

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_92523
Title of the Manuscript:	Gravity model of Black holes and solar planets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpsij.com/index.php/PSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>By considering that gravity changes at increased elevation contribute to change in velocity we can obtain timescale variation related gravity as alternative to time dilation related spacetime curvature. Gravity changes around planets and black holes are revisited by introducing a gravitosphere model where gravity works inside and around planets. Resulting gravitospheres related to planets and black holes radius shows evidence for a critical Radius of a star to develop black hole structure. Internal structure based gravity and velocity variations of black holes is suggested by including captured asteroids input which results in phase transformations and release of kinetic energy. These processes may explain vibrations related radiations, an adapted volcanic style with possible escape of particles and gravitational waves from horizon zone. By assuming that gravity control velocity changes and related structures it will provide the first elements of a universal gravity model.</p> <p>The manuscript is clear, concise, reasonably self-contained presentation of the material and Figs. are clear, giving adequate reference to related work, the title is appropriate and the abstract is adequate for verbatim reproduction in abstract journals. This work is good.</p> <p>So I recommended this work to publish in International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal .</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Khaled Hamed El-Shorbagy
Department, University & Country	Egypt