

## Original Research Article

### **NUTRIENT CONTENT, UPTAKE AND YIELD OF FINGER MILLET (*Eleusinecoracana*L.) INFLUENCED BY PHOSPHORUS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES**

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was carried out at Research Station, Perumalapalle, Tirupati, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University during *kharif* season of 2018 on sandy loam soil to study the response phosphorus fertilizer, PSB and VAM on yield and nutrient content and uptake of finger millet. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block design with nine treatments and replicated thrice. Among the phosphorus management practices, application of RDF + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM@ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) showed its best results with respect to nutrient content and uptake at flowering and harvesting as compared to other treatments.

**Keywords:** phosphorus fertilizer, PSB, VAM, nutrient content uptake, yield and finger millet.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana* L. Gaertn) is an important small millet crop grown in India and has the pride of place in having highest productivity among millets. It is also known as ragi, African millet and Bird's foot millet and an important staple food crop in part of eastern and central Africa and India. In fact, it is the main cereal crop of monsoon season in some hilly areas, where it is grown both for grain and fodder purpose. In India, it is cultivated in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Jharkhand, Uttaranchal, Maharashtra, and Gujarat. The annual cultivated area under millets is around 29 million hectares, of which small millets alone accounts for about 3.5 million hectares. Among small millets, finger millet alone occupies 50 per cent area and contributes more than 2/3<sup>rd</sup> production (2.8 million tonnes). Wide adaptation, easy cultivation, free from major pests and diseases and drought tolerance have made this crop an indispensable component of dry farming system. Often in the lands where finger millet crop is raised, no other crop worth mentioning can give a reasonable harvest

Most of the phosphorus sources are gets fixed in the soil become unavailable to plants. So, availability and absorption of phosphorus are induced by the utilization of phosphorus solubilizing microbes. Biofertilizer is a natural input that can be applied as a complement to, or as a substituent of chemical fertilizer in sustainable agriculture (Ebrahimpour et al., 2011). Integrated use of bio-fertilizers offers a cheaper low capital intensive and eco-friendly route to boosting farm productivity. Mycorrhiza fungi which constitute a group of important soil micro-organisms are ubiquitous throughout the world are known to improve the plant growth through better uptake of nutrients. Keeping this in view, an investigation was planned to study comprehensively the role of phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers (PSB + VAM) in improving soil properties and to critically examine the efficiency of applied phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers on nutrient content and uptake of finger millet.

**Comment [D1]:** To evaluate the effect of phosphorus fertilizer, PSB and VAM on yield and nutrient content and uptake of Finger millet when grown in ----research station of -----university during the kharif season.

**Comment [D2]:** stage

**Comment [D3]:** and

**Comment [D4]:** References

**Comment [D5]:** Ragi

**Comment [D6]:** or

**Comment [D7]:** is

**Comment [D8]:** References

**Comment [D9]:** Remove

**Comment [D10]:** Of the annual production --

**Comment [D11]:** . Among these,

**Comment [D12]:** Moreover,

**Comment [D13]:** Give space

**Comment [D14]:** Where is your research gap? Are you sure no any researcher conducted the effect of phosphorus fertilizer and, PSB and VAM on the performance of Finger millet?

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Site description

The experiment was conducted during *khariif*, 2018 at Agricultural Research Station, Perumallapalli, Tirupati, Acharya N.G.Ranga Agricultural University (13° 36'761"N latitude and 79° 20' 704"E longitude with an altitude of 182.9 m above the mean sea level), Andhra Pradesh, India. During the crop growth period the weekly maximum temperatures ranged from 32.0 to 37.2°C with an average of 34.6°C, while the weekly minimum temperatures ranged from 22.2 to 27.1°C with an average of 24.6°C. The relative humidity ranged from 50.0 to 73.6 per cent. The total sunshine hours were 66 hours with an average of 3.9 h day<sup>-1</sup>. The total rainfall received during the crop growth period was 272.7 mm in rainy days during 2018. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design and replicated thrice with 9 treatments. The treatment details are furnished below

T<sub>1</sub> : No Phosphorus, T<sub>2</sub> : 100 % Recommended dose of phosphorus (RDP), T<sub>3</sub> : 125 % RDP, T<sub>4</sub> : 100 % RDP + Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB), T<sub>5</sub> : 100 % RDP + Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (VAM), T<sub>6</sub> : 100 % RDP + PSB + VAM, T<sub>7</sub> : 75 % RDP + PSB, T<sub>8</sub> : 75 % RDP + VAM, T<sub>9</sub> : 75 % RDP + PSB + VAM.

### Soil characteristics

The experimental soil was sandy loam in texture. The soil samples were collected randomly from 0 to 15 cm depth. The soil samples were shade dried, pounded and sieved through 2 mm sieve and analysed for its physico-chemical properties and available nutrients by using standard procedures. Soil was pH of 7.6, low in organic carbon (0.23 %), available N (120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium in available phosphorus (43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and available potassium (218 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

### Land Preparation, planting and management practice

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data collected on various growth characters, yield parameters and yield were subjected to statistical scrutiny by following the analysis of variance for randomized block design as outlined by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). Statistical significance was tested with 'F' test at 5 percent and 1 per cent level of probability. Further multiple comparison tests have been done using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) to identify the homogenous groups of treatments using SPSS-20.

**Comment [D15]:** Description of the experimental site

**Comment [D16]:** Of the experimental area

**Comment [D17]:** Is it 15 or 30

**Comment [D18]:** And were mixed to form a composite sample for each treatment.

**Comment [D19]:** This component should be included

**Comment [D20]:** Where

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### NUTRIENT CONTENT AND UPTAKE BY PLANT

**Table 1. N, P and K content (%) and uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by finger millet at different growth stages as influenced by phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers**

Treatments	N content		N uptake		P content		P uptake		K content		K uptake	
	F	H	F	H	F	H	F	H	F	H	F	H
T <sub>1</sub>	1.07	0.83	57.22 <sup>d</sup>	67.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.20 <sup>d</sup>	0.19	10.68 <sup>d</sup>	15.62 <sup>d</sup>	0.43	0.39 <sup>c</sup>	24.22 <sup>d</sup>	30.05 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	1.13	0.85	61.38 <sup>c</sup>	73.06 <sup>bc</sup>	0.24 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.23	11.73 <sup>c</sup>	18.26 <sup>abc</sup>	0.48	0.44 <sup>bc</sup>	27.63 <sup>c</sup>	35.71 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	1.10	0.94	62.22 <sup>b</sup>	77.59 <sup>abc</sup>	0.23 <sup>cd</sup>	0.22	11.96 <sup>c</sup>	18.60 <sup>abc</sup>	0.51	0.47 <sup>b</sup>	28.72 <sup>b</sup>	36.95 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	1.05	0.86	59.42 <sup>c</sup>	75.66 <sup>bc</sup>	0.23 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.22	13.74 <sup>b</sup>	18.48 <sup>abc</sup>	0.51	0.46 <sup>b</sup>	28.78 <sup>b</sup>	39.40 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	1.07	0.89	61.49 <sup>c</sup>	73.81 <sup>bc</sup>	0.24 <sup>abc</sup>	0.22	13.90 <sup>b</sup>	17.92 <sup>bc</sup>	0.47	0.43 <sup>bc</sup>	26.71 <sup>c</sup>	34.12 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	1.09	0.90	65.47 <sup>a</sup>	78.89 <sup>ab</sup>	0.24 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.20	15.89 <sup>a</sup>	19.20 <sup>ab</sup>	0.53	0.51 <sup>a</sup>	29.18 <sup>b</sup>	48.35 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	1.12	0.86	62.21 <sup>b</sup>	75.86 <sup>bc</sup>	0.25 <sup>ab</sup>	0.21	15.50 <sup>a</sup>	16.54 <sup>cd</sup>	0.49	0.45 <sup>b</sup>	32.43 <sup>a</sup>	39.43 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	1.12	0.90	61.73 <sup>c</sup>	72.47 <sup>bc</sup>	0.25 <sup>abc</sup>	0.21	14.53 <sup>ab</sup>	17.85 <sup>bc</sup>	0.45	0.40 <sup>bc</sup>	31.36 <sup>a</sup>	36 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	1.16	0.91	63.42 <sup>b</sup>	86.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.24	16.40 <sup>a</sup>	20.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.51	0.45 <sup>b</sup>	33.76 <sup>a</sup>	42.97 <sup>b</sup>
F value	0.54	0.69	1.71*	3.09*	2.69*	0.668	5.98**	4.26**	1.54	4.23**	6.00**	11.68**
p-value	0.811	0.689	0.048	0.026	0.044	0.0712	0.001	0.007	0.219	0.007	0.001	0.000

\* Significant at p=0.05 level      \*\* Significant at p=0.01 level  
 Note : Same set of alphabets indicates no significant difference or at par with each other (DMRT)  
 F: flowering, H: harvest

**Comment [D21]:** Bring some of the paragraphs of results and discussion, and take the table below after some paragraphs.

Significantly the highest N uptake by plant was observed with 100% RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) followed by 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) at flowering. However, application of 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) resulted in the highest N uptake followed by 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) at harvest. The lowest N uptake was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>) at both stages of crop growth. Significantly the highest N uptake was noticed with PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> and VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with inorganic P fertilizer was due to increased N availability in the soil ascribed to synergistic effect between nitrogen and phosphorus. Application of PSB which stimulate the nitrogen efficiency through production of hormones such as auxins, cytokinins and gibberellins. VAM fungal hyphae are better able to penetrate decomposing organic material than plant roots and therefore better competitors for recently mineralized N. Sharma *et al.* (2009) and Babuet *et al.* (2017).

**Comment [D22]:** Application of phosphorus fertilizer, PSB and VAM on yield and nutrient content and uptake of finger millet (Table 1).

**Comment [D23]:** The highest N uptake (P<0.05) was noticed with PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> and VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with inorganic P fertilizer. This is due to----. You should indicate research results that could support your findings.

Highest P content and uptake by plant was registered with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) which was on par with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>). The lowest P content and uptake was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>) at both stages of crop growth. Higher P content in plant with 75% RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> may be due to higher availability of P in soil with application of inorganic P, PSB and VAM. The improvement of P content in plants may be due to improvement of the soil environment which encouraged proliferation of roots resulting in more absorption of water and nutrients from large area and depth. Moreover, application of PSB and VAM solubilize and mobilizes the nutrients which became available to plants and thus increased P concentration. (Roy *et al.*, 2018).

**Comment [D24]:** The

**Comment [D25]:** recorded

Significantly the highest K content in plant was noticed with 100% RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) at harvest, whereas, the highest K uptake was observed with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) at flowering. However, application of 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) was recorded the highest K uptake followed by 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) at harvest. The lowest K content and uptake was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>) at both stages. Application of 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> showed highest K content and uptake. This may be ascribed to application of P in integrated manner help to release of K from the K bearing minerals by complexing agents and organic acids produced by PSB resulting in more available K in soil which led to more content and uptake by crop. (The Mohapatra *et al.*, 2008).

**Comment [D26]:** what do you mean?

**Comment [D27]:** The current findings are supported by Mohapatra *et al.* (2018) who did----- and got-----

**Comment [D28]:** Treatments did not show positive effect (P>0.05) on Ca uptake both at ---and ---stages (Table ---).

All the treatments showed non significant effect on Ca uptake by crop at both stages. At flowering, Maximum Ca uptake by plant was noticed with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) and the lowest Ca uptake was recorded with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). At harvest, higher Ca uptake by crop was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) and the lowest Ca uptake was obtained with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). The Ca content and uptake was not significantly effected by treatments at both stages. This may be due to application of P fertilizer, PSB and VAM did not showed considerable or much influence on Ca content in plant

**Comment [D29]:** If treatments did not show significant effect on the dependent variables, no need to explain in this way.

**Table 2. Ca, Mg and S content (%) and uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by finger millet at different growth stages as influenced by phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers**

Treatments	Ca content		Ca uptake		Mg content		Mg uptake		S content		S uptake	
	F	H	F	H	F	H	F	H	F	H	F	H
T <sub>1</sub>	0.22	0.208	11.99	16.69	0.16	0.141	8.31 <sup>c</sup>	10.63 <sup>e</sup>	0.215 <sup>d</sup>	0.199 <sup>d</sup>	12.28 <sup>c</sup>	13.65 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	0.24	0.234	13.28	19.02	0.17	0.160	9.12 <sup>bc</sup>	14.22 <sup>abc</sup>	0.356 <sup>b</sup>	0.292 <sup>bc</sup>	19.38 <sup>ab</sup>	22.80 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	0.25	0.231	13.61	18.15	0.16	0.151	9.13 <sup>bc</sup>	11.86 <sup>de</sup>	0.407 <sup>a</sup>	0.386 <sup>a</sup>	21.17 <sup>ab</sup>	27.36 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	0.24	0.234	13.48	20.06	0.16	0.147	8.87 <sup>bc</sup>	12.59 <sup>cd</sup>	0.369 <sup>ab</sup>	0.319 <sup>bc</sup>	20.92 <sup>ab</sup>	27.34 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	0.24	0.235	13.36	18.65	0.16	0.144	11.18 <sup>a</sup>	11.57 <sup>de</sup>	0.346 <sup>bc</sup>	0.334 <sup>abc</sup>	19.89 <sup>ab</sup>	26.67 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	0.24	0.231	16.19	21.88	0.17	0.150	11.20 <sup>a</sup>	14.54 <sup>ab</sup>	0.354 <sup>b</sup>	0.343 <sup>ab</sup>	23.44 <sup>a</sup>	35.01 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	0.26	0.232	15.44	20.11	0.17	0.148	10.20 <sup>ab</sup>	12.92 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.307 <sup>c</sup>	0.283 <sup>bc</sup>	18.82 <sup>b</sup>	24.58 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	0.23	0.222	12.10	17.54	0.18	0.157	10.25 <sup>ab</sup>	13.22 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.325 <sup>bc</sup>	0.303 <sup>bc</sup>	18.85 <sup>b</sup>	25.43 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	0.25	0.234	16.16	22.33	0.19	0.179	11.98 <sup>a</sup>	15.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.306 <sup>c</sup>	0.276 <sup>c</sup>	22.56 <sup>ab</sup>	31.21 <sup>ab</sup>
F value	0.72	0.32	2.23	2.12	1.78	5.25	2.79*	7.09**	15.85**	8.01**	6.44**	10.24**
p-value	0.67	0.944	0.072	0.095	0.154	0.201	0.038	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000

\* Significant at p=0.05 level

\*\* Significant at p=0.01 level

Note : Same set of alphabets indicates no significant difference or at par with each other (DMRT)

F: flowering, H: harvest

The highest Mg uptake by plant was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) which was found to be at par with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) while, the lowest Mg uptake was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>) at both stages. Higher Mg uptake might be due to higher dry matter production which is important component in nutrient uptake.

Significantly the highest S content in plant was noticed with 125 % RDP (T<sub>3</sub>). However, the highest S uptake was obtained from 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) followed by 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). The lowest S content and uptake was observed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>) at both stages of crop. Highest S content with 125 % RDP at both stages might be due to increased supply of S nutrition through SSP to the crop. (Pramanik and Beraet *al.*, 2013).

The Mn content in plant did not differ significantly with treatments at flowering. Maximum Mn content was recorded with 125 % RDP (T<sub>3</sub>) and the lowest Mn content in crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). The treatment showed non significant effect on Mn uptake at flowering. The higher Mn uptake was recorded with application of 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) and the lowest Mn uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus. At harvest highest Mn uptake (170 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). lowest Mn uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus. The maximum Mn uptake might be due to higher dry matter production. Furthermore, PSB and VAM also played an important role in increasing Mn content and uptake due to secreting the enzymes, organic acids which makes fixed micro nutrients mobile and are available for the plants. The present findings are in accordance with findings of (Mahdi *et al.*, 2010).

At both stages of crop growth, the treatments showed non significant effect on Cu content. At flowering, maximum Cu content in plant was recorded with application of 100 % RDP + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>5</sub>) and the lowest Cu content was resulted with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). At flowering, significantly the highest Cu uptake was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At harvest, significantly the highest Cu uptake (139 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). The lowest Cu uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>) lowest Cu content was resulted with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). Higher Cu uptake recorded with application of 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to higher dry matter production.

At both stages of crop growth, Zn content was not significantly effected by treatments. At flowering and harvest application of 125 % RDP (T<sub>3</sub>) recorded higher Zn content and lowest Zn content by crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). The treatmental effect on Zn uptake at flowering was found to be not significant but it was significant at harvest. The maximum Zn uptake at flowering was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) and the lowest Zn uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). At harvest, significantly the highest Zn uptake (176 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) by crop was recorded with application of 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) and lowest Zn uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). Higher Zn uptake with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to higher dry matter production

**Comment [D30]:** Delete, The highest (P<0.0-) S...

**Comment [D31]:** , where as the...

**Comment [D32]:** Add at least another one reference

**Comment [D33]:** Mn content and uptake did not affect (P<0.005) due to application of phosphorus level, --- and ----. Like this, bring all in significant parameters together and explain in one paragraph.

**Table 3. Cu, Mn, Fe and Zn content (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and uptake (g ha<sup>-1</sup>) by finger millet grain as influenced by phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers**

Treatments	Fe content	Fe uptake	Mn content	Mn uptake	Zn content	Zn uptake	Cu content	Cu uptake
T <sub>1</sub>	35.59	130 <sup>c</sup>	32.82	129 <sup>c</sup>	22.12	81.12 <sup>d</sup>	11.43	42.37 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	38.77	148 <sup>bc</sup>	35.08	135 <sup>ab</sup>	22.97	88.22 <sup>c</sup>	11.51	44.00 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	40.63	165 <sup>b</sup>	35.18	144 <sup>ab</sup>	22.78	92.99 <sup>b</sup>	11.53	47.05 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	39.28	155 <sup>b</sup>	38.49	152 <sup>a</sup>	25.94	91.68 <sup>b</sup>	11.60	45.59 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	38.52	149 <sup>bc</sup>	35.18	135 <sup>ab</sup>	23.86	91.93 <sup>b</sup>	11.97	45.99 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	41.50	178 <sup>a</sup>	35.35	153 <sup>a</sup>	23.79	102.73 <sup>a</sup>	12.23	53.20 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	41.33	156 <sup>b</sup>	36.17	136 <sup>ab</sup>	22.39	84.29 <sup>c</sup>	13.37	50.18 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	41.21	162 <sup>b</sup>	37.11	137 <sup>ab</sup>	27.01	86.67 <sup>c</sup>	12.58	49.54 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	40.20	167 <sup>b</sup>	33.79	141 <sup>ab</sup>	23.61	98.29 <sup>a</sup>	12.20	52.46 <sup>a</sup>
F value	1.48	3.50*	1.13	2.48*	0.49	5.46**	0.49	3.79*
p-value	0.239	0.016	0.391	0.058	0.840	0.002	0.840	0.011
* Significant at p=0.05 level      ** Significant at p=0.01 level Note : Same set of alphabets indicates no significant difference or at par with each other (DMRT)								

and application of VAM and PSB also significantly increased Zn, Fe, Cu & Mn and made them available to the plants.

The treatment showed non significant effect on Mn uptake at flowering. The higher Mn uptake was recorded with application of 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) and the lowest Mn uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). Mn uptake by crop at harvest was significantly influenced by various treatments. Mn uptake has increased from flowering to harvest. At harvest highest Mn uptake was recorded with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) which was at par with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>7</sub>). The lowest Mn uptake by crop was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). The maximum Mn uptake with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to higher dry matter production. Furthermore, PSB and VAM also played an important role in increasing Mn content and uptake due to secreting the enzymes, organic acids which makes fixed micro nutrients mobile and are available for the plants. The present findings are in accordance with findings of (Mahdi *et al.*, 2010)

Comment [D34]: Re-paraphrase

## NUTRIENT CONTENT AND UPTAKE BY FINGER MILLET GRAIN

Table 4. N, P, K, Ca, Mg and S content (%) and uptake (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by finger millet grain as influenced by phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers

Treatments	N content	N uptake	P content	P uptake	K content	K uptake	Ca content	Ca uptake	Mg content	Mg uptake	S content	S uptake
T <sub>1</sub>	0.98	36.05 <sup>c</sup>	0.228 <sup>c</sup>	9.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.378 <sup>d</sup>	14.20 <sup>c</sup>	0.329	13.29	0.194	7.22	0.205	7.78 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	1.00	38.63 <sup>bc</sup>	0.293 <sup>a</sup>	11.28 <sup>ab</sup>	0.384 <sup>c</sup>	14.53 <sup>bc</sup>	0.360	13.86	0.195	7.45	0.226	8.70 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	1.02	41.88 <sup>abc</sup>	0.299 <sup>a</sup>	12.24 <sup>ab</sup>	0.408 <sup>abc</sup>	16.66 <sup>ab</sup>	0.375	15.30	0.209	8.54	0.247	10.11 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	1.00	39.74 <sup>bc</sup>	0.291 <sup>a</sup>	11.57 <sup>ab</sup>	0.395 <sup>bc</sup>	15.59 <sup>bc</sup>	0.361	14.29	0.220	8.67	0.229	9.06 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	1.05	40.36 <sup>bc</sup>	0.301 <sup>a</sup>	11.66 <sup>ab</sup>	0.424 <sup>ab</sup>	16.33 <sup>ab</sup>	0.380	14.66	0.228	8.83	0.221	8.53 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	1.07	47.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.301 <sup>a</sup>	13.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.433 <sup>a</sup>	17.99 <sup>a</sup>	0.354	15.35	0.211	9.14	0.241	10.42 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	1.05	39.79 <sup>bc</sup>	0.268 <sup>b</sup>	10.21 <sup>bc</sup>	0.421 <sup>ab</sup>	15.96 <sup>bc</sup>	0.352	13.33	0.203	7.68	0.220	8.11 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	1.02	40.43 <sup>bc</sup>	0.291 <sup>a</sup>	10.81 <sup>abc</sup>	0.386 <sup>c</sup>	15.20 <sup>bc</sup>	0.339	13.36	0.205	8.07	0.246	9.69 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	1.09	45.54 <sup>ab</sup>	0.307 <sup>a</sup>	12.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.411 <sup>abc</sup>	17.74 <sup>a</sup>	0.363	13.66	0.198	8.25	0.245	10.19 <sup>a</sup>
<b>F value</b>	1.23	3.33*	3.28*	3.45*	3.22*	4.24**	1.38	1.77	1.20	2.34	1.80	4.70**
<b>p-value</b>	0.339	0.019	0.021	0.017	0.022	0.007	0.274	0.156	0.355	0.070	0.149	0.004

\* Significant at p=0.05 level    \*\* Significant at p=0.01 level  
 Note : Same set of alphabets indicates no significant difference or at par with each other (DMRT)

Significantly the highest N uptake by grain was noticed with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) followed by 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). The lowest N uptake was observed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). Significantly highest N uptake by grain was recorded with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> might be due to combined effect of PSB and VAM leads to better exploration of rhizosphere by hyphal network, leading to greater nutrient use efficiency, by way of nutrient dynamic mechanism in soil plant continuum and also due to uptake of P which is known to be positively related with N uptake.

**Comment [D35]:** The highest (P<0.05) N up take was showed by grains with..., whereas, the lowest observed by those with...

**Comment [D36]:** Delete and replaced by The

**Comment [D37]:** Support with references

**Comment [D38]:** Recorded

**Comment [D39]:** P

The highest P content in grain was registered with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). However, the highest grain uptake was noticed with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) which was on par with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). The lowest P content and uptake was observed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). Highest P content and uptake by grain was noticed with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> due to application of inorganic P might have nutritional environment in rhizosphere as well as in plant leading to increased uptake and translocation of nutrients especially N, P and K in reproductive structures which led to higher P content and uptake in grain and also PSB and VAM increased concentration of N and P in grain due to increases solubilization and mineralization of organic P and availability of N and P.

**Comment [D40]:** might be

**Comment [D41]:** which might be important to have nutritional ...

**Comment [D42]:** . This led...

Significantly the highest K content and uptake by grain was noticed with 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) which was on par with 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>). The lowest K content and uptake was observed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>). Highest K content and uptake by grain was recorded with application of PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> along with 100 % RDP might be due to combined effect of inorganic P fertilizer and phosphorus biofertilizers. Increases uptake due to synergistic effect between P and K and also phosphorus biofertilizers which makes solubilizing K from K bearing minerals through organic acids released that could have increased K content in grain.

**Comment [D43]:** Delete

**Comment [D44]:** (P<0.05)

**Comment [D45]:** This might...

Application of 100% RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub>) recorded the highest S, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu uptake by grain followed by 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>9</sub>) while, the lowest S, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu uptake was noticed with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>).

## Grain yield

**Table 5.** Grain yield and straw yield ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) of finger millet as influenced by phosphatic fertilizer and biofertilizers

Treatments	Grain yield
T <sub>1</sub>	3692 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	3846 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	4083 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	3946 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	3858 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	4328 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	3783 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	3942 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	4157 <sup>ab</sup>
F value	3.54*
p-value	0.015

Comment [D46]: Merge this table some where

Grain yield of finger millet was significantly influenced ( $P < 0.05$ ) by phosphatic fertilizer and bio-fertilizers. Significantly the highest grain yield was recorded with application of 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  + VAM @ 12.5  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  (T<sub>6</sub>). The lowest grain yield (3692  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) was recorded with no phosphorus (T<sub>1</sub>).

Comment [D47]: Phosphorus...

Comment [D48]: Delete

The highest grain yield was recorded with application of 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml  $\text{ha}^{-1}$  + VAM @ 12.5  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$  might be attributed to better supply of nutrients along with conducive physical environment leading to better root activity and higher nutrient absorption, which resulted in more plant growth and superior yield attributes responsible for higher yield. The application of biofertilizers (PSB and VAM) increased the efficiency of chemical fertilizers due to control release of nutrients in the soil through microbial activity which might have facilitated better crop growth. The present findings are in accordance with findings of Abbasi and Youstra (2012).

## Conclusion

The present study indicated that combined application of 100 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> is the most efficient phosphorus management practice for the better growth, yield, nutrient content, uptake in both plant and grain yield of finger millet, followed by 75 % RDP + PSB @ 750 ml ha<sup>-1</sup> + VAM @ 12.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## REFERENCE

- Abbasi, M.K and Yousra, M. 2012. Synergistic effects of biofertilizer with organic and chemical N sources in improving soil nutrient status and increasing growth and yield of wheat grown under greenhouse conditions. *Plant Biosystems*. 146: 181-189.
- Babu, S.V., Triveni, S., Reddy, R.S and Sathyanarayana, J. 2017. Influence of application of different formulations of phosphate solubilizing biofertilizers on soil enzymes in maize crop. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.6(12): 3771-3778.
- Ebrahimipour, F., Eidizadeh, K. H. and Damghani, A. M. (2011).Sustainable nutrient management in maize with integrated application of biological and chemical fertilizers.*International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*.1 : 423-426.
- Kumar, S.K., Rao, Ch.P.,Rekha M.S and Prasad, P.R. 2017. Growth and yield of finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana*L.) as influenced by phosphorus management practices.*The Andhra Agricultural Journal*. 64(1): 40-45.
- Kumari, S., Chattopadhyaya, N., Mandal, J and Singh, M. 2017. Integrated nutrient management boost the soil biological properties in rice rhizosphere. *Journal of Crop and Weed*.13(1): 116-124.
- Mahdi, S.S., Hassan, G.I., Samoon, S.A., Rather, H.A., Dar, S.A and Zehra, B. 2010.Biofertilizers in organic agriculture.*Journal of Phytology*. 2 (10): 42-54.
- Pallavi, C., Joseph, B., Aariff Khan, M. A., &Hemalatha, S. (2016). Effect of integrated nutrient management on nutrient uptake, soil available nutrients and productivity of rainfed finger millet. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, 5(5), 2798-2813.
- Pramanik, K and Bera, A.K. 2012.Response of biofertilizers and phytohormones on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicerarientinum* L.).*Journal of Crop and Weed*.8(2): 45-49.
- Roy, A.K., Ali, N., Lakra, R.K., Alam, P., Mahapatra, P and Narayan, R. 2018. Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on nutrient uptake,yield of finger millet (*Eleusinecoracana*L.Gaertn.) and post-harvest nutrient availability underrainfed condition of Jharkhand.*International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*.7(8): 339-347.
- Sharma, R.P., Datta, N and Chander, G. 2009. Effect of vermicompost, FYM and chemical fertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake and soil fertility in okra-onion sequence in wet temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh.*Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*. 57: 357-361.