Original Research Article

Studies on the effect of storage container to stabilize the seed quality inwheat(*Triticumaestivum*L.)

Abstract

Seed is the fundamental and essential input for any crop production.The deterioration of seeds starts the moment a crop reaches the physiological maturity stage.The process of seed deterioration may be related to various physiological changes, such as a continuous fall in germinability, an increase in mean germination time, an increase in aberrant seedling frequency, and a lower tolerance to unfavourable climatic conditions.Numerous physical and chemical factors, such as moisture content, atmospheric relative humidity, temperature, preliminary seed quality, physical and chemical makeup of the seed, gaseous exchange, storage structure, storage materials, etc., affect the viability and vigour of the seeds while they are in storage in addition to varying from genus to genus and variety to variety.In the present study, Seeds of the Sharbati C306 varietywas stored in different containers *i.e.,* Polyethylenebag, Muslinclothbag and Bamboostoragestructure in the laboratory. Effect of storage container were analysed for various storage period.Among the containers, polythene bag recorded least insect infestation (5.94 %) and electrical conductivity (21.25). Besides, polythene was also found to be effective in maintaining seed and seed quality parameters *viz.*, highest germination (87.00 %), mean seedling length (12.92 cm), seed vigor index 1 (1125.65) and 2 (25.23), Dry weight (0.297 gm), test weight (43.79 gm) and biochemicals *i.e.,* Carbohydrate (71.00 %) and gluten (11.36 %). Among all the three containers, polythene was recorded most effective.

Keywords: *Wheat seed, Seed storage,Storagecontainer, Storage period, Seed quality.*

Comment [UC1]: Subject content is good

Comment [UC2]: Spelling errors need to be rectified

Comment [UC3]: Rewrite passage with more clarity

Comment [UC4]: There are altogether 383 grammatical issues, kindly rewrite the paper.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*L.) is a worldwide cereal that is farmed, consumed and traded. It ranked third among all the cereals produced. Carbohydrate (78.1%), protein (14.7%), fat (2.1%), minerals (2.1%) all have considerable levels (Pawan *et al.*,2011). Leavened, flat, and steamed breads, biscuits, cookies, cakes, breakfast cereal, pasta, noodles and couscous are all made from wheat flour (Cauvain*et al;* 2001). The wheat crop was planted on around 30 million hectares (14% of global land) to produce an all-time high of 99.70 million tons of wheat (13.64 percent of global production) with a recorded average productivity of 3371 kg/ha. (Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, 2020-21).

Comment [UC5]: Use Grammarly to change voice

It is very important to harvest wheat crop at right time to ensure its quality for storage as well as next cropping season. However, soon after harvest many organized factors form complex interconnections affects the quality which leads to seed deterioration. Therefore, wheat crop should be stored safe using proper pre-storage treatments along with the suitable containers to be kept safely. Losses during wheat seed storage usually in the range of 1-2%when grains are well stored. However, these losses may go higher to 20–50% in the developing countries like India, particularly in poor managed storage facilities (Jayas, 2012).

wheat seed storage with proper storage facilities is as good as additional production (Jha *et al.,* 2015). The losses during storage depends on various internal and external factors such as its kind, motive of storage, environmental, duration, packaging methods, treatment during storage and storage of the grains *etc*. Besides, other factors cause storage losses are moisture percentage, microorganisms, pests and insects. Relative humidity and temperature are two other important factors which causes damage of seeds during storage. Many problems like loss in germination and poor eating quality may occurs if wheat grains are not dried before storing (Gu *et al*., 2000; Ueno, 2013).

As need of storage is mainly done for short period of time therefore, knowing precise time of storage is of immense importance. Prolonging storage may lead to reduction in germination, seedling vigor, accelerated seed aging, increased germination time, electrical conductivity, insect infestation and eventually loss in seed weight (Mersal*et al*., 2006). To estimate the quality of seed lot during storage it could be done by testing the traits such as germination percentage, electrical conductivity, SVI, test weight, root and shoot length *etc*. It has been reported that there is significant increase in insect infestation during the initial stage of storage to next six months (Seadh*et al*., 2015 and Salama *et al*.,2016).

Comment [UC6]: Correct punctuation errors

Comment [UC7]: Paper can be more elaborate

Packaging seed in different storage containers such as resistance moisture or sealed containers for storage is useful. These containers are suitable for maintaining quality of seed at safe storage moisture level. The magnitude of safest to least safe containers are in sequence of moisture proof containers, various laminate, polyethylene, paper and at last cloth were least effective in storage. The effectiveness of other materials was directly associated with their ability to resist moisture (Agrawal, 1985). Keeping the above-mentioned issues related to storage problems and to minimize the losses during different period of time, we aim to conduct present investigation entitled Studies on the effect of storage container to stabilize the seed quality inwheat(*Triticumaestivum*L.).

MATERIALANDMETHODS

This Lab experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) at the Genetics and Plant Breeding Laboratory, School of Agriculture, ITM University Gwalior us. Seeds of the Sharbati C306 varietywas obtained from local farmers field and its true to type was checked with proper seed certification standards after seed multiplication at research field. Firstly, seeds having a moisture content of 12.0% were stored in different containers *i.e.*, Polyethylenebag(C_1), Muslinclothbag (C_2) and Bamboostoragestructure (C_3) in the laboratory. Each container contains 500 gm of seed and data were recorded in third month, sixth month and ninth month interval for seed quality attributes.

According to the technique outlined in the ISTA guidelines, the weight of 1000 seeds was recorded treatment-by-treatment. Grams were used to measure the test weight.

According to ISTA, a germination test was performed using the "between paper" method. On damp germination paper towels, four replicas of 100 seeds from each container were distributed evenly. For eight days, the rolled towels were incubated at 201 °C. At the final count, the germination % was calculated solely using normal seedlings and expressed as a percentage. After eight days of incubation, ten seedlings from each replication were randomly selected to measure the length of the seedlings. From the tip of the primary root to the tip of the primary leaf, the length of the seedling was measured. The average length of 10 seedlings was determined and expressed in centimetres.Seedlings were dried using a “hot air oven method” as prescribed by ISTA at 80±2 °C for 24 hours. The mean seedling dry weight of 10 seedlings were calculated and expressed in milligrams per ten seedlings.

According to Abdul-Baki and Anderson 1973, the seedling vigour index was calculated using seedling growth characteristics and expressed as a whole number:

1) Vigour index I = Germination (%) x Mean seedling length (cm).

2)Vigour index II = Germination (%) x Mean seedling dry weight (mg).

Measurement of Electrical Conductivity (E.C.) of seed sample can be done by soaking a specific volume or number of seeds in de-ionized water at constant temperature for a certain duration. Measurement is based on leakage of solutes that occurs from seeds into water. These solutes include sugar, amino acid and most importantly electrolytes. The incidence of leakage can be detected by the measurement of the electrical conductivity of seed-soaked water. Low conductivity indicates low leakage, whereas high conductivity value is the result of high leakage.

Estimation of Total Carbohydrates by Phenol Sulphuric Acid Method(Dubois *et al.*, 1956) and Glutein estimate by a 10gm sample of ground wheat is weighed and place in to glutomatic washing chamber on top of polyester screen. The sample is combined and washed with 2% salt solution for 5 minutes, wet glutein is removed from the washing chamber, placed in the centrifuge holder, and centrifuged. Remainder retained on top of the screen and through the screen is centrifuged, and residue retained in both of these locations is weighed.After that Glutein content was converted into percentage by dividation with 10.

Grain from each treatment was manually selected from each package at varied depths at the conclusion of each storage term (3, 6, and 9 months) for inspection. The grains with holes or infestation were removed, and the grains with visible insect damage were also deemed to be infested.The infestation level was expressed as number then, percentage damage grains was estimated according to the formula described by, Jood*et al*., (1996).

$$Insect\ infestation\ (\%) = \frac{Number\ of\ insect\ damage}{Number\ of\ total\ grains\ inspected} \times 100$$

RESULTSANDDISCUSSION

An even pattern was noticed throughout storage duration for 1000 seed weight (Table 1). After 90 Days storage, highest mean value for grain weight was notice in C1 followed by C2 and C3. Similar pattern was followed after 180 days of storage where C1 was recorded highest grain weight followed by C2 and C3 with 44.99g,44.55g and 44.21g respectively. Again, after 270 days, similar pattern was followed. Highest mean value for grain weight was recorded in C1 followed by C2 and C3 with 43.79g,43.09g and 42.04g respectively. 1000 seed weight of the wheat variety kept in different containers during different period of time. Similar results were reported by Nabila *et al.*, 2016.

Germination percentage was taken after 90 days of storage. Small variation was recorded among the containers, where all containers showed a germination percentage of above 90whereas germination percentage decreased after 180 days in C3 followed by C2 and C1 which was recorded mean value of 86.50, 88.89 and 89.60. Similar pattern was observed after 270 days of storage where maximum germination percentage was found in C1 followed by C2 and C3 with mean values 87.00, 86.10 and 83.14 (Table 1).

In case of seedling length was found to have longer mean seedling length which was consistent throughout the storage period of time. As far as other two containers were concerned, both were found to have almost similar mean seedling length. Mean seedling length was consistently decreased in all the containers with increment of storage period. Mean seedling length of the wheat variety kept in different containers during different period of time (Table 1).

Seedling dry weight declined as the storage period increased. After the 90 days of storage, C1 recorded to have more dry weight (0.30) as compare to C2 (0.30) and C3 (0.29). After 180 days, C1 had recorded highest dry weight (0.30) as compare to C2 (0.291 gm) and C3 (0.287). Likewise, after 270 days, C1 had recorded highest values of dry weight as compare to other containers (Table 1). Dry weight of the wheat variety kept in different containers during different period of time.

A significant decline was noticed in seedlingvigour index as the storage period increases. There was variation or difference noticed among all the containers after 90, 180, and 270days of storage.C1 recorded the highest SVI-I & IIfollowed by C2 and C3 during all period of storage (Table 2). Mean Seedlingvigour index of the wheat variety kept in different containers during different period of time.Similar result reported by Nabila *et al.*, 2016**;**Sohidul*et al.,* 2017 and Mekonnen 2020.

After 90 days of storage, C3 was found have more EC value followed by C2 and C1 with mean values18.91,18.46 and 17.68 respectively. Similar pattern was observed after 180 days of storage. After 270 days, high increases were noticed in C3 having EC of 24.01 followed by C2 (21.89) and C1 (21.24); (Table 2). Electrical conductivity of the wheat variety kept in different containers during different period of time.Similar result reported byBaldaniya*et al*., 2017 and Gadewar, 2020. Gupta *et al.*, 2018 also reportedin his investigationElectrical conductivity sowed negative corelation withSeedling vigour index.

No significant variation was observed for carbohydrate content among different containers but decreases consistently with increment of storage period. Similar trend was obtained for all period of storage. Highest percentage was present in C1 followed by C2 and C3. Mean percentage for C1, C2 and C3 after nine month of storage was 70.99,70.43and 70.02 respectively (Table 3).

No significant variation was observed for gluten percentage among different containers but protein decreases consistently within increment of storage period. Similar trend was obtained for several period of storage. Highest percentage was present in C1 followed by C2 and C3. Mean percentage for C1, C2 and C3 after 270 days of storage was 11.36,11.26 and 11.40 respectively (Table 3).

C2 and C3(1.77 and 1.77) had found more insect infestation followed by C1(1.45) after 90 days of storage whereas after 180 days of storage, C3 has been found more infestation followed by C2 and C1. Sudden uptrend was noticed in C3 followed by C2 and C1 after 270 days of storage with 9.37, 7.48 and 5.94 respectively (Table 3). Insect infestation of the wheat variety kept in different containers during different period of time.Similar result reported byBadawi *et al.*,2017.

SUMMARYANDCONCLUSION

From the present study, it can be concluded that polythene was observed most suitablecontainer for seed storage as it maintained all seed quality parameters better than

Comment [UC8]: Too many spelling errrors

Comment [UC9]: Summary and conclusion is totally inacceptable, too short and is not explained correctly other two containers *i.e.*, muslin cloth and bamboo lined storage structure during different storage periodsdue to the ability of polythene to resist moisture and maintained the seed at safe storagemoisture level.

Comment [UC10]: Split the paragraph

REFERENCES

Abdul□Baki, A. A., & Anderson, J. D. (1973). Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop science*, **13**(6): 630-633.

Agrawal, P.K. (1985). Seed production technology for chickpea (Cicer arietunum L.) and lentil (Lens culinaris L.). Faba bean, kabuli chickpeas and lentils (Edited by Saxene, M.C., varma). *Syria ICARDA*. pp 271-279.

Anonymous (2021). Advance Estimates of Food Grains, Oilseeds & Other Commercial Crops. Published by Agricultural statistics at a glance, (Department of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India).

Badawi, M.A., S.E. Seadh, W.A.E. Abido& R.M. Hasan. (2017). Effect of storage treatments on wheat storage. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*,**4**(1): 78-91.

Baldaniya, N., Kalyanrao&Karjule, A. P. (2017). Effect of storage containers and durations on enzymatic activity of onion seed under ambient and cold temperature condition. *Trends in Biosciences*,**10**(35): 7408-7412.

Cauvain, S. P. (2001). Breadmaking. In *Cereals processing technology.Woodhead Publishing Agency*. pp. 204-230.

Dubois, M., Gilles, K. A., Hamilton, J. K., Rebers, P. T., & Smith, F. (1956). Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. *Analytical chemistry*, **28**(3): 350-356.

Comment [UC11]: Increase the number of references

Gadewar, R. (2020). Effect of different storage containers on electrical conductivity and moisture content of soybean seeds in four verities under tropical storage conditions. *International Journal of Botany Studies*, **5** (6): 241-247

Gu, D., Sokhansanj, S., &Haghighi, K. (2000). Influence of floor air entry on grain moisture content, temperature, and bulk shrinkage during ambient air in-bin drying of wheat. *Canad. Agric. Eng*.**42:** 185–193.

Gupta, J.P.,Sarvjeet& Vimal, S. C. (2018). Effect of transplanting method on yield contributing characters, seed recovery and quality in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.*) Plant Archives* **18**: 311-315.

Jayas, D. S. (2012). Storing grains for food security and sustainability. Agric. Res. **1**: 21-24.

Jood, S., Kapoor, A. C., & Singh, R. (1996). Effect of insect infestation and storage on lipids of cereal grains. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, **44**(6): 1502-1506.

Mekonnen, G. (2020). Review on: Impact of Seed Storage Container on Seed Quality. *J Plant BiotechnolMicrobiol*. **3**(S1):17-21

Nabila, S.M., Amin, A.R., Islam, M.O., Haque, M.N., & Achakzai, A.K. (2016). Effect of Storage Containers on the Quality of Wheat Seed at Ambient Storage Condition.*American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*, **16** (2): 402-409.

Salama, A. M. A., El-Kassaby, A. T., EL-Moursy, S. A., Ghonema, M. H., & Ramadan, N. M. (2016). Effect of storage methods and fumigation with phosphine on storage efficacy, germination and seedlings parameters of wheat during storage periods. *Journal of PlantProduction*, **7** (7): 727-732.

Seadh, S.E., Attia, A.N., Badawi, M.A.&Shwan, I.H.S.(2015). Physical andtechnological characters of milled rice as affected by storage periods, treating with phosphine and oil neem and packages types. *Global J. Biol. Agric.& Health Sci.*,**4** (2): 61-70.

Sohidul Islam, M., Hasan,K., Shaddam, O.& EL Sabagh, A. (2017). Effect of storage periods and containers on the germinablity of mungbean seeds. *Agricultural Advances*, **6(**7): 418-424.

Ueno, K. (2003). Effects of desiccation and a change in temperature on germination of immature grains of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Euphytica*.**126:** 107–113.

Table1.Effectofstorage containersfor different storage periods on Test Weight, Germination, Seedling Length & Seedling Dry Weight

Treatments	Test Weight (g)				Germination (%)				Seedling Length (cm)				Seedling Dry Weight (g)			
	Initial	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**	**Initial**	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**	**Initial**	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**	**Initial**	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**
C-1	46.7	45.96	45.11[a]	43.79[a]	95.29	92.17[a]	89.57[a]	87.00[a]	18.41	14.41	13.55[a]	12.92[a]	0.326	0.3	0.30[a]	0.29[a]
C-2	46.8	45.84	44.75[b]	43.10[b]	95.34	91.17[b]	88.71[b]	86.11[b]	18.30	14.1	13.11[b]	12.65[b]	0.327	0.3	0.29[b]	0.29[b]
C-3	46.89	45.83	44.09[c]	42.05[c]	95.40	90.54[b]	86.69[c]	83.14[c]	18.34	13.94	12.74[c]	12.21[c]	0.33	0.3	0.29[c]	0.28[c]
SE(m)	0.06	0.08	0.1	0.11	0.17	0.3	0.28	0.29	0.03	0.21	0.07	0.05	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001
C.D	**NS**	**0.28**	**0.37**	**0.41**	**NS**	**1.11**	**1.04**	**1.06**	**NS**	**0.76**	**0.267**	**0.19**	**NS**	**0.01**	**0.004**	**0.002**
C.V	**0.71**	**0.98**	**1.33**	**1.51**	**1.061**	**1.92**	**1.86**	**1.97**	**1.01**	**8.55**	**5.04**	**2.44**	**9.59**	**3.276**	**2.252**	**1.086**

Table2.Effectofstoragecontainersfor different storage periods on Vigour Index I, Vigour Index II & Electrical Conductivity

Treatments	Vigour Index I				Vigour Index II				Electrical Conductivity (dS/m/gm)			
	Initial	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**	**Initial**	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**	**Initial**	**90 DAS**	**180 DAS**	**270 DAS**
C-1	1755.58	1328.45[a]	1214.60[a]	1125.65[a]	31.09	27.86[a]	26.52[a]	25.23[a]	15.27	17.59	19.41[c]	21.25[c]
C-2	1745.67	1285.87[ab]	1164.28[b]	1090.49[b]	31.20	27.38[ab]	25.88[b]	24.71[b]	15.31	18.38	20.08[b]	21.89[b]
C-3	1750.19	1262.69[b]	1105.89[c]	1016.89[c]	31.51	26.92[b]	24.94[c]	23.49[c]	15.08	18.82	21.25[a]	24.02[a]
SE(m)	4.90	18.97	10.62	5.64	0.51	0.18	0.13	0.09	0.65	0.23	0.21	0.21
C.D	**NS**	**70.7**	**39.58**	**21**	**NS**	**0.67**	**0.48**	**0.34**	**NS**	**0.84**	**0.78**	**0.78**
C.V	**1.65**	**8.68**	**5.41**	**3.09**	**9.714**	**3.88**	**2.93**	**2.19**	**1.78**	**7.303**	**6.13**	**5.51**

Table3.Effectofstorage containersfor different storage periods on Carbohydrate, Gluten&Insect Infestation

Treatments	Carbohydrate (%)				Gluten (%)				Insect Infestation (%)			
	Initial	90 DAS	180 DAS	270 DAS	Initial	90 DAS	180 DAS	270 DAS	Initial	90 DAS	180 DAS	270 DAS
C-1	71.9	71.48[a]	71.11[a]	71.00[a]	11.89	11.61	11.47[a]	11.36[a]	0.00	1.46	3.31[c]	5.94[c]
C-2	72.11	71.27[ab]	70.61[b]	70.43[b]	11.87	11.58	11.34[b]	11.26[b]	0.00	1.77	4.11[b]	7.49[b]
C-3	72.56	71.06[b]	70.25[c]	70.03[c]	11.97	11.47	11.51[a]	11.40[a]	0.00	1.77	5.40[a]	9.37[a]
SE(m)	0.2	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.5	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.16	0.2	0.17
C.D	**NS**	**0.3**	**0.2**	**0.21**	**NS**	**0.26**	**0.15**	**0.12**	**NS**	**0.59**	**0.73**	**0.62**
C.V	**1.61**	**0.67**	**0.45**	**0.47**	**3.56**	**3.52**	**2.03**	**1.71**	**0**	**56.32**	**27.24**	**12.94**