

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International STD Research &amp; Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_I-SRR_91875</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Same day Antiretroviral therapy initiation, Prevalence and co- factors of advanced HIV disease in an African population- a 1year report.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li><b>Abstract:</b> This part is unclear. What are the CrAg lateral flow assay and HAART? What was the 218? What led to the conclusion like this?</li><li><b>Introduction:</b> Nothing indicates why you studied the effect of the same day antiretroviral therapy initiation. What are the criteria for classifying advanced HIV disease?</li><li><b>Results:</b> As you set the aims of study to determine co-factors of advanced HIV disease, what they were.</li><li><b>Discussion:</b> Many important data present in this section were not mentioned in the results such as how many HIV patients were in this study and where multiple sex partners came from. You must discuss from the data you found and presented.</li><li>The opportunistic infections, in my opinion, should be the comorbidity of HIV infection, not the co-factors of advanced HIV disease.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Veeravan Lekskulchai</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand</b>