

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_92002
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Genetic variability, Character association and Path analysis in Greengram [Vigna radiata (L.)Wilczek]
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the title of the paper reflects the content of the experiment. - the manuscript is sufficiently robust from a technical point and offers novelty in scientific research. - the abstract does not exceed 300 words and contains keywords specified in the text of the study <p>Note 1: - the location of the experiment is not specified in the abstract and the biological material analyzed (number of genotypes). Also, the purpose of the study must be well defined. The presentation of the results obtained in the summary must be concise and not detailed.</p> <p>Note 2 ...material and methods... - the genetic material used and its origin are not presented.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -the results are explained in detail, expressed through statistical analysis corresponding to variability studies. <p>Note 3 - there are no figures, graphs in the interpretation of the results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - data tables must be inserted in the text under the related explanations. <p>Note 4 - the conclusions must be shorter, to concisely define the research results.</p> <p>Note 5- the references must be improved with a series of authors present in the text...which are not specified: ex. Potter - 1997, Bisth -2005, Haytowitz -1986, Ramanujam-1978, Panse-1967, Johnson-1955, Al-Jibouri, 1958, Garg -2017, Patel-2014.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the references in the text must appear like this...[1], [2] etc. 	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Placinta Domnica Daniela
Department, University & Country	Romania