

Role of post-harvest treatment of polyamines on physico-physiological qualities of *Carica papaya* L. fruits cv. Red Lady during ambient storage

Abstract

Polyamines are the natural compound, act as anti-senescent agents, reduce rate of respiration, delay ethylene production, retard colour change, maintain fruit firmness. Show that plays a pivotal role in postharvest life of fruits and vegetables. The objective of this study was to investigate the effect of post-harvest application of polyamines in different concentration on physico-physiological quality parameters of *Carica papaya* L. (cv. Red Lady) fruits during ambient storage (33-36°C). *Carica papaya* fruits are treated with different concentration of polyamines i.e., T₂-0.50mM Spermine, T₃- 1.0mM Spermine, T₄- 1.5mM Spermine, T₅- 0.50 mM Spermidine, T₆- 1 mM Spermidine, T₇- 1.5mM Spermidine, T₈- 2 mM Putrescine, T₉- 3 mM Putrescine, T₁₀- 4 mM Putrescine and T₁-Control. Among the treatments, papaya fruits treated with 4 mM of Putrescine (T₁₀) recorded loss in weight, respiration rate and colour values (L^* , a^* , b^*) of peel and pulp, fruit disease index and maximum firmness, shelf life compared to control (T₁). Hence it is confirmed from the study that Putrescine at 4mM (T₁₀) was found to be effective in delaying the physico-chemical and physiological process of papaya fruit cv. Red Lady.

Keywords: Papaya, Polyamines, Physiological loss in weight, Firmness, Respiration rate, Colour values, Percent disease index, Shelf life.

Introduction

“Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is also known as pawpaw belongs to the family Caricaceae Dumort. It is considered as one of the most popular fruits among the millions of people due to its taste, nutrition value and medicinal use. It is regarded as the wonder fruit of the tropical and subtropical regions. It is originated in Mexico and it was introduced to India during 16th century from Malaca (Kumar and Abraham, 1943)^[27]. “India is the main producer of papaya, while Mexico ranks sixth and accounts for about 45.01 per cent and 6.13 per cent of total production, respectively (Anon., 2018)^[3]. India has approximately 1.38 lakh hectares of land under papaya cultivation and produces around 59.89 million metric tons per year (Anon., 2018)^[4]. Andhra Pradesh is the leader in papaya production among the Indian states followed by Karnataka, Gujarat and Maharashtra, India.

It is one of the richest source of vitamin A and a good source of vitamin C besides rich in sugars and pectin content. The red-fleshed varieties contain lycopene as a major pigment (Yamamoto, 1964; Kimura *et al.*, 1991). Lycopene is vitamin A inactive but is a more efficient antioxidant than β -carotene (Dimascio *et al.*, 1989) and it has been linked with reduction of risk of cancer especially lung, stomach and prostate cancer (Giovannucci, 1999). All these aspects have made papaya an ideal dessert fruit. The milky latex of unripe papaya fruits contains papain, a proteolytic enzyme that digests proteins. Papain is used as a meat tenderizer and for medical and industrial purposes (Sankat and Maharaj, 1997). It is a common observation that because of the extremely delicate nature of papaya fruits, heavy spoilage is of frequent occurrence before they reach the consumers. In India, the estimated loss is 10 to 25 per cent in ripe and 5 to 10 per cent in green fruits (Anon., 2018)^[4].

The major polyamines found in every plant cell are spermidine, spermine and putrescine (Galston and Kaur-Sawhney, 1990)^[18]. “Polyamines are the natural compounds that have a specific role in fruits and vegetables in post-harvest life. Earlier research suggests that, polyamines in their free forms act as anti-senescent agents, reduce rate of respiration, delay ethylene production, retard colour change, maintain fruit firmness, induce mechanical resistance and reduce chilling injury symptoms” (Valero *et al.*, 1998)^[59]. Polyamines are known to inhibit the ethylene production as it exerts a competition during its synthesis for a common precursor, S-adenosyl methionine and also provide alternative to use of chemicals to extend the shelf life of many fruits. According to Rahman *et al.* (2011)^[41], “bioactive products of plants have less

negative effect on the environment and are safe for mammals and other non-target organisms for the control of post harvest losses”.

Materials and methods

Experimental details

An experiment was carried out at Department of Post-harvest Technology, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka state during the year 2018-2019 with 10 treatments and 3 replications. The statistical design applied was completely randomized design (CRD). The treatment Details are T₁-Control, T₂-0.50mM L⁻¹ Spermine, T₃- 1.0mM L⁻¹ Spermine, T₄- 1.5mM L⁻¹ Spermine, T₅- 0.50 mM L⁻¹Spermidine, T₆- 1 mM L⁻¹Spermidine, T₇- 1.5mM L⁻¹ Spermidine, T₈- 2 mM L⁻¹ Putrescine, T₉- 3 mM L⁻¹Putrescine, T₁₀- 4 mM L⁻¹Putrescine.

Papaya fruits required for the experiment were procured from the papaya orchard located in the out skirts of Bagalkot situated at 15 km away from the experimental department. The fruits were carefully chosen from the orchard and manually harvested and gathered in the field. The fruits were selected based on the appearance of one or two yellow streaks on the surface of the fruits. After selection, individual fruits were wrapped with paper and placed in plastic crate and brought to laboratory in a small vehicle. In laboratory, fruits were uncovered with papers and immediately, washed with water containing 0.2 percent sodium hypochlorite and air dried under electrical fan. The air dried fruits were dipped in the putrescine at 2, 3, 4 milli molar, spermine and spermidine at 0.5, 1, 1.5 milli molar and one with distilled water which served as control.

Preparation of different concentration of putrescine, spermine and spermidine:

2 milli molar putrescine: 322.1 mg putrescine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

3 milli molar putrescine: 483.2 mg putrescine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

4 milli molar putrescine: 644.3 mg putrescine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

0.5 milli molar spermine: 101.1 mg spermine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

1.0 milli molar spermine: 202.3 mg spermine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

1.5 milli molar spermine: 303.5 mg spermine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

0.5 milli molar spermidine: 72.6 mg spermidine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

1.0 milli molar spermidine: 145.2 mg spermidine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

1.5 milli molar spermidine: 217.8 mg spermidine was dissolved in 1 L distilled water

The fruits were dipped in putrescine, spermine and spermidine for 5 min, fruits were taken out from the solutions and air dried for 5 min under electrical fan. Then the individual fruits were placed in plastic crates by maintaining 10 fruits per replication. The crates were placed in ambient and cold storage for further studies.

Parameters studied

Physiological loss in weight (%)

Fruits from each replication were taken to record the physiological loss in weight (PLW). The weight of the fruits was recorded using electronic weighing balance before storage. Thereafter, the weights were recorded regularly during storage and the PLW was calculated with the following formula and expressed as per cent physiological loss in weight.

$$\text{Physiological loss in weight (\%)} = \frac{\text{Initial weight (g)} - \text{Final weight (g)}}{\text{Initial weight (g)}} \times 100$$

Rate of respiration (ml CO₂/kg/h)

The rate of respiration was measured by static head space method using gas analyzer (PBI, DANSENSOR, CHECKMATE 2) and expressed as ml CO₂kg⁻¹h⁻¹. For this, papaya fruits were trapped in 3 litre airtight containers having twist-top lid fitted with a silicone rubber septum at the centre of the lid. The containers were kept for 1 h for accumulation of respiratory gases at the headspace. After specified time, the head space gas was sucked to the sensor of the analyzer through the hypodermic hollow needle and the displayed value of evolution rate of

CO₂ concentration (%) was recorded. Rate of respiration was calculated on the basis of rate of evolution of CO₂ from the fruit per unit weight per unit time using the following formula.

$$\text{Rate of respiration (ml CO}_2\text{/kg/h)} = \frac{\text{CO}_2 \text{ concentration (\%)} \times \text{Head space}}{100 \times \text{Weight of the fruit (Kg)} \times \text{Time (h)}}$$

Fruit firmness (N)

Fruit firmness was determined using texture analyzer. Firmness evaluation was carried out by taking whole fruit with skin and penetrating it with a 2 mm diameter cylindrical needle. Three measurements were performed and values of the samples were averaged. Firmness is evaluated using a TAXT plus Texture Analyser (Make: Stable Micro System, Model: Texture Export Version 1.22). The force with which the sample gets penetrate was recorded in graph and the peak value in the graph was taken as the texture value in terms of Newton force (N).

Pulp and peel Colour (L^* , a^* , b^*)

Colour of the samples was measured using Lovibond colour meter (Model: Lovibond RT₃00, Portable Spectrometer, the Tintometer Limited, Salisbury, UK) fitted with 8 mm diameter aperture. The instrument was calibrated using black and white tiles provided. Colour was expressed in Lovibond units L^* (lightness/darkness), a^* (redness/greenness), b^* (yellowness/blueness). Papaya sample was placed across the aperture of the colour meter. Three measurements were performed and values of the samples were averaged.

The colour of the papaya peel and pulp in terms of luminance (L^*), green or red colour (a^*) and blue or yellow colour (b^*) values were determined using a colorimeter. L^* measures lightness and varies from 100 for perfectly reflective white to zero for perfectly absorptive black; a^* measures redness when positive, gray when zero and greenness when negative; and b^* measures yellowness when positive, gray when zero and blueness when negative. Papaya fruits colour of skin was measured at three different points of each fruit and the values of samples were averaged.

Fruit disease index (FDI)

“The fruit disease index was measured by visual inspection during storage. For the deterioration grade, the peel hydration, damage by mechanical and/or caused by fungi was considered based on the scale. 0-5 scale is used i.e., 0 – No lesions, 1 - 5% to ≤ 15 % lesions, 2 - ≥15% to ≤ 25 % lesions, 3 - ≥25% to ≤ 50 % lesions, 4 - ≥50% to ≤ 75 % lesions, 5 - ≥75% to 100 % lesions. PDI was calculated with the following formula and expressed as per cent disease index” (Narasimhodu, 2007)^[38].

$$\text{FDI (\%)} = \frac{\text{Sum of all disease rating}}{\text{Total number of rating} \times \text{Maximum disease grade}} \times 100$$

Shelf life

The number of days of the ripe fruits in edible condition was taken as the shelf-life or keeping quality of ripe fruits.

Statistical analysis

The data of experiment was analyzed as applicable to completely randomized design (CRD). Statistical analyses of experiments were performed using Web Agri Stat Package (WASP) Version 2. The level of significance used in ‘F’ and ‘t’ was p=0.01 and p=0.05 for some parameters. Critical difference values were calculated whenever F-test was found significant.

Table1. Effect of different concentrations of polyamines on Physiological loss in weight (PLW) (%), Respiration rate (ml CO₂/kg/h), Firmness (N) of papaya cv. Red Lady fruits during ambient storage

Treatment details	Physiological loss in weight (PLW) (%)					Respiration rate (ml CO ₂ /kg/h)					Firmness (N)				
	Days of storage					Days of storage					Days of storage				
	3	6	8	9	Mean	3	6	8	9	Mean	3	6	8	9	Mean
T ₁ – Control	14.91	19.21	21.76	26.53	20.60	17.82	29.66	45.50	30.05	30.76	6.33	3.26	1.44	0.87	2.98
T ₂ - Spermine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	14.00	17.49	19.13	23.40	18.51	13.16	24.86	40.98	25.06	26.02	7.86	5.66	4.31	3.10	5.23
T ₃ -Spermine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	13.72	17.22	18.93	23.21	18.27	12.73	24.45	39.98	24.87	25.51	7.97	5.76	4.38	3.31	5.36
T ₄ - Spermine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	13.29	16.68	18.42	22.59	17.75	10.32	21.00	37.86	23.76	23.24	8.22	6.28	4.81	3.77	5.77
T ₅ - Spermidine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	14.30	17.79	19.53	23.71	18.83	14.42	27.43	45.01	27.20	28.52	7.73	5.22	3.54	2.27	4.69
T ₆ -Spermidine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	14.10	17.59	19.33	23.53	18.64	13.45	25.81	42.93	26.09	27.07	7.89	5.56	4.22	2.88	5.14
T ₇ - Spermidine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	12.88	16.38	18.12	22.35	17.43	10.07	20.55	37.07	23.03	22.68	8.33	6.78	5.06	4.11	6.07
T ₈ - Putrescine @ 2 mM L ⁻¹	13.75	17.01	18.83	23.12	18.18	12.65	24.23	39.57	24.56	25.25	8.05	6.00	4.59	3.40	5.51
T ₉ -Putrescine @ 3 mM L ⁻¹	13.39	16.91	18.63	22.97	17.98	12.50	23.85	39.35	24.36	25.02	8.19	6.11	4.72	3.50	5.63
T ₁₀ - Putrescine @ 4 mM L ⁻¹	12.17	15.56	17.31	21.23	16.57	9.63	19.19	36.28	22.33	21.86	8.50	7.79	5.82	4.62	6.68
Mean	13.65	17.19	19.00	23.26		12.68	24.10	40.45	25.13		7.91	5.84	4.29	3.18	
SEm±	0.20	0.27	0.35	0.38		0.46	0.87	1.45	0.90		0.26	0.17	0.12	0.07	
CD @ 1%	0.86	1.12	1.41	1.55		1.86	3.52	5.88	3.65		1.05	0.71	0.51	0.26	

Table2. Effect of different concentrations of polyamines on colour (L^*), colour (a^*), colour (b^*) values of papaya peel cv. Red Lady fruits during ambient storage

Treatment details	L^* value					a^* value					b^* value				
	Days of storage					Days of storage					Days of storage				
	3	6	8	9	Mean	3	6	8	9	Mean	3	6	8	9	Mean
T₁ – Control	43.16	49.42	56.81	61.35	52.69	1.77	5.80	16.41	19.61	10.90	21.77	32.85	41.61	50.83	36.77
T₂ - Spermine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	41.75	45.86	50.70	57.3	48.90	-2.67	2.65	10.72	15.39	6.52	17.87	26.81	36.8	42.91	31.10
T₃ -Spermine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	41.57	45.64	50.55	57.15	48.73	-2.69	2.53	10.51	15.51	6.47	17.59	26.59	36.65	42.75	30.90
T₄ - Spermine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	41.29	45.42	50.29	56.82	48.46	-3.42	2.27	10.25	15.25	6.09	17.28	26.34	36.23	42.43	30.57
T₅ - Spermidine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	41.96	46.17	50.95	57.52	49.15	-1.93	2.97	10.91	15.91	6.97	18.22	27.51	36.97	43.29	31.50
T₆ -Spermidine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	41.80	45.96	50.74	57.31	48.95	-2.53	2.76	10.76	15.43	6.61	18.04	27.26	36.83	42.93	31.27
T₇ - Spermidine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	41.03	45.18	50.08	56.68	48.24	-3.58	2.10	9.85	14.85	5.81	17.14	26.18	35.9	42.29	30.38
T₈ - Putrescine @ 2 mM L ⁻¹	41.54	45.63	50.53	57.05	48.69	-3.16	2.45	10.44	15.44	6.29	17.54	26.56	36.46	42.65	30.80
T₉ -Putrescine @ 3 mM L ⁻¹	41.36	45.46	50.31	56.83	48.49	-3.33	2.32	10.29	15.29	6.14	17.35	26.38	36.47	42.46	30.67
T₁₀ - Putrescine @ 4 mM L ⁻¹	40.64	43.53	47.49	53.28	46.24	-5.72	-1.30	8.77	13.77	3.88	16.40	24.85	30.93	37.56	27.44
Mean	41.61	45.83	50.85	57.13		-2.73	2.46	10.89	15.65		17.92	27.13	36.49	43.01	
SEm±	0.24	0.41	0.54	0.35		0.12	0.20	0.31	0.28		0.28	0.39	0.51	0.62	
CD @ 1%	0.99	1.66	2.19	1.43		0.52	0.83	1.28	1.12		1.15	1.58	2.06	2.53	

Table3. Effect of different concentrations of polyamines on colour (L^*), colour (a^*), colour (b^*) values of papaya pulp cv. Red Lady fruits during ambient storage

Treatment details	L^* value					a^* value					b^* value				
	Days of storage					Days of storage					Days of storage				
	3	6	8	9	Mean	3	6	8	9	Mean	3	6	8	9	Mean
T ₁ - Control	44.46	48.86	54.17	64.91	53.10	17.87	23.80	27.60	34.69	25.99	26.60	29.77	35.57	47.72	34.92
T ₂ - Spermine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	43.51	47.05	51.58	62.99	51.28	15.96	21.87	25.66	32.68	24.04	22.76	26.88	30.76	44.17	31.14
T ₃ -Spermine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	43.35	46.84	51.71	62.79	51.17	15.74	21.65	25.55	32.61	23.89	22.71	26.72	30.62	43.62	30.92
T ₄ - Spermine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	41.74	45.32	50.47	61.55	49.77	15.44	21.35	25.25	32.09	23.53	22.35	26.35	30.35	43.36	30.60
T ₅ - Spermidine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	43.84	47.57	51.84	62.91	51.54	16.39	22.38	25.97	32.94	24.42	22.98	27.38	30.98	44.46	31.45
T ₆ -Spermidine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	43.66	47.28	51.65	62.39	51.24	16.16	21.88	25.77	32.79	24.15	22.83	27.21	30.82	44.30	31.29
T ₇ - Spermidine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	41.89	44.89	50.26	62.00	49.76	15.31	21.23	24.84	31.96	23.33	22.20	26.25	30.19	43.17	30.45
T ₈ - Putrescine @ 2 mM L ⁻¹	43.26	46.57	51.68	62.76	51.07	15.72	21.61	25.50	32.53	23.84	22.61	26.60	30.57	43.49	30.82
T ₉ -Putrescine @ 3 mM L ⁻¹	43.12	46.40	51.50	62.58	50.90	15.56	21.41	25.32	32.32	23.65	22.50	26.47	30.43	43.44	30.71
T ₁₀ - Putrescine @ 4 mM L ⁻¹	39.66	44.19	48.89	59.97	48.18	14.84	18.83	23.77	29.89	21.83	21.61	25.87	29.25	42.24	29.74
Mean	42.85	46.50	51.38	62.48		15.90	21.60	25.52	32.45		22.92	26.95	30.95	44.00	
SEm±	0.37	0.44	0.48	0.30		0.15	0.28	0.41	0.51		0.31	0.43	0.51	0.57	
CD @ 1%	1.48	1.80	1.96	1.21		0.64	1.15	1.65	2.06		1.29	1.74	2.07	2.30	

Table4. Effect of different concentrations of polyamines on fruit disease index (%) and shelf life (days) of papaya cv. Red Lady fruits during ambient storage

Treatment details	Fruit disease index (%)				Shelf-life (days)
	Days of storage				
	6	8	9	Mean	
T ₁ – Control	25.04	46.57	73.76	48.45	4.66
T ₂ - Spermine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	20.14	35.13	56.24	37.17	6.00
T ₃ -Spermine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	18.52	32.54	51.77	34.27	6.33
T ₄ - Spermine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	10.33	24.76	32.78	22.62	6.66
T ₅ - Spermidine @ 0.50 mM L ⁻¹	23.84	41.98	61.40	42.40	5.33
T ₆ -Spermidine @ 1 mM L ⁻¹	22.59	39.41	59.63	40.54	5.66
T ₇ - Spermidine @ 1.5 mM L ⁻¹	7.67	15.54	24.03	15.74	8.66
T ₈ - Putrescine @ 2 mM L ⁻¹	14.50	29.75	44.10	29.45	7.33
T ₉ -Putrescine @ 3 mM L ⁻¹	12.71	21.37	41.53	25.20	7.66
T ₁₀ - Putrescine @ 4 mM L ⁻¹	4.03	10.80	19.32	11.38	9.00
Mean	15.93	29.78	46.45		6.72
SEm±	2.02	3.61	5.98		0.25
CD @ 1%	8.14	14.73	24.09		0.99

Results and discussion

Physiological loss in weight (%)

Physiological loss in weight is one of the important economic parameter that decides the shelf life even if fruit is free from physical and microbial abuse. They appear shriveled, shrunk, misshaped, lose its crispness, flavour, turgour and other organoleptic qualities. The physiological weight loss of papaya fruits was observed to increase with ripening process. There was a significant difference among the treatments as affected by the postharvest application of polyamines.

“Among the various postharvest treatments, the control (T₁) fruits lost maximum weight of 26.53 per cent during 9 days of storage. While fruits treated with putrescine @ 4mM, lost minimum weight of 21.23 per cent during 9 days under ambient conditions (Table1). The physiological loss in weight results mainly by the respiration and transpiration losses during the metabolic processes of fruits coupled with atmospheric storage conditions in terms of low relative humidity triggers the pressure difference between fruits and surrounding storage conditions” (Baile, 1975; Finger and Vieira, 1997)^[6,16].

It is known that polyamines have a unique anti- senescence property due to their lower molecular weight and poly cationic structure, which lowers the maturation process, thereby lower the respiration rate and enzymatic activities responsible for the cell wall degradation (Singh, 2006; Bhutia, 2009; Sharma *et al.*, 2010)^[54, 9, 50]. “The lower weight loss in putrescine treated fruits could be attributed to stabilization and consolidation of both cell integrity and the permeability of the tissues as it forms linkage with cell membranes and preserves waxes of cuticle layer thereby delay the removal of epicuticular waxes, which play a very important role in water exchange through the skin. Further, increase in PLW with the increase in storage period may be due to increase in moisture loss from the fruits. In several studies, researchers have reported that, there is always increase in PLW in different fruits with the increase in storage period” (Singh, 2006; Bhutia, 2009; Sharma *et al.*, 2010)^[54, 9, 50].The

results of present experiment is justified by Anjuet *al.*(2014)^[2] in mango cv. Dashehari, Shiri *et al.* (2013)^[53] in grape cv. Shahroudi and Midehghan(2007) in pomegranate.

Respiration rate (ml CO₂ kg⁻¹ h⁻¹)

“The respiration rate of papaya fruits increased initially and decline later in all the treatments. It was observed that, a significant difference among the treatments with respect to respiration rate during storage. The maximum respiration rate was recorded in control (30.05ml CO₂/kg/hr) and minimum respiration rate was recorded in putrescine @ 4mM (22.33 ml CO₂/kg/hr) under ambient condition (Table 1.) because polyamines could delay ripening of fruits, probably through inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis or its action. Such influence of polyamines on respiration rate may be ascribed to strong anti-senescence properties of polyamines” (Wang and Li, 2008)^[61].

“It is well known that, any factor increasing ethylene production or activity leads to increase in respiration rate and any factor increasing respiration rate leads to increase in ethylene production and activity (Wills, McGlasson, Graham and Joyce, 1998)^[62]. It has been demonstrated that, polyamines in a concentration dependent manner effectively reduces the respiration in plants and harvested fruits” (Han,Wang, Li and Ge, 2003; Srivastava and Dwivedi, 2000; Wolucka,Goossens and Inze, 2005)^[20, 55, 63]. “Decrease in fruit metabolic activities results in a decrease in fruit water loss and carbohydrate depletion rate and consequently and effectively delays fruit senescence process” (Wills *et al.*, 1998)^[62]. “The minimum respiration rate in putrescine treated fruits is mainly due to its anti senescence properties, inhibition of ethylene biosynthesis or reduced rate of metabolism and favourable water activity” (Barman *et al.*,2011 and Champaet *al.*,2014)^[8, 11]. The results are in conformity with report of Barbanget *al.*(2002)^[7] in banana; Barman *et al.* (2011)^[8] in pomegranate and Malik *et al.* (2011)^[29] in mango.

Firmness (N)

“The firmness of the fruit tissue at harvest is mainly due to the physical properties of the individual cell walls and the middle lamella, which contains the cementing pectic material. As the fruit approaches ripening, the firmness decreases with the increase in storage period, primarily because there might have been progressive increase in fruit softening due to increased

activities of lipoxygenase (LOX), polygalacturonase (PG) and pectinmethylesterase (PME) enzymes, rendering much softer with increase in storage period” (Sharma *et al.*, 2012)^[51].

The control fruits recorded lowest firmness of 0.87 N during 9 days of storage. While, fruits treated with putrescine @ 4mM showed highest firmness of 4.62 N during 9 days of storage under ambient conditions (Table 1).

“The soluble pectin is much higher where higher temperature or no CO₂ are involved. The rate of pectin degradation is affected by both time and conditions of storage” by Salunkheet *al.* (2000)^[44]. Santos *et al.* (2008)^[47] observed “the existence of a relation between mass loss and fruit firmness, that is, whenever there is an increase in percentage of mass loss, there is also a reduction in firmness”. In the present study, there was a significant decrease in firmness of papaya fruits as the storage period increases and fruits started ripening at faster rate under room temperature. These results were in conformation with the results reported by Reboucas *et al.* (2013)^[42]; Correa *et al.* (2010)^[12]; Bron and Jacomino (2006)^[10]; Hendriodet *al.* (2012)^[21] in papaya.

“Fruit firmness is one of the most crucial factors in determining the postharvest quality of fruits” (Shear, 1975)^[52]. In the present study, softening of papaya fruits was remarkably delayed with polyamines treatment during storage period.

“The effect of polyamines could be due to modification of genes involved in ethylene biosynthesis, ethylene perception, alteration of cell wall associated enzymes and polyamine conjugation” (Savithriet *al.*, 2008)^[48]. Valero *et al.* (2002)^[59] reported that “the effect of polyamine on maintaining fruit firmness is thought to be a result of their cross linkage to the carboxylic group of the pectin substance in the cell wall, resulting in rigidification. The bindings between polyamines and pectin also inhibit the activity of cell wall degrading enzymes, such as pectinase, pectinmethylesterase and polygalacturonase and reduced fruit softening during storage”. The effect of putrescine on fruit firmness loss has been reported in wide range of fruits such as mango cv. Dashehari (Anjuet *al.*, 2014)^[2], blueberry (Fauadet *al.*, 1996)^[17] and Cavendish banana (Barbanget *al.*, 2002)^[7].

Instrumental colour values (L^* , a^* , b^*)

In the present study, colour values of both pulp and peel increased significantly throughout the storage period irrespective to the treatments. The increase in color development was probably due to its effects on stimulating the activity of some enzymes that are responsible for ripening of fruits (Roy *et al.*, 2011)^[43].

The colour changes in papaya fruit peel was remarkably delayed with putrescine @ 4mM treatment during ambient storage. The highest L^* values were recorded in control T₁ (61.35) followed by T₅ (57.52). Whereas lowest L^* value was in T₁₀ (53.28) and T₇(56.68) at 9th day under ambient storage (Table 2). This might be due to the chlorophyll degradation which subsequently reveals the yellow carotenoid pigments (Seymour *et al.*, 1993; Stover and Simmonds, 1987)^[49, 56].

The change in pulp colour of papaya fruits is significantly affected in response to polyamine treatments. Under ambient condition, consistently the maximum L^* value was recorded in control fruits (64.91) and minimum L^* value was registered in T₁₀ (putrescine @ 4mM) (59.97) (Table 3). This might be due to the synthesis of yellow carotenoid pigments upon ripening (Seymour *et al.*, 1993)^[49].

The colour changes in papaya fruit peel was remarkably delayed with putrescine @ 4mM treatment during ambient storage. The highest a^* values were recorded in control T₁ (19.61) followed by T₅ (15.91). Whereas, lowest a^* value was in T₁₀ (13.77) and T₇(14.85) at 9th day under ambient storage (Table 2). This indicating that the pathways for chlorophyll degradation were affected by polyamine treatment (Archana, 2019)^[5].

The change in pulp colour of papaya fruits is significantly affected in response to polyamine treatments. Under ambient condition, consistently the maximum a^* value was recorded in control fruits (34.69) and minimum a^* value was registered in T₁₀ (putrescine @ 4mM) with (29.89) (Table 3). This indicating that the pathways for carotenoid synthesis were affected by polyamine treatment (Archana, 2019)^[5].

The colour parameter b^* has been described as best to reflect the colour changes in fruit tissue during fruit ripening (Martinez *et al.*, 2002). The colour changes in papaya fruit peel was

remarkably delayed with putrescine@ 4mM treatment during ambient storage. The highest b^* values were recorded in control T_1 (50.83) followed by T_5 (43.29). Whereas, lowest b^* value was in T_{10} (37.56) and T_7 (42.29) at 9th day under ambient storage (Table 2). The peel degreening is influenced by ethylene produced by the pulp (Dominguez and Vendrel, 1993)^[15]. In the present work the polyamines inhibit the ethylene production and hence disrupted the degreening process.

The change in pulp colour of papaya fruits is significantly affected in response to polyamine treatments. Under ambient condition, consistently the maximum b^* value was recorded in control fruits (47.72) and minimum b^* value was registered in T_{10} (putrescine @ 4mM) (42.24) (Table 3). Carotenoids synthesis in pulp is influenced by ethylene production (Dominguez and Vendrel, 1993)^[15]. As polyamines inhibit the ethylene production hence disrupted the colour synthesis in pulp. Variation of pulp colour in papaya as a reference of maturation stage is also explained by Fonseca *et al.* (2007)^[17] and Sancho *et al.* (2010)^[45].

Polyamines coating resulted in slow rate of respiration and reduced ethylene production, leading to a modified internal atmosphere of the fruit. This, in turn delayed the ripening and senescence of the fruit, resulting in reduced colour change. Similar results have been reported in papaya (Ali *et al.*, 2011)^[1], tomato (Martens and Baardseth, 1987)^[31] and bell pepper (Nyanjage *et al.*, 2005 and Muhammad *et al.*, 2005)^[40, 37].

Fruit Disease Index (FDI)

The Fruit disease index (FDI) of control fruits rapidly increased and reached the maximum of 73.76 per cent at 9 days of storage. The FDI of papaya fruits treated with T_{10} (putrescine @ 4mM) showed the lowest value of 19.32 per cent in 9 days under ambient storage (Table 4). The prevention of decay or slow rate of decay in polyamine treated papaya fruits due to the anti-senescent property of the polyamines, which might have retarded the maturation process of the treated fruits (Kramer *et al.*, 1991)^[26]. Postharvest application of polyamines may provide a useful means of controlling postharvest decay there by extending the storage life (Wang and Li, 2008)^[61].

“Reduced spoilage can be attributed to a decrease in the microbial activity of fruits” (Mirdehghan *et al.*, 2013a)^[34]. “Polyamines conjugated to phenolic compounds and

hydroxycinnamic acid amides have been shown to accumulate in cells in interactions between plants and a variety of pathogens” (Walters, 2003)^[60]. Thus, putrescine treated fruits had less fungal infection than untreated ones. Similar findings were also observed by Mirdehghan *et al.*, (2013a)^[34] in pistachio nut and Mirdehghan *et al.*, (2013b)^[35] in grape.

Shelf life (days)

Shelf life of papaya fruits is interconnected with fruit disease index parameter. The changes were observed in shelf life of papaya cv. Red Lady fruits, which was influenced by postharvest application of polyamines under ambient storage condition.

Significantly maximum shelf-life was observed in the fruits treated with putrescine @ 4Mm (9 days) and minimum shelf-life was recorded in the control fruits (4.66 days) under ambient storage (Table 4.). The maximum shelf-life of papaya is obtained by slow ripening (Marriott and Lancaster, 1983)^[30]. The shelf-life of papaya increased with the exogenous application of polyamines by retarding fruit softening, weight loss, inhibiting in respiration rate, colour development and delaying ripening process without affecting organoleptic properties of the fruit. In many plant system, leaf and fruit ageing and senescence is correlated with a decrease in polyamine levels. The exogenous application of polyamines often delays or prevents progression of senescence (Kaur *et al.*, 1982)^[23]. The honey dew melon fruits treated with exogenous putrescine reduced membrane peroxidation indicated by lower production of malondialdehyde, decreased lipoxygenase and phospholipase-D activities and decreased perturbation of plasma membrane (Lester, 2000)^[28]. This anti-senescence property of polyamines is the main reason to improve the shelf-life of fruits under storage. Since, these compounds affect ethylene production and expression of genes involved in ethylene bio-synthesis, this hormone is likely to influence the mode of action by which these polyamines affect shelf-life (Torrigiani *et al.*, 2004)^[57].

The polyamines has been reported to improve the shelf-life of fruits as reported by Dibble *et al.* (1988)^[13] in tomato, Mirdehghan *et al.* (2007)^[33] in pomegranate, Khoshroshah *et al.* (2007)^[24] in strawberry, Jawandha *et al.* (2012)^[22] in mango, Shiri *et al.* (2013)^[53] in grapes and in papaya (Novita and Purwoko, 2004)^[39].

Conclusion

Results revealed that post-harvest application of polyamines influence on physico-physiological characteristics and shelf life of papaya fruit and there byover all quality improvement during ambient storage. The fruits treated with putrescine @ 4mM (T₁₀) recorded significantly minimum physiological loss in weight, respiration rate, pulp and peel Colour changes, fruit disease index and maximum fruit firmness and shelf life. Hence, it is confirmed from the study that Putrescine at 4 mM (T₁₀) was found to be effective in delaying the ripening of papaya fruits.

References

Ali A, Muhammad MTM, Sijam K, Siddiqui Y. Effect of chitosan coatings on the physicochemical characteristics of Eksotika II papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) fruit during cold storage. Food Chem.2011; 124:620-26.

1. Anju B, Raj KK, Monica R, Neeraj G. Effect of polyamines on shelf-life and chilling injury of mango cv. Dashehari. The Bioscan. 2014; 9 (3): 1097-1100.
2. Anonymous. FAO Statistical Database. <http://www.fao.org>. 2018.
3. Anonymous. Papaya area, production, gov.in/statistics/area-production-statistics.html. 2018.
4. Archana TJ, Suresh GJ. Putrescine and spermine affects the postharvest storage potential of banana cv. Grand Naine. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 2019; 8(1): 3127-3137.
5. Baile JB. Synthetic and degradation process in fruit ripening. In: postharvest biology and handling of fruits and vegetables,AVI publishing Co. West Port, Connecticut, USA. 1975.
6. Barbang S, Susanto S, Novita T, Kodir K, Harran S. Studies on the physiology of polyamines and ethylene during ripening of banana and papaya fruits. Acta Hort.2002; 575: 651-657.
7. Barman K, Asrey R, Pal RK. Influence of putrescine and carnauba wax on functional and sensory quality of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) fruits during storage. Sci. Hort.2011; 130: 795-800.

8. Bhutia S, Hernandez M, Bosquez E, Wilson CL. Effects of polyamines and plant extracts on growth of (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) anthracnose levels and quality of papaya fruit. *Crop Prot.* 2009;22 (9):1087-1092.
9. Bron HV, Jacomino AP. Ripening and quality of golden papaya fruit harvested at different maturity stages. *Brazilian. J. Plant Physiol.*2006; 18: 389–396.
10. Champa HWA, Gill MIS, Mahajan BVC, Arora NK. Postharvest treatment of polyamines maintains quality and extends shelf-life of table grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Flame Seedless. *Postharvest Biol. Tech.* 2014; 91:57-63.
11. Correa PC, Finger FL, Ribeiro DM, Marques LCS. Influence of ethylene absorber on shelf Life of papaya. *Acta Hort.* 2010; 4(3): 864-868.
12. Dibble ARG, Davies PJ. and Mutschler MA. Polyamine content of long keeping alcobacca tomato fruit. *Pl. Physiol.*1988; 86: 338-340.
13. Di-mascio P., Kaiser S. and Sies H. Lycopene as the most effective biological carotenoid singlet oxygen quencher. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*1989; 274: 532-538.
14. Dominguez M. and Vendre M. Ethylene biosynthesis in banana fruit: evolution of EFE activity and ACC levels in peel and pulp during ripening. *J. Hort. Sci. Ashford*, 1993; 68:60–70.
15. Finger FL, Vieira G. Controlling the postharvest losses in horticultural products. *CadernosDidaticos*, editor UFV: Vicosa. 1997.
16. Fouad MB. Blueberry fruit quality and storability influenced by postharvest application of polyamines and heat treatment. *Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc.* 1996; 109: 269-272.
17. Galston AW. and Kaur-Sawhney R. Polyamines in plant physiology. *Plant Physiol.*1990; 94: 406-410.
18. Giovannucci E. Tomatoes, tomato-based products, lycopene and cancer: review of the epidemiological literature. *J. Nat. Cancer Res. Inst.* 1999; 91: 317-331.
19. Han T, Wang Y, Li L, Ge X. Effect of exogenous salicylic acid on postharvest physiology of peaches. *Acta Hort.* 2003; 6(3):619-628.
20. Henriod R, Stice K, Dicbalis Y, Sole D, Tora K. and Campell T. Storage and modified atmosphere packaging effects on shelf-life qualities of papaya “Fiji red” fruit. *Dept. Agric. Fisheries For.* 2012; 2-24.

21. Jawandha SK, Gill MS, Singh N, Gill PP. and Navtej S. Effect of putrescine and packaging on storage of mango (*Mangifera indica*). Asian J. Bio.Sci.2013; 8(1): 28-31.
22. Kaur A, Shih R, Cegielska T. and Galston AW. Inhibition of protease activity by polyamines- relevance for control of leaf senescence. FEBS Lett.1982; 145:345-349.
23. Khosroshahi M, AshariM. and Ershadi A. Effect of exogenous putrescine on post-harvest life of strawberry fruit, cv. Selva. Sci. Hort. 2007; 114: 27-32.
24. Kimura M, Rodriguez S, Amaya DB. and Yokoyama SM. Cultivar differences and geographical effects on the carotenoid composition and vitamin A value of papaya. Lebens. Wissen. Technol.1991; 24: 415-418.
25. Kramer GF, Wang CY. and Conway WS. Correlation of reduced softening and increased polyamine levels during low oxygen storage of 'McIntosh' apples. J. American Soc. Hort. Sci.1989; 114: 942-946.
26. Kumar LSS. and Abraham NS. The papaya, its botany, culture and uses. J. Bombay Nat. His. Soc. 1943; 5(7): 45-49.
27. Lester GE. Polyamines and their cellular anti-senescence properties in honey dew muskmelon fruit. Plant Sci.2000; 160: 105-112.
28. Malik AU. and Singh Z. Exogenous application of putrescine affects mango shelf life and fruit quality. Acta Hort.2011; 628: 121-127.
29. Marriott J. and Lancaster PA. Banana and plantains In. Handbook of Tropical Food Chan, Inc. New York. 1983.
30. Martens M. and Baardseth P. Sensory quality, In Post-harvest Physiology of Vegetables, J. Weichmann (Ed.), New York. 1987.
31. Martinez-romero D, Serrano M, Carbonell A, Burgos I. and Riquelme F. Effects of postharvest putrescine treatment on extending shelf life and reducing mechanical damage in apricot. J. Food Sci. 2002; 67(5): 1706-1712.
32. Mirdehghan H, Rahem M, Castillo S, Martinez D, Serrano M. and Valero D. Pre-storage application of polyamines by pressure or immersion improves shelf-life of pomegranate stored at chilling temperature by increasing endogenous polyamine levels. Postharvest Biol. Technol.2007; 44: 26-33.
33. Mirdehghan SH, Khanamani Z. and Shamshiri MH. Preharvest foliar application of putrescine on postharvest quality of fresh pistachio nut. Acta Hort. 2013a; 1012: 299-304.

34. Mirdehghan SH, Rahimi S. and Esmailizadeh M. Improving the postharvest characteristics of table grape by pre harvest application of polyamines. *Acta Hort.*2013b ;1012: 293-298.
35. Mirdehghan H, Rahem M, Castillo S, Martinez D, Serrano M. and Valero D. Pre-storage application of polyamines by pressure or immersion improves shelf-life of pomegranate stored at chilling temperature by increasing endogenous polyamine levels. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.*2007; 44: 26–33.
36. Muhammad B, Bosland PW. and LowndsNK. Effects of harvest time and growth conditions on storage and post-storage quality of fresh peppers (*Capsicum annum L.*).*Pakistan J. Bot.*2005; 37(2):337-344.
37. Narasimhudu Y. Bio-efficacy of score 25 EC (Difenconazole) against powdery mildew and anthracnose in mango (*Mangifera indica*). *Pestology*, 2007; 31(2):35-37.
38. Novita T. and Purwoko BS. Role of polyamine in ripening of solo papaya fruits (*Carica papaya L.*). *J. Hort. Sci.*2004; 72: 371-377.
39. NyanjageMO, Nyalala SPO, Illa AO, Mugo BW, Limbe AE. and Vulimu EM. Extending postharvest life of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum*, ‘california wonder’) with modified atmosphere packaging and storage temperature. *Agriculturatropica. Et. Subtropica.* 2005; 38(2): 85-89.
40. Rahman M, Ahmad SH, Mohamed MTM. and Rahman MZ. Extraction of *Jatropha curcas* fruit for antifungal activity against anthracnose (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*) of papaya. *African J. Biotech.*2011; 10 (48): 9796-9799.
41. Reboucas JL, Machado FLC, Afonso MRM. and Costa JMC. Postharvest conservation of papaya “formosata inung 01” conditioned under different packaging systems. *Int. J. Sci.* 2013; 2:57-65.
42. Roy R, Rahim M A. and Alam MS. Effect of wrapping papers on physiological changes and shelf-life of mango cv. Langra. *J. Environ. Sci. Natural Res.* 2011; 4(2): 99-103.
43. Salunkhe DK, Bolin HR. and Reddy NR. Plant physiology, storage, processing and nutritional quality of fruits and vegetables, 2nd Edition, CRS publishers, Florida. 2000.
44. Sancho LEG, Yahia EL, Martinez MA. and Gonzalez GA. Effect of maturity stage of papaya ‘maradol’ on physiological and biochemical parameters. *American J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* 2010; 5(2):194-203.

45. Sankat CK. and Maharaj R. 1997, Papaya. In: *postharvest physiology and storage of tropical and subtropical fruits*, CAB International, London, pp. 167-189.
46. Santos CEM, Couto FAD, Salomao SCC, Cecon PR, Wagner JA. and Buckner CH. Conservation of postharvest papaya “formosaTainung 01” conditioned under different packaging systems. *Rev. BrasileiraFruticultura*. 2008; 30:315-321.
47. Savithri N, Avtar H. and Autar M. Postharvest biology and technology of fruits, vegetables and flowers. 2008; pp. 319-340.
48. Seymour GB, Taylor JE. and Tucker GA. Biochemistry of fruit ripening, 2nd Edition, Chapman and Hall, London.1993.
49. Sharma RR, Pal RK, Singh D, Samuel DVK, Kar A. and Asrey R. Storage life and fruit quality of individually shrink-wrapped apples (*Malus domestica*) in zero energy cool. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.* 2010; 80: 338-341.
50. Sharma S, Sharma RR, Pal RK, Jhalegar MJ, Singh J, Srivastava M. and Dhiman MR. Ethylene absorbents influence fruit firmness and activity of enzymes involved in fruit softening of Japanese plum (*Prunussalicina* L.) cv. Santa Rosa. *Fruits*. 2012; 29(3): 451-455.
51. Shear C B. Calcium related disorders of fruits and vegetables. *Hort. Sci.*,1975; 10:361.
52. Shiri MA, Ghasemnezhad M, Bakhshi D. and Sarikhnai H. Effect of postharvest putrescine application and chitosan coating on maintaining quality of table grape cv. “Shahroudi” during long-term storage. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 2013; 37: 999-1007.
53. Singh SP. Integrated post-harvest management strategies for guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruit, Ph.D. Thesis,2006 ; Indian Agri. Res. Inst., New Delhi (India).
54. Srivastava MK. and Dwivedi UN. Delayed ripening of banana fruit by salicylic acid. *Plant Sci.* 2000; 158: 87-96.
55. Stover RH. and Simmonds NW. *Banana*,3rd Edition, Longman, London. 1987.
56. Torrigiani P, Bregoli AM, Ziosi V, Scaramagli S, Ciriacci T, Rasori A, Biondi S. and Costa G. Pre-harvest polyamine and AminoethoxyVinylGlycine (AVG) applications modulate fruit ripening in stark Red Gold nectarines (*Prunus persica* L. Batsch). *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 2004; 33: 293-308.
57. Valero D, Romero M. and Serrano M, The role of polyamines in the improvement of the shelf life of fruits. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2002; 13: 228-234.

58. Valero D, Romero M, Serrano M. and Riquelme F. Influence of postharvest treatment with putrescine and calcium on endogenous polyamines, firmness, and abscisic acid in lemon (*Citrus limon L Burm cv. Verna*). J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998; 46: 2102-2109.
59. Walters DR. Polyamines and plant disease. Phytochem. 2003; 64: 97-107.
60. Wang L. and Li S. Role of salicylic acid in post harvest physiology. Fresh Produce, 2008; 2(1): 1-5.
61. Wills R, McGlasson B, Graham D. and Joyce D. Post-harvest, an introduction to the physiology and handling of fruit and vegetables and ornamentals, 4th Edition, Univ. New South Wales Press Ltd., Sydney. 1998.
62. Wolucka B. A, Goossens A. and Inze D. Methyl jasmonate stimulates the de novo biosynthesis of vitamin C in plant cell suspensions. J. Exp. Botany, 2005; 56: 2527–2538.
63. Yamamoto H. Y. Comparison of the carotenoids in yellow and red fleshed *Carica papaya*. Nature. 1964; 20: 1049-1050.