

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_91848</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Climate-Smart Agriculture is a new approach to farming system- A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)												
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments														
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Introduction:</td> <td><b>In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Methodology:</td> <td><b>An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Results:</td> <td><b>In the result section, an effective way has been used.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Discussion:</td> <td><b>In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bibliography/References:</td> <td><b>There is no problem in Reference section.</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Decision:</td> <td><b>There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.</b></td> </tr> </table>	Introduction:	<b>In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.</b>	Methodology:	<b>An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.</b>	Results:	<b>In the result section, an effective way has been used.</b>	Discussion:	<b>In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.</b>	Bibliography/References:	<b>There is no problem in Reference section.</b>	Decision:	<b>There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.</b>	
Introduction:	<b>In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.</b>													
Methodology:	<b>An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.</b>													
Results:	<b>In the result section, an effective way has been used.</b>													
Discussion:	<b>In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.</b>													
Bibliography/References:	<b>There is no problem in Reference section.</b>													
Decision:	<b>There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.</b>													
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>As a general evaluation, manuscript contain valuable results and findings for plant sciences studies in the future.</b>													

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. Nuri Oner</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Çankiri Karatekin University, Turkey</b>