

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC_85647
Title of the Manuscript:	Verification of rainfall forecast with observed rainfall data in different blocks of Banka district of Bihar
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title</p> <p>seem not okay because it appears like a sentence instead of a power phrase Consider revising to "Rainfall verification forcast in different blocks of Banka district of Bihar"</p> <p>Abstracts</p> <p>No paragraph in abstracts please All mentioned abbreviations must be stated in full at first mention No mention of how data was collected in abstract? Abstract should be reduced to 250 words please. Listing the number of hits predicted and observed isnt necessary only key findings. Finally it should capture the most reliable predicting tool</p> <p>Keywords</p> <p>The last key words is a sentence and its unacceptable, modify to a two word phrase.Also add one to two more keywords</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>The first paragraph is good but is not sufficint to immediately state the need for this study, so kindly add more background before that. A whole bunch of sentences were writte before the first citation. That is unacceptable. kindly add two/three citations before Das et al. Overall there is poor citation in introduction and should be corrected. Kindly add minimum of 10 citations in introduction else the work may be considered as plagiarism stating that "Furthermore, the traditional point-to-point verification adopted for other continuous types of variables may not reveal"is not a strong aim/objective, please improve</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <p>The aim of study in this section should be moved to introduction. To improve readership, the eqautions in this section should have citation for repeatability.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.</p> <p>Kindly compare your data with findings from other authors....This reinforces your findings. Adding (add them if available) measurement of humidity, sunshine, temperature would improve the work greatly because oftentimes the are interdependednt. What is the annual rainfall in the study country? Please include What is the annual rainfall in study area? Please include How do you think your findings will help farming operations? please include How do you think data can be improved or made more accurate? please include What recommendation do you give? please add.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>Its okay</p> <p>References</p> <p>It needs overall and total improvement. Add more ciation/references Add more recent citation/references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nkwoada Amarachi Udoka
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria