

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_92155
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimalarial Assay, Isolation and Characterization of Compound Responsible for Antimalarial Activity in Daniellia oliveri (Rolfe) Hutch. & Dalziel (Caesalpiniaceae).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please make structural abstract by seeing recent article published in this journal. 2. Please write one sentence elaborating objective of your study. 3. In abstract information about statistical analysis should not be written after describing result of your study. This is about your method (before this you have written the result of toxicity study). 4. In abstract what is the conclusion of your finding? 5. Introduction section is very much short, incomplete, and unmanaged. Please read similar articles and learn how to write introduction. 6. Literature review is very lengthy and it seems it has been directly copied from thesis. Please make brief and avoid many sub topic. You can separate in paragraph only. Authors have to read other research article to learn how to merge all information only making as introduction section. 7. Authors have done quantitative analysis of phytochemicals also. Thus, authors should describe the method of quantitative determination of phytochemicals from cited reference so the other others can use this article as a reference. Also, this indicates analysis is authentic. 8. Just writing RT, MS area and Mol weight is worth less without giving the name of chemicals. I suggest to remove this table. In future days it may seems very poor article for authors themselves. 9. These chromatograms have no any significance of any finding peaks are not pure, not clearly visible. It doesn't seems any scientific finding. 10. Authors should correct the format of every reference by reading the journal format and reference of newly published article from this journal. 11. Overall, there are many grammatical errors, errors in sentence structure, space, etc. Please give this manuscript to English expert for review. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitendra Pandey
Department, University & Country	Pokhara University, Nepal