

EFFICACY OF POTASSIUM AND SULPHUR SOURCES ON ECONOMICS AND PRODUCTIVITY OF IRRIGATED GROUNDNUT

ABSTRACT

The field experiments were conducted in the Kharif and rabi season of 2021 at the farmer's field of Perampattu Village, Tirupattur Tk&Dt to study the effect of K and S management in groundnut. The soil of the experiment field belongs to Sandy clay loam, low in available nitrogen (133.4 kg ha^{-1}), medium in available phosphorus (16.8 kg ha^{-1}), low in available potassium (113.1 kg ha^{-1}) and low in available sulphur (4.80 mg kg^{-1}). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with the following treatments viz., T₁-Control, T₂-RDF (Blanket Recommendation as 25:50:75 kg NPK ha⁻¹), T₃- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application), T₄- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application), T₅- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application), T₆- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application), T₇- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application), T₈- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application) and replicated thrice. A higher percentage of yield increase (3.9&5.63) was noticed in T₄ over other nutrient combinations of treatments and also maximum gross income, net income and benefit-cost ratio were achieved by the RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) in season 1 & season 2 respectively. The lowest gross income, net income and benefit-cost ratio were achieved in T₁.

Keywords: Economics, Foliar, Groundnut, Potassium, Sulphur

INTRODUCTION:

Groundnut is a significant food legume crop in tropical and subtropical regions. Groundnut alone contributes 70 per cent of the total edible oil production. It is a valuable crop for marginal farmers largely grown during summer and Kharif season. Groundnut can be grown under a wide range of climatic conditions however the best-suited temperature is range between 22^oC to 37^oC (Ashok, 2018). India is the world's second-largest importer of vegetable oils, right after China. The domestic use of edible oils has significantly increased over the past few years. To meet the vegetable oil demand in 2020, it is projected that groundnut production has to reach 14.8 m tons, which is an increase of 5.3 m tons from the current production of 9.47 m tons (ICRISAT, 2014). India, therefore, depends on imports to meet its need. Because the current supply is insufficient to meet demand, oil is imported from other nations. Therefore, efforts should be made to increase the total production of groundnuts to meet the growing demand.

Awareness growing among people about the nutritional and medicinal benefits of peanuts such that it is reported to be significantly associated with reduced risk of cancer, cardiovascular, respiratory, infectious, renal and liver disease mortality (Amba *et al.*, 2019). Most biochemical and physiological processes that affect plant development and metabolism need potassium (K), an important mineral. Additionally, it helps plants survive biotic and abiotic stressors such as disease, pests, salt, cold, frost, and water logging (Wang *et al.*, 2013). The application of additional potassium in deficit soil may respond well to the growth and development of the groundnut crop. Though the

recommendation of k in the manurial schedule is high still it requires some extra percentage of nutrients as it purely depends on the initially available status of soil k. Besides, the mode of application is more important than the amount of nutrient application to the crop to enhance the use efficiency of any nutrient. To reveal the above points the study was framed to identify the viable potassium and sulphur nutrient management for irrigated groundnut.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiments were conducted in the Kharif and rabi season of 2021 at the farmer's field of Perampattu Village, Tirupattur Tk&Dt to study the effect of K and S management in groundnut. The soil of the experiment field belongs to Sandy clay loam, low in available nitrogen (133.4 kg ha^{-1}), medium in available phosphorus (16.8 kg ha^{-1}), and low in available potassium (113.1 kg ha^{-1}) and low in available sulphur (4.80 mg kg^{-1}).

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with the following treatments viz., T₁-Control, T₂-RDF (Blanket Recommendation as $25:50:75 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1}$), T₃- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application), T₄- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application), T₅- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application), T₆- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application), T₇- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application), T₈- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application) and replicated thrice. The plant samples were collected and the biometric observations were recorded at 60 DAS and at the harvest stage. All data were statistically analyzed by Gomez and Gomez at 5% level.

Fertilizer application

The recommended dose of $25:50:75 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1}$ in the form of Urea (46 % N), DAP (46 % P₂O₅ & 18 % N) and Murate of potash (60 % K₂O) were applied to the groundnut crop. 50 % N, 100 % P₂O₅ and 50 % K₂O were applied as basal. The remaining 50 % N and 50 % K were applied as a top dressing in two equal splits at 25 and 40 DAS. Gypsum @ 400 kg ha^{-1} was applied 40 DAS. The foliar application of potassium through MOP, SOP and KNO₃ during the crop growth stage of 25 DAS and 60 DAS @ 0.25 & 0.5 per cent at each fertilizer as per the treatment schedules.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

GROWTH COMPONENTS

The plant height, leaf area index, and dry matter production were significantly influenced by the soil applications of the recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) combined with foliar application of potassium through MOP, SOP and KNO₃. Data indicated that (Table 1) various treatments, RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) recorded the maximum mean plant height, leaf area index, and dry matter production, of 33.5 and 40.1 cm, 5.93 and 4.82, 4705.5 and $6300.7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ on 60 DAS and harvest stage. In the second season, RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) recorded the maximum mean plant height, leaf area index, and dry matter production, of 33.3 and 39.7 cm, 5.63 and 4.42, 4413 and 5446 kg ha^{-1} on 60 DAS and harvest stage. Which were statistically similar to season 1. The Application of additional Potassium @ 0.5 per cent of RDF significantly increased the

growth and growth components of groundnut. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are concerned with different plant growth functions viz., cell enlargement, greater photosynthetic activity, formation of carbohydrates, and translocation of solutes. Potassium plays an important role in the hormonal balance, influencing the increase in the level of auxin, an important hormone for plant growth (Karthikeyan *et al.*, 2021). These might be the reasons for increased plant height in the present investigation. These findings were in line with the results of Mangesh *et al.* (2013). Furthermore, potassium plays a pivotal role in leaf development by way of enhancing auxin transport, patterning and signalling in dark portions of leaves than exposed to light areas helps in cell division and cell differentiation (Yuanyan Xiong and YulingJiao, 2019). The improvement in plant height and leaf area index by K application was also reported by Rubio *et al.*, (2009) and Hemeid (2015).

The increase in DMP is due to the role played by potassium either direct or indirect in major plant processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, protein synthesis, CHO metabolism and building resistance in plants against pests and diseases thus resulting in improvement in growth by accelerating dry matter production. Increased dry matter due to an increase in K application in groundnut crops has been reported by Karthikeyan *et al.*, (2021). The improvement in DMP by K application was also reported by Chandra *et al.*, (2006).

YIELD COMPONENTS

The Number of pegs plant⁻¹, Number of pods plant⁻¹, Pods yield, Kernel yield, and Haulm yield were significantly influenced by the soil applications of the recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) combined with foliar application of potassium through MOP, SOP and KNO₃. Data indicated that (Table 2.) various treatments, RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) recorded the number of pegs plant⁻¹, the number of pods plant⁻¹, pods yield, kernel yield, haulm yield of 32, 24, 3103 Kg ha⁻¹, 2165.9 Kg ha⁻¹ and 4200 Kg ha⁻¹ at harvest stage of groundnut. In the second season, RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) recorded the number of pegs plant⁻¹, number of pods plant⁻¹, pods yield, kernel yield, haulm yield of 29, 22, 2895 Kg ha⁻¹, 2015 Kg ha⁻¹ and 3709 Kg ha⁻¹ at harvest stage of groundnut. This might be due to marked influence on no of pods plant⁻¹, test wt and shelling percentage under additional application of K @ 0.5% along with a recommended dose of fertilizer could be pivoted to the overall improvement in vigour and crop growth as reflected in the growth parameters including nodule number per plant. This could also be on credit for its profound influence in enhancing the adequate supply of metabolites and nutrient supply demands of reproductive structures for their growth and development in comparison to K with other treatment combinations. Similar findings were reported by Mekki (2015). Besides that, an improvement in vegetative structures that root to shoot ratio for nutrient absorption and photosynthesis, and robust partitioning of assimilates from source to sink denies the development of reproductive structures. These results are following the findings of Chaudhary *et al.*, (2015).

The higher haulm yield and pod yield in groundnut crops were ascribed due to the beneficial effect of readily available forms of nutrients especially N, K, and S to the crop which was supplied through the foliar spray. These nutrients were directly absorbed by the plant either through cuticle or stomata and might have participated in photosynthesis activity in the leaves of the plant leading to increased haulm yield. Further, it enhances the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen through the formation of effective root nodules and mobilizes the phosphorus and potassium as well as other beneficial hormones, enzymes and siderophores which might have helped in better uptake of

nutrients, optimum growth and higher yields. These results are in line with the findings of Chandra *et al.*, (2006). Supply of K in addition to NPK as recommended dose of fertilizer might have an influential role on the increased pod, haulm and oil yield. The supply of an adequate amount of K and S helps in the development of floral primordia in groundnut plants which results in the development of pods and kernels that too sound matured kernels (SMK) Hemeid *et al.*, (2015).

The shelling percentage was not much more influenced by the different levels and sources of potassium and it was found non-significant.

ECONOMICS

The maximum gross income of Rs. 1, 88,424 ha⁻¹, net income of Rs.1, 15,112 ha⁻¹ and benefit-cost ratio of 2.57 was achieved by the RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) in season 1 & The maximum gross income of Rs. 1, 75,554.5 ha⁻¹, net income of Rs.1, 02,242.5 ha⁻¹ and benefit-cost ratio of 2.39 was achieved by the RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (T₄) in season 2 were shown in (Table 3). This may be primarily due to the higher pod and haulm yield with the less additional cost of K compared to additional yield under this treatment resulting in a higher net return per rupee invested of groundnut. Finally, the application of potassium at appropriate growth stages leads to an increase in nutrient availability, and a higher rate of photosynthesis which resulted in higher biomass, dry matter production, higher nutrient uptake and higher yields. Similar findings were earlier reported by Shah *et al.*, (2013).

Among the treatment, the control treatment (T₁) registered the least gross income of Rs. 64840.5 ha⁻¹, net income of Rs.2026.2 ha⁻¹ and benefit-cost ratio of 1.03 & Rs. 54612 ha⁻¹, net income of (Rs.-10202.3) ha⁻¹ and benefit-cost ratio of 0.84 in season 1 & season 2 respectively.

CONCLUSION

Groundnut crops significantly responded to the application of K and S at different levels. From the present investigation, it can be concluded that the application of RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP recorded the highest growth, yield and economic parameters of groundnut in sandy clay loam in both seasons.

Table.1 Effect of different sources and levels of K and S on growth components of groundnut

TREATMENTS	SEASON 1						SEASON 2					
	Plant Height (cm)		Leaf Area Index (LAI)		Dry Matter Production (DMP) Kg ha ⁻¹		Plant Height (cm)		Leaf Area Index (LAI)		Dry Matter Production (DMP) Kg ha ⁻¹	
	60 DAS	Harvest	60 DAS	Harvest	60 DAS	Harvest	60 DAS	Harvest	60 DAS	Harvest	60 DAS	Harvest
T ₁	17.6	23.8	2.97	2.78	1667.9	2322.2	17.3	22.6	2.57	2.38	1427	1774
T ₂	20.4	26.6	3.98	3.56	4138.2	5301.6	19.8	25.4	3.68	2.86	3916	4575
T ₃	26.3	32.5	4.93	4.08	4409.7	5785.1	25.8	31.5	4.43	3.68	4128	5049
T ₄	33.5	40.1	5.93	4.82	4705.5	6300.7	33.3	39.7	5.63	4.42	4413	5446
T ₅	23.3	29.5	4.49	3.88	4315.7	5626.0	22.7	28.4	4.09	3.48	4053	4883
T ₆	30.8	36.8	5.42	4.46	4543.4	6063.7	30.6	36.1	5.12	4.06	4281	5264
T ₇	23.1	29.4	4.44	3.79	4239.5	5499.7	22.6	28.3	4.02	3.39	4020	4766
T ₈	29.6	33.5	5.37	4.37	4449.4	5959.9	29.3	35.0	5.07	3.97	4212	5246
SEm±	0.79	1.25	0.16	0.07	38.85	70.38	0.7	1.2	0.14	0.07	35	67
CD (P=0.05)	1.69	2.68	0.34	0.16	83.15	150.62	1.49	2.55	0.29	0.15	74.95	143.9

T₁-Control

T₅- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application)

T₂-RDF (Blanket Recommendation as 25:50:75 kg NPK ha⁻¹)

T₆- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application)

T₃- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application)

T₇- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application)

T₄- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application)

T₈- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application)

Table.2 Effect of different sources and levels of K and S on yield components of groundnut

Treatments	SEASON 1							SEASON 2						
	Number of pegs plant ⁻¹	Number of pods plant ⁻¹	100 Kernel Weight (g)	Pod yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Shelling Percentage (%)	Kernel Yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm Yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Number of pegs plant ⁻¹	Number of pods plant ⁻¹	100 Kernel Weight (g)	Pod yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Shelling Percentage (%)	Kernel Yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm Yield (Kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	16	9	45.63	1100	68.56	754.2	1561	12	7	45.23	900	68.37	615.3	1224
T ₂	18	11	45.70	2479	68.64	1701.6	3485	15	9	45.70	2279	68.5	1560.8	3076
T ₃	25	17	45.74	2800	68.88	1928.6	3850	21	15	45.59	2600	69.12	1797.0	3432
T ₄	32	24	45.98	3103	69.80	2165.9	4200	29	22	45.88	2895	69.60	2015.0	3709
T ₅	23	15	45.61	2673	69.00	1844.4	3700	19	12	45.41	2473	68.79	1701.2	3289
T ₆	30	22	45.86	2982	69.57	2074.6	4050	27	19	45.66	2812	69.47	1953.5	3605
T ₇	22	14	45.39	2596	68.94	1789.7	3620	18	11	45.29	2396	68.74	1646.9	3211
T ₈	29	21	45.81	2919	69.49	2028.4	4018	26	18	45.61	2732	69.43	1897.1	3588
SEm±	0.7	0.5	-	51.40	-	39.32	61.84	0.6	0.4	-	37.85	-	27.35	49
CD (P=0.05)	1.5	1	NS	110	NS	84.15	132.35	1.3	1	NS	81	NS	58.53	104.9

T₁-Control

T₅- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application)

T₂-RDF (Blanket Recommendation as 25:50:75 kg NPK ha⁻¹)

T₆- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application)

T₃- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application)

T₇- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application)

T₄- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application)

T₈- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application)

Table.3 Effect of different sources and levels of K and S on Economics of groundnut

TREATMENTS	SEASON 1				SEASON 2			
	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Gross income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Return per rupee invested	Cost of cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Gross income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net income (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Return per rupee invested
T ₁	64814.3	66840.5	2026.2	1.03	64814.3	54612	-10202.3	0.84
T ₂	72930.4	150579.5	77649.1	2.06	72930.4	138278	65347.6	1.90
T ₃	73121.2	170056.0	96934.8	2.33	73121.2	157716	84594.8	2.16
T ₄	73312.0	188424.0	115112	2.57	73312.0	175554.5	102242.5	2.39
T ₅	74832.4	162362.5	87530.1	2.17	74832.4	150024.5	75192.0	2.00
T ₆	76734.5	181090.5	104356	2.36	76734.5	170522.5	93788	2.22
T ₇	73881.4	157696.5	83815.1	2.13	73881.4	145365.5	71484.1	1.97
T ₈	74832.4	177270.5	102438.1	2.37	74832.4	165714	90881.5	2.21

T₁-Control

T₂-RDF (Blanket Recommendation as 25:50:75 kg NPK ha⁻¹)

T₃- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application)

T₄- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through MOP (Foliar Application)

T₅- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application)

T₆- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through SOP (Foliar Application)

T₇- RDF + Add 0.25 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application)

T₈- RDF + Add 0.5 % of K through KNO₃ (Foliar Application)

REFERENCE

- Amba V, Murphy G, Etemadi A, Wang S, Abnet CC, Hashemian M. Nut and Peanut Butter Consumption and Mortality in the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. *Nutrient*. 2019; 11: 1-15.
- Anonymous. Agriculture statistics at a glance. Directorate of economics and statistics, Ministry of agriculture and farmers welfare, Government of India. 2021.
- Ashok SS. Effect of foliar nutrition of fertilizer on yield, nutrient uptake and quality of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). **M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis**. Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth Rahuri, Maharashtra. 2018.
- Chandra P, Samui CR, Bordolup SK. Growth, yield attributes and yields of different cultivars of groundnut as affected by potassium application. *J. Crop Weed*, 2006; 2: 37-39.
- Chaudhary JH. Ramdev R, Sutaliya S, Desai JL. Growth, yield, yield attributes and economics of summer groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *J. Appl. Nat. Sci.*, 2015; 7(1): 369-372.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical produce for Agricultural research, 1994. 11th edition John Wiley and Sons., New York, pp.68.
- Hemeid NM. Effect of different sources and levels of potassium fertilization on productivity of peanut grown under sandy soil conditions. *J. Soil Sci. Agric. Eng.*, 2015; 6:1441-454.
- ICRISAT. High-oil and high-oleate groundnuts to meet the growing demand in India. 2014. <https://www.icrisat.org/high-oil-and-high-oleate-groundnuts-to-meet-the-growing-demand-in-india/>
- Karthikeyan KP. Sowmiyan T, Bhuvaneswari R, Kandasamy R, Muraleedharan A. Response of murate of potash on quality parameters in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cv. TMV 13. *Res. J. Agric. Sci.*, 2021; 12(5): 1800-1803.
- Mangesh JM. Patil RSDR, Raut MS, More SN. Response of foliar sprays of humic acid through cow dung wash on morpho-physiological, yield and yield contributing parameters of groundnut. *Association of soils and crops research scientists Nagpur (ms) India*, 2013; 23(2): 321-326.

- Mekki BB. Yield and yield components of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) in response to soil and foliar application of potassium. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 2015; 15(10): 1907-1913.
- Rubio V, Bustos R, Irigoyen LM, Cardonalopez X, Rojas-Triana M, Paz-Ares J. Plant hormones and nutrient signaling. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 2009; 69:361-73.
- Shah MA, Manaf A, Hussain M, Farroq S, Zafar-ul-Hya M. Sulphur fertilization improves the sesame productivity and economic return under rainfed conditions. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 2013; 15: 1301-1306.
- Shete SA, Bulbule VA, Patil SD, Pawar BR. Effect of foliar Nutrition on Growth and Uptake of Macro and Micronutrients of kharif Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 2018; 7(10): 1193-1200.
- Wang M, Zheng Q, Shen Q, Guo S. The critical role of potassium in plant stress response. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2013; 14: 7370-7390.
- Yuanyuan Xiong and YulingJiao. The diverse roles of auxin in regulating leaf development, plants. 2019; 8(7): 243.