

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_91931
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF RENAL FUNCTIONS AND DETERMINANT RISKS OF CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASES AMONG HEALTH WORKERS IN NORTHERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijanr.com/index.php/IJANR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is nicely conceptualized work. In the introduction section , the prevalence of the CKD should be mentioned in the world, and in Nigerian population. The increasing trend should be discussed with proper citation.the causes of CKD should be discussed elaborately with proper citation. The pathophysiology of Hypertention and diabetes in causing renal issues and CKD should discussed with citations. In the material method -with serum creatinine, the blood urea nitrogen should be estimated and that should be provide which was missing. Is there any interleukin(e.g-IL-18,IL-10) studied for this disease then also discussed The grammar should be rechecked. The line and spacing are disturbed, it should be checked and all the line spacing issues should be sorted out by the authors. In the result section the chi square analysis should be included, during comparison of case and control . In the discussion section ,the role of serum creatinine and CKD development should be discussed with with citation.</p> <p>In the reference section-Refernce no -5 should be rechecked and all capital letters , symbols should be corrected. All the reference should be rechecked and all should be modified in particular (single) refernce style.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pallabi Pati
Department, University & Country	India