

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92220
Title of the Manuscript:	“Agricultural Weeder With Nail Assembly” for Weed Control, Soil Moisture Conservation, Soil Aeration and Increase Crop Productivity
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Abstract 2. Introduction 3. Methodology 4. Results 5. Discussion 6. Conclusion 7. References	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Give concise findings eg; comparative yield increase, soil moisture tension, and technology transfer ➤ Tool description, should be part of the methodology ➤ Inadequate and should not be a description of the tool but; general weed problem, control methods, need for inventions ➤ Lacking and should include; experimental site, weather, Design: Treatments (crops-1,2,3 and the weed control methods) Data collection: on what and how? Data analysis; how? ➤ There should be orderly presentation; Only highlight the findings, ➤ Table labels should be atop while Figures labells should be at the bottom ➤ Remove rows and left/right border in all Tables(Check journal standard) ➤ Feedback story: should be tabulated as data otherwise it is just a non supported story ➤ Highlight findings, give reasons and support by previous findings ➤ Use the right citation method(ref to my comment on the manuscript) ➤ Avoid citing Tables as support ➤ Should be based on findings ➤ Use uniform format 	
Minor REVISION comments Heading/topic Data presentation	This can be revised to It would be better to present results with P values, CV and LSD	
Optional/General comments Manuscript content	There is a lot of information but need re-organization and supplementation	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wilson A. Oyange
Department, University & Country	University of Nairobi, Kenya