

Genetic variability and interrelationship in maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes for grain yield and yield component characters

Abstract

An experiment was conducted including 20 inbreds of maize during *Kharif* season of 2020-21. All characters under study showed highly significant variation. High values of genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation were observed for the characters like Anthesis and silking interval, grain yield per plant, biological yield, number of grains per row, number of grain rows per cob, harvest index and ear height. Biological yield per plant, plant height, grain yield per plant, ear height and harvest index showed high heritability coupled with high genetic advance suggesting their importance for direct selection. Number of cobs per plant, ear length, number of grains per row, 100 grain weight, harvest index, biological yield exhibited significant positive association with grain yield per plant. Highest direct positive effect on yield per plant was observed for harvest index followed by biological yield, number of cobs per plant, anthesis and silking interval. Thus priority should be given to these characters during selection for maize yield improvement.

Keywords: Maize, variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation, path analysis

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third foremost important cereal crop in India succeeding rice and wheat. It belongs to the tribe Maydeae, of the grass family, Poaceae. Globally maize covers an area of 193.7 million hectares with a production of 1147.7 million tonnes and productivity of 5.75 t/ha [1] and in India maize occupies an area of 9.27 million hectares with a production of 27.14 million tonnes and productivity of 2965 kg ha⁻¹ [2]. In Uttar Pradesh, it covers an area of 7.33 lakh hectares with a production of 15.26 lakh tonnes and productivity of 2081 kg ha⁻¹. The suitability of maize to manifold environment is peerless by any other crop as it has an extensive range of adaptability. The existing prominence on the development of single cross hybrids is to be expected to ensure enhanced growth rates in productivity of this versatile crop in the year to come. The existence of genetic variability is the imperative for a successful hybrid development program in maize and constitution of the breeding population. Computation of genetic variability in the prevailing populations resume prime prominence in this context. Study of variability, heritability, genetic advance, and character association in the germplasm will

Comment [a1]: Hybrid is

It is to comprehend the genuine potential of the genotype.

Proficiency of selection in every breeding programme typically depends upon the apprehension of association of the characters. Progression in every breeding programme depends upon the enormity of useful variability present in the population and the magnitude to which the desirable characters are heritable. Appropriate formulation of selection indices for genetic improvement of yield, the cause and effect relationship of the trait is immensely crucial and is effectuated by path analysis. The correlation coefficient stipulate an association between two characters, is convenient as a basis for indirect selection for further improvement.

Considering these aspects, the present study was outlined and accomplished with the objectives of analysing the genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and study of character association besides partitioning of their association into direct and indirect effects in 20 inbreds.

Materials and method

The present experiment was carried out at the Crop Research Farm of Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India. The experimental materials consisted of 20 genotypes of maize (*Zea mays* L.) obtained from the International Crop Research Institute of Semi Arid Tropics, Hyderabad, Telangana. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Each entry was sown with a single row of 3 m length with row to row spacing of 60 cm and plant to plant spacing of 20 cm. Originally two seeds per hill were planted and further one plant was thinned to optimize single plant per hill. Two border rows were even planted to minimize the border effect. The recommended cultural practices were accomplished to augment a good crop. The pre and post harvest parameters were recorded on five plants selected at random from each genotype in each replication for 14 polygenic characters viz. days to fifty percent tasseling, days to fifty percent silking, anthesis and silking interval, plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), number of cobs per plant, number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per row, 100 grain weight (g), harvest index (%), biological yield (g), grain yield per plant (g).

Mean of the data from the sampled plants of each genotype in respect of different parameter was utilized for various statistical analysis viz., variability analysis, correlation analysis, path analysis. Data were analysed using statistical analysis software Windostat® V.9.3.

Results and Discussion

Statistical analysis of data collected exhibited highly significant variation for all the characters studied which is presented in Table 1. The results of the diverse variability parameters viz., phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation, heritability in broad sense and genetic advance as percentage of mean is represented in Table 2. The relatively high values of phenotypic coefficient of variation than their corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation stipulate the involvement of environmental factors in the inheritance of these quantitative traits. Anthesis and silking interval, grain yield per plant, biological yield, number of grains per row, number of grain rows per cob, harvest index and ear height exhibited comparatively higher values of genotypic coefficient of variation which in succession put on view that direct selection for these traits would be effectual and responsive. Similar findings for grain yield per plant, number of grains per row, ear height [3-6], plant height [7,8] revealed presence of considerable variability for all characters studied. High heritability estimates for all the traits which indicate that the variation observed for these characters are mainly genotypic. Similar results high heritability estimates for grain yield per plant and plant height [9-11], ear height [12, 13], days to 50 % silking [14, 15], ear length [16, 17], ear girth [18,19], number of grains per row [20], 100 grain weight [21]. Among the traits under study some traits viz., biological yield per plant, plant height, grain yield per plant, ear height, harvest index had shown higher estimates of genetic advance representing the additive gene action in the inheritance of these traits, thereby selection for these traits will be highly responsive. Similar results have been reported in maize for grain yield per plant and ear height [22].

High significant positive correlation with yield per plant was recorded by number of cobs per plant followed by ear length, number of grains per row, 100 grain weight, harvest index, biological yield, suggesting that indirect selection for high yield through direct selection for these characters will be highly effective (Table 3). Similar type of results for number of grains per row [23-27], number of cobs per plant [28, 29], ear length [30], 100 grain weight [31], harvest index [32]. Flowering traits like days to 50% tasseling and days to 50% silking showed significant negative correlation with grain yield.

Table1: Analysis of variance for different characters of Maize

Source	df	DFPT	DFPS	ASI	PH	EH	EL	ED	NC/P	NGR/C	NG/R	100GW	HI	BY	GY/P
Replication	2	14.06	6.86	0.01	179.73	21.66	0.42	0.56	0.006	0.06	1.21	5.63	76.18	42.23	11.41
Treatment	19	65.55**	98.57**	2.90**	1163.87**	562.24**	14.51**	9.80**	0.18**	32.94**	69.76**	118.61**	417.91**	1785.94**	572.84**
Error	38	10.82	16.35	0.03	111.94	31.08	1.42	0.81	0.005	1.08	2.32	4.83	33.71	45.53	13.54

**P=0.01

DFPT: Days to 50% tasseling ; DFPS: Days to 50% silking; ASI: Anthesis and silking interval; PH: Plant height ; EH: Ear height; EL: Ear length; ED: Ear diameter; NC/P: Number of cobs per plant; NGR/P: Number of grains row per cob; NG/R: Number of grains per row; 100GW: 100 grain weight; HI: Harvest index; BY: Biological yield; GY/P: Grain yield per plant

Table2: Estimates of variability parameters for yield and yield traits in maize

Sl.No.	Characters	Mean ± SEM	Range		GCV	PCV	h ² (bs) (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance % Mean
			Max.	Min.					
1	Days to 50% tasseling	58.47 ±1.9	66.00	51.33	7.306	9.221	62.772	6.972	11.924
2	Days to 50% silking	60.78 ±2.34	71.67	54.33	8.612	10.883	62.621	8.534	14.04
3	Anthesis and silking interval	2.58 ±0.11	4.20	1.02	37.994	38.656	96.603	1.981	76.927
4	Plant height	148.46 ±6.11	184.84	121.03	12.613	14.487	75.801	33.584	22.622
5	Ear height	64.48 ±3.22	88.97	47.40	20.636	22.374	85.066	25.281	39.207
6	Ear length	12.23 ±0.69	15.76	8.79	17.088	19.674	75.44	3.738	30.575
7	Ear diameter	11.93 ±0.52	14.55	9.00	14.514	16.366	78.655	3.163	26.517
8	Number of cobs per plant	1.49 ±0.04	1.97	1.09	16.678	17.291	93.037	0.492	33.139
9	Number of grains row per cob	12.6 ±0.6	18.58	8.14	25.868	27.155	90.749	6.395	50.764
10	Number of grains per row	16.89 ±0.88	22.85	8.21	28.07	29.484	90.637	9.299	55.05
11	100 grain weight	31.06 ±1.27	41.32	19.6	19.827	21.051	88.702	11.949	38.466
12	Harvest index	48.48 ±3.35	64.17	24.50	23.342	26.235	79.162	20.742	42.783
13	Biological yield	82.67 ±3.9	143.74	49.84	29.135	30.257	92.722	47.778	57.792
14	Grain yield per plant	39.8 ±2.12	63.03	16.77	34.302	35.527	93.227	27.158	68.228

Table3:Correlation coefficientbetweenyieldanditsrelatedtraitsin20 maizegenotypesatgenotypicandphenotypiclevel.

Characters		DFPS	ASI	PH	EH	EL	ED	NC/P	NGR/C	NG/R	100GW	HI	BY	GY/P
DFPT	r _g	0.824**	-0.116	0.218	0.365*	0.0738	0.487**	-0.484**	0.440**	0.1618	-0.401*	-0.1769	-0.375*	-0.446**
	r _p	0.581**	-0.1284	0.1574	0.294*	0.0238	0.374*	-0.350*	0.304*	0.1249	-0.273*	-0.1247	-0.308*	-0.350*
DFPS	r _g	1.000	-0.014	0.0009	0.303*	0.0797	0.337*	-0.338*	0.488**	0.364*	-0.2192	-0.0251	-0.379*	-0.337*
	r _p	1.000	0.0101	0.0153	0.1051	0.0402	0.1682	-0.255*	0.356*	0.2481	-0.2246	-0.0160	-0.2478	-0.2430
ASI	r _g		1.0000	-0.246	-0.342*	-0.1892	-0.392*	-0.0669	-0.2500	0.1203	-0.0360	0.317*	-0.315*	-0.0313
	r _p		1.0000	-0.2101	-0.314*	-0.1758	-0.355*	-0.0670	-0.2301	0.1277	-0.0400	0.289*	-0.294*	-0.0190
PH	r _g			1.000	0.853**	0.359*	0.365*	-0.1155	-0.1214	-0.2067	-0.0729	0.1678	-0.314*	-0.1167
	r _p			1.0000	0.674**	0.2291	0.350*	-0.1137	-0.0822	-0.1590	-0.0809	0.0978	-0.255*	-0.1033
EH	r _g				1.000	0.1980	0.292*	-0.2365	0.0667	0.0207	-0.2172	0.0752	-0.373*	-0.2378
	r _p				1.0000	0.1161	0.2321	-0.1978	0.0874	0.0088	-0.1740	0.0757	-0.348*	-0.2120
EL	r _g					1.0000	0.602**	0.438**	-0.0079	0.543**	0.518**	0.1054	0.478**	0.402*
	r _p					1.0000	0.447**	0.369*	-0.0091	0.434**	0.435**	0.0761	0.382*	0.320*
ED	r _g						1.0000	-0.0432	0.264*	0.338*	0.0846	-0.1267	0.288*	0.0931
	r _p						1.0000	-0.0070	0.2296	0.292*	0.0910	-0.0764	0.2153	0.0873
NC/P	r _g							1.0000	-0.1657	0.418**	0.987**	0.619**	0.705**	0.958**
	r _p							1.0000	-0.1489	0.383*	0.919**	0.548**	0.641**	0.897**
NGR/C	r _g								1.0000	-0.0723	-0.2378	-0.385*	0.0067	-0.2148
	r _p								1.0000	-0.0721	-0.2206	-0.318*	-0.0030	-0.1940
NG/R	r _g									1.0000	0.549**	0.405*	0.359*	0.494**
	r _p									1.0000	0.487**	0.336*	0.332*	0.456**
100GW	r _g										1.0000	0.707**	0.699**	0.995**
	r _p										1.0000	0.580**	0.635**	0.896**
HI	r _g											1.0000	-0.0032	0.636**
	r _p											1.0000	-0.0865	0.630**
BY	r _g												1.0000	0.762**
	r _p												1.0000	0.701**

*P=0.05;**P=0.01

DFPT:Daysto50%tasseling; DFPS:Daysto50%silking;ASI:Anthesis andsilkinginterval;PH: Plantheight;EH:Earheight;EL:Earlength;ED:Eardiameter;NC/P: Numberofcobsperplant;NGR/C: Numberofgrainsrowpercob;NG/R:Numberof grainsperrow; 100GW:100grainweight;HI:Harvest index; BY: Biologicalyield; GY/P: Grainyield perplant

Table4:Directandindirecteffectsbetweenyieldanditsrelatedtraitsin20maizegenotypesatgenotypicandphenotypiclevel

Traits		DFPT	DFPS	ASI	PH	EH	EL	ED	NC/P	NGR/C	NG/R	100GW	HI	BY	Correlation coefficient with GY/P
DFPT	G	-0.0054	-0.0060	0.0006	-0.0012	-0.0020	-0.0004	-0.0026	0.0026	-0.0024	-0.0009	0.0021	0.0009	0.0020	-0.446**
	P	0.0016	0.0009	-0.0002	0.0002	0.0005	0.0000	0.0006	-0.0005	0.0005	0.0002	-0.0004	-0.0002	-0.0005	-0.350*
DFPS	G	-0.0093	-0.0084	0.0001	0.0000	-0.0025	-0.0007	-0.0028	0.0028	-0.0041	-0.0031	0.0018	0.0002	0.0032	-0.337*
	P	-0.0321	-0.0553	-0.0006	-0.0008	-0.0058	-0.0022	-0.0093	0.0141	-0.0197	-0.0137	0.0124	0.0009	0.0137	-0.2430
ASI	G	-0.0129	-0.0016	0.1107	-0.0273	-0.0378	-0.0209	-0.0434	-0.0074	-0.0277	0.0133	-0.0040	0.0350	-0.0348	-0.0313
	P	-0.0015	0.0001	0.0116	-0.0024	-0.0037	-0.0020	-0.0041	-0.0008	-0.0027	0.0015	-0.0005	0.0034	-0.0034	-0.0190
PH	G	-0.1007	-0.0004	0.1135	-0.4608	-0.3931	-0.1655	-0.1683	0.0532	0.0559	0.0952	0.0336	-0.0773	0.1448	-0.1167
	P	0.0124	0.0012	-0.0166	0.0788	0.0531	0.0181	0.0276	-0.0090	-0.0065	-0.0125	-0.0064	0.0077	-0.0201	-0.1033
EH	G	0.1315	0.1094	-0.1231	0.3075	0.3605	0.0714	0.1051	-0.0853	0.0240	0.0074	-0.0783	0.0271	-0.1346	-0.2378
	P	-0.0103	-0.0037	0.0110	-0.0237	-0.0351	-0.0041	-0.0082	0.0069	-0.0031	-0.0003	0.0061	-0.0027	0.0122	-0.2120
EL	G	0.0088	0.0095	-0.0226	0.0428	0.0236	0.1192	0.0717	0.0522	-0.0009	0.0647	0.0617	0.0126	0.0570	0.402*
	P	-0.0010	-0.0017	0.0072	-0.0094	-0.0048	-0.0411	-0.0183	-0.0151	0.0004	-0.0178	-0.0179	-0.0031	-0.0157	0.320*
ED	G	0.1050	0.0728	-0.0846	0.0789	0.0629	0.1299	0.2159	-0.0093	0.0569	0.0730	0.0183	-0.0274	0.0621	0.0931
	P	-0.0088	-0.0039	0.0083	-0.0082	-0.0054	-0.0105	-0.0234	0.0002	-0.0054	-0.0069	-0.0021	0.0018	-0.0050	0.0873
NC/P	G	-0.2927	-0.2046	-0.0404	-0.0699	-0.1431	0.2649	-0.0261	0.6049	-0.1003	0.2528	0.5971	0.3744	0.4265	0.958**
	P	-0.0391	-0.0284	-0.0075	-0.0127	-0.0221	0.0411	-0.0008	0.1116	-0.0166	0.0428	0.1026	0.0612	0.0716	0.897**
NGR/C	G	-0.0364	-0.0404	0.0207	0.0100	-0.0055	0.0007	-0.0218	0.0137	-0.0827	0.0060	0.0197	0.0318	-0.0006	-0.2148
	P	0.0193	0.0227	-0.0147	-0.0052	0.0056	-0.0006	0.0146	-0.0095	0.0637	-0.0046	-0.0141	-0.0203	-0.0002	-0.1940
NG/R	G	-0.0458	-0.1029	-0.0341	0.0585	-0.0058	-0.1537	-0.0957	-0.1183	0.0205	-0.2830	-0.1555	-0.1146	-0.1015	0.494**
	P	0.0031	0.0062	0.0032	-0.0040	0.0002	0.0109	0.0074	0.0096	-0.0018	0.0252	0.0123	0.0085	0.0084	0.456**
100GW	G	0.1635	0.0894	0.0147	0.0297	0.0886	-0.2111	-0.0345	-0.4025	0.0970	-0.2241	-0.4078	-0.2885	-0.2851	0.995**
	P	0.0070	0.0057	0.0010	0.0021	0.0045	-0.0111	-0.0023	-0.0235	0.0056	-0.0125	-0.0256	-0.0149	-0.0163	0.896**
HI	G	-0.1174	-0.0167	0.2100	0.1113	0.0499	0.0699	-0.0840	0.4105	-0.2552	0.2685	0.4693	0.6633	-0.0022	0.636**
	P	-0.0809	-0.0104	0.1875	0.0635	0.0492	0.0494	-0.0496	0.3560	-0.2063	0.2182	0.3767	0.6493	-0.0562	0.630**
BY	G	-0.2342	-0.2368	-0.1968	-0.1964	-0.2334	0.2987	0.1798	0.4407	0.0042	-0.2242	0.4370	-0.0020	0.6251	0.762**
	P	-0.2196	-0.1764	-0.2095	-0.1815	-0.2481	0.2723	0.1533	0.4567	-0.0021	0.2367	0.4524	-0.0616	0.7120	0.701**
GY/P	G	-0.446**	-0.337*	-0.0313	-0.1167	-0.2378	0.402*	0.0931	0.958**	-0.2148	0.494**	0.995**	0.636**	0.762**	1.0000
	P	-0.350*	-0.2430	-0.0190	-0.1033	-0.2120	0.320*	0.0873	0.897**	-0.1940	0.456**	0.896**	0.630**	0.701**	1.0000

Genotypic Residual Effect = 0.112; Phenotypic Residual Effect = 0.138; Direct effects are on main diagonal

*P=0.05; **P=0.01

DFPT: Days to 50% tasseling ; DFPS: Days to 50% silking; ASI: Anthesis and silking interval; PH: Plant height ; EH: Ear height; EL: Ear length; ED: Ear diameter; NC/P: Number of cobs per plant; NGR/P: Number of grains row per cob; NG/R: Number of grains per row; 100GW: 100 grain weight; HI: Harvest index; BY: Biological yield; GY/P: Grain yield per plant; G: Genotypic path; P: Phenotypic path

The study revealed that the major portion of the highly significant positive correlation between grain yield per plant and harvest index is due to its direct effect only, also it is high direct positive effect on grain yield per plant among all the traits studied (Table 4). Biological yield, number of cobs per plant, ear height, ear diameter, ear length, anthesis and silking interval also has high direct positive effect on yield per plant. Similar results have been reported for ear height, ear length, ear diameter were positively associated with grain yield [33]. Plant height had highest direct negative effect on grain yield per plant. The results of path coefficient analysis suggest that the selection for higher harvest index, biological yield, number of cobs per plant, ear height, ear diameter, ear length, anthesis and silking interval will be highly effective in improving the grain yield per plant in the maize.

Conclusion

In maize breeding, occurrence of a variability in germplasm for selection is the principal factor for the success of the programme. A genotypically distinct population is a priority for the selection of better inbred to be subsumed in single cross hybrid development in maize. The present investigation stipulated the presence of genetic variability for most of the characters in genotypes under study. Character association study and path-coefficient analysis unveiled the significance of component characters like harvest index, biological yield, number of cobs per plant in advancement of yield as they were found significantly and positively associated with yield. Thus, from the present investigation it has been presumed that due prominence should be given on these traits during the selection in maize for improvement of grain yield.

Reference

1. FAO STAT Statistical Database. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. [Rome]: FAO. 2020
2. ICAR- Indian Institute of Maize Research. Department of Agricultural Research and Education, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
3. Babu KS, Gaibriyal ML and Mohana Krishnan N. Studies on Genetic Association and Path Coefficient Analysis for Yield and Yield Attributing Characters in Maize (*Zea mays*)

Comment [a2]: occurrence

L.).InternationalJournalofPlant&SoilScience.2022;34(22):49-54.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

4. Gupta SP, Salgotra RK. Variability and correlation studies in (*Zeamays*L.) under intermediate zone of Jammu. *Environment and Ecology*. 2004;22(Spl-3):554-557.
5. Rafiq CM, Rafique M, Hussain A, Altaf M. Studies on heritability, correlation and path analysis in maize (*Zeamays*L.). *Journal of Agricultural Research, Lahore*. 2010;48(1):35-38.
6. Tengan KML, Obeng Antwi K, Akromah R. Genetic variances, heritability, and correlation studies on selected phenotypic traits in a backcross breeding program involving normal and opaque-2 maize. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*. 2012;3(7):287-291.
7. Robin S, Subramanian M. Genetic variability studies in biparental progenies in maize. *Crop Research*. 1994;7(1):77-83.
8. Abirami S, Vanniarajan C, Armugachamy S. Genetic variability studies in maize (*Zeamays* L.) germplasm. *Plant Archives*. 2005;5(1):105-108.
9. Ayala OT, Churata BG. Estimates of genetic parameters in the maize composites (*Zeamays*L.) Arquiteura, In memorias de la III Reunion Latinamericana Y XVI Reunion de la Zona Andiana de Investigadores in maize. Cochabamba, Santa Cruz, Bolivia, Tomo II (edited by Avila, L.G. and Cespedes, P.L.M.) Cochabamba, Bolivia. 1995;769-779.
10. Choudhary AK, Chaudary LE. Genetic studies in some crosses of maize (*Zeamays*L.). *Journal of Research Bisra Agricultural University*. 2002;14:87-90.
11. Rafique, Muhammad, Hussain A, Mahmood T, Alvi AW, Alvi MB. Heritability and interrelationship among grain yield and yield components in maize. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 2004;6:1113-1114.
12. Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. *Agronomy Journal*. 1949;41:45-60.
13. Chen Ling, Cui Shaoping, Chen L, Cui AP, Sun YB. Analysis of the gene effect on ear characters in maize. *Acta Agriculture Bareli Sinica*. 1996;11(2):28-32.
14. Saikia RB, Gargi Sharma. Variability studies in some exotic maize genotypes. *Indian Journal*

of Hill Farming. 2000;13(1-2):106-107.

15. Muhammad Akbar, Shakoore MS, Amer Hussain, Muhammad Sarwar. Evaluation of maize 3 way crosses through genetic variability, broad sense heritability, character association and path analysis. *Journal of Agricultural Research, Lahore*. 2008;46(1):39-45.
16. Singh KD, Rao SRM, Harbir S. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield component traits in maize Gangahybrid-5. *Journal of Research, Haryana Agricultural University*. 1987;17:64-67.
17. Kumar PP, Satyanarayana E. Variability and correlation studies of full season inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Research, ANGRAU*. 2001;29:71-75.
18. Debnath SC. Genetic variability in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture*. 1987;12:217-221.
19. Dawood KM, Mohammed ASA. Estimates of heritability and path coefficient analysis for some characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Mesopotamia Journal of Agriculture*. 1989;21:246-254.
20. Bhalla SK, Bali S, Sharma S, Sharma BK. Assessment of genetic variability and correlations in indigenous maize germplasm of Himachal Pradesh. *Himachal Journal Agricultural Research*. 1986;12(2):75-81.
21. Liao SJ, Xu ZB, Wen SP. Analysis of combining ability for major quantitative characters in some maize inbred lines. *Plant Breeding Abstracts*. 1987;57:3724.
22. Kabdal MK, Verma SS, Ahmad N, Panwar UBS. Genetic variability and correlation studies of yield and its attributing characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Agricultural Science Digest*, 2003;23:137-139.
23. Viola G, Ganesh M, Reddy SS, Kumar CV. Studies on correlation and coefficient analysis of elite baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) lines. *Progressive Agriculture*, 2003;3:22-24.
24. Tang H, Huang YQ, Yan JB, Liu ZH, Tang JH, Zheng YL, Li JS. Genetic analysis of yield traits with elite maize hybrid-Yuyu22. *Acta Agronomica-Sinica*, 2004;30:922-926.

25. MalikHN, MalikSI, HussainMOZAMIL, ChughtaiSUR, JavedHI. Genetic correlation among various quantitative characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids. Journal of Agriculture and Social Sciences, 2005;1:262-265.
26. HemavathyAT, BalajiK, IbrahimSM, AnandG, SankarD. Genetic variability and correlation studies in maize (*Zeamays*L.). Agricultural Science Digest, 2008;28:112-114.
27. Moradi M, Azarpour E. Determination of most important part of yield components by path analysis in corn. The Journal of American Science, 2011;7(5):646-650.
28. Taiwo O. P, Nwonuala AI, Foby IB, Olawamide DO, Agbugba IK. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis Studies on Grain Yield and Its Contributing Characters in Maize (*Zeamays* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2020;3(7):7-13.
29. Bupesh K, Razdan AK, Gupta BB. Heterosis and character association for grain yield and its component traits in single cross maize hybrids under mid hills of Jammu and Kashmir, India. Agricultural Science Digest. 2013;33(3):198-202.
30. Rajasekhar D, Naveen kumar KL, Pandey PK, Sen D. Analysis of Morphological Variation, Grouping and Path Coefficient Studies in a Set of Maize Inbred Lines Local to North East Hill Region of India. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(17):105-113.
31. Jawaharlal J, Reddy GL, Kumar RS. Genetic variability and character association studies in maize. Agricultural Science Digest. 2011;31(3):173-177.
32. Panwar LL, Mahawar RK, Narolia RS. Genetic variability and interrelationships among grain yield and yield components in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Annals of Plant and Soil Research. (2013);15(1):15-18.
33. VenugopalM, AnsariNA, RajanikanthT. Correlation and path analysis in maize. (*Zeamays* L.). Crop Research Hisar. 2003;25(3): 525-529.