

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_91638
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant Growth Regulators on Yield Contributing Traits and Quality Attributes of Bottle Gourd (<i>Lagenaria siceraria</i>) cv. MGH-4
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The paper is carrying some useful information but there are some mistakes in a scientific presentation, it should be corrected <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. As per guidelines, Author should change the format of abstract. The abstract doesn't describe the essential information in the work.2. In Intro to discussion, i) the reference should mention in number format, ii); authors should use recent reference for citation (After the year of 2000)3. The author should perform appropriate statistical analysis in the result4. In result and discussion part, the data should be interpreted with previous references (Why such results obtained? What is the possible mechanism behind your positive result?).5. Author should check the uniformity of references and write the references as per journal guidelines.6. Author must add future prospects also, how this study will be helpful for future research.7. Finally, the author must be checked the manuscript thoroughly and rewrite the article as per journal format.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. Yogananth
Department, University & Country	Mohamed Sathak College of Arts and Science, India