross returns were recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) with (Rs 1,61,100 ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with (Rs 1,43,525 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the minimum (Rs. 1,26,475 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). Maximum net returns were recorded in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) with (Rs 99,379 ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with (Rs 81,769 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and the minimum (Rs. 64,629 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). Maximum benefit: cost ratio was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (Pusa Jyoti) with (1.61) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Palak-Ashirwad) with (1.32) and the minimum (1.04) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (All Green H-1). The detail is presented in table 9.

**Table 9. Performance of in terms of Economics – Cost Benefit ratio of various treatments of Spinach Beet**

<b>Treatment</b>		<b>Yield (q/ha)</b>	<b>Gross Return (in ₹)</b>	<b>Net return (in ₹)</b>	<b>B:C Ratio</b>
<b>T<sub>1</sub></b>	Pusa Jyoti	<b>64.44</b>	<b>1,61,100</b>	<b>99,379</b>	<b>1.61</b>
<b>T<sub>2</sub></b>	All Green	<b>53.77</b>	<b>1,34,425</b>	<b>72,654</b>	<b>1.18</b>
<b>T<sub>3</sub></b>	All Green H-1	<b>50.59</b>	<b>1,26,475</b>	<b>64,629</b>	<b>1.04</b>

<b>T<sub>4</sub></b>	Green Iron	<b>55.70</b>	<b>1,39,250</b>	<b>77,514</b>	<b>1.26</b>
<b>T<sub>5</sub></b>	Palak-Ashirwad	<b>57.41</b>	<b>1,43,525</b>	<b>81,769</b>	<b>1.32</b>
<b>T<sub>6</sub></b>	Sudevi Green Spinach	<b>51.11</b>	<b>1,27,775</b>	<b>65,954</b>	<b>1.07</b>
<b>T<sub>7</sub></b>	Supriya	<b>51.18</b>	<b>1,27,950</b>	<b>66,094</b>	<b>1.07</b>

## CONCLUSION

From the present investigation it was concluded that variety Pusa Jyoti performed best in respect of all parameters specially yield at Prayagraj climatic conditions. Benefit Cost ratio of variety Pusa Jyoti was found to be highest therefore, it can be suggested to farmers to adapt it in cultivation practices.

## REFERENCES

**Anonymous. 2013. Indian Horticulture Database-2013, National Horticulture Board, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India. 262 pp.**

**Ahmad, M., Abdul, H. and Zarqda, A. (2004).** Growth and Yield Performance of Six Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) Cultivars Under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Rawalakot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*. **6(4): 396-399.**

**Ali, A. A. A., Kaouther, Z. and Cherif, H. (2014).** Performance of Eight Varieties of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Cultivated under Open Field in Tunisia. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*. **6(2)**: 220-224.

**Ashraf, A. T. M. H., Mizanur M. R., Mofazzal H. M. and Umakanta, S. (2020).** Performance Evaluation of Some Selected Okra Genotypes. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. **32(3)**: 13-20.

**Bennett, M. D., and Smith, J. B. (1976).** Nuclear DNA amounts in angiosperms. *Phil Trans Royal Society London, Ser B* 274: 227–274.

**Ddamulira, G. Kalali, F. and Maphosa, M. (2019).** Yield and Storage Performance of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) Genotypes under in situ and ex-situ Conditions. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. **30(4)**: 1-7.

**Dunsin, O., Gideon, A., Christopher, M. A. and Abiodun, G. (2015).** Comparison of Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality Performance of Tomatoes Varieties under Controlled Environment Condition of the Southern Guinea Savannah. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*. **16(10)**: 1662-1665.

**Fisher, R. A. and Yates, F. (1963).** Statistical Tables for Biological, Agricultural and Medical Research. *Oliver and Boyd, London*: 143 p.

**Gul, A. S., N. O., Khalid, A. Z. and Ali, J. S. (2019).** Evaluation of growth and yield performance of five cucumbers (*Cucumis sativus* L.) genotypes; Case study Kunduz province, Afghanistan. *International Journal of Advanced Education and Research*. **4(6)**: 22-28.

**Hossain, K. L., Wadud, M. A., Hossain, K. S. and Abdullah, M. R. (2005).** Performance of Indian spinach in association with Eucalyptus for agroforestry system. *Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University*. **3(1)**: 29-35.

**Karthick, K., Patel, G. S., Shanmugapriya, V. and Bhavik, A. V. (2017).** Performance of Ridge Gourd (*Luffa acutangula* Roxb.) Varieties and Nature of Cultivation for Yield and Yield Attributes. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. **6(3)**: 458-462.

**Khadijeh, Y., Jens, H., Rene, G., Thomas, H., Michael, F., Sabine, Z. and Simone, G. H. (2020).** Agronomic Performance of Different Open-Pollinated Beetroot Genotypes Grown Under Organic Farming Conditions. *Agronomy* **10**: 812.

**Kurre, C., Bahadur, V., Topno, S. E. and Kerketta, A. (2022).** Performance of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L.) Genotypes in Prayagraj agro-climatic conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **11**(6): 1048-1052.

**Lal, S., Pandey, U. C., Pandita, M. L. and Singh, K. (1979).** Effect of nitrogen and cuttings on seed yield of *Beta vulgaris*. *Seed Research* **8**(2): 136–40.

**Malshe, K.V., Shinde, B.D., Desai B.G. and Palshetkar, M.G. (2016).** Performance of different varieties of okra (*Abelmoschus esculantus*) in relation to yellow vein mosaic disease under north konkan conditions. *International Journal of Advanced Biological Research*. **6**(4): 533-535.

**Mshelia, J. S. and Muhammad, I. S. (2018).** Performance of Different Varieties of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L) Moench) Grown under Irrigation in Kashere, Gombe State. *Proceedings of the 36<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of Horticultural Society of Nigeria (Hortson), Lafia*.

**Nath, C. R., Methela, J. N., Ruhi, A. R. and Mohammad, S. I. (2020).** Varietal Performances of Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) at Coastal Region in Bangladesh. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agronomy* **13**(2): 39-45.

**NHB, (2016).** [nhb.gov.in/statistics/2013-14](http://nhb.gov.in/statistics/2013-14). Area and Production of Horticulture Crops- All India. Visited on 25/12/2021.

**Sharma, M., Kumar, R. and Aditika (2016).** Mean performance of beetroot genotypes for different qualitative and quantitative traits. *An International Journal of Life Sciences*. **11**(2): 1065-1069.

**Singh, H. K., Singh, K. M. and Meraj, Md. (2018).** Growth and Yield Performance of Okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench] Varieties on Farmer's Field. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. **7**(special): 1411-1417.

**Varalakshmi, B. and Devaraju (2010).** Genetic variability in Indian spinach (*Basella alba* L.). *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. **5**(1): 21-24.

