



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No sub-headings are needed in the abstract. 2. Duplicated keywords (same as the paper title) must be re-chosen. 3. The citation format in the text should be used properly. If the format "[1], [34]" is used, the first reference must be "[1]" and should be listed as the first reference. Therefore, the reference list should not be listed alphabetically. 4. All equations listed in the text must be numbered, even though there is only one. 5. What is "S.Ed" in Tables 2-5? Please define (using table footnote). 6. Color contract for the vertical bars is very poor in Figure 1. 7. Reference listing number 18, 24, and 31 is not complete. There is no source given. 8. If all the authors are listed (References 4 and 18), there is no need to include "et al." 	<p>Thanks for reviewing article and for the suggestion to improve the quality of the research manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sub-headings are removed in the abstract. 2. Key words are changed. 3. References are rearranged according to journal guidelines. 4. Equations are numbered. 5. S.Ed is defined in the foot note. 6. Changes carried out in Figure 1. 7. Clear sources are given for the said references. 8. As there are more than 6 authors, we have included et. al, at the end as per the journal guidelines.