

Editor's Comment:

The revised manuscript has not fully responded to all the comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers. There are many flaws in this paper. It is an excellent experimental report, but not a good quality scientific research journal paper. To be accepted for publication in a scientific journal, the authors need to revise, rewrite and resubmit for further review process:

1. The abstract must be concise and not to repeat the paper title again.
2. All the keywords are not unique to this article. The present selections will create huge problems for subsequent literature searches, resulting in this article appearing in many annoying, unwanted lists.
3. Introduction section should include statements on the purpose, goal and expected contributions from this study.
4. The first table in the methodology section must be captioned, numbered and be referred to in the text.
5. Footnotes must be added to each table to define all the abbreviations used, such as "Se.d", "CD", "CV"
6. All the figures must be referred to in the text.
7. Contributions and practical implications derived from the experimental study must be included in the abstract as well as in the conclusion.
8. One of the reference has not been alphabetically ordered.
9. The most serious flaw in the article is not all the references listed have been cited in the text. Why list the references in the first place?

Editor's Details:

Dr. Kwong Fai Andrew Lo
Professor & Dean, Institute of Earth Science, College of Science, Chinese Culture University, Taipei,
Taiwan.