

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_90683
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS AFFECTING PRODUCTION OF CAULIFLOWER: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Use more up-to-date references. In "varietal review" part there are old one.- In part of "Studies based on phenotypic characteristics and growth requirements", the sentences consider again. Many alphabetic and grammatic errors has been identified. Italic format for scientific names has not done and so on.- Essential changes in all of parts is needed.- All of references check again and if needed other changes apply. Some are as abbreviation, some are incomplete, some are written in parenthesis, and so on.- Name of journals should be written complete, although it has not seen in this article.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- It is important all references have range of format and write depend on year or name order. In part of "review based on genetic variability" this order has not done. Please write them correctly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	NaghmeH Daneshvar Hakimi Meybodi
Department, University & Country	Tehran University, Iran