

1 Original Research Article

2 **Relevance of food labels among selected students at the University of Cape Coast, Ghana**

3  
4 **ABSTRACT**

5 Food labels are important public health tool that provides consumers with nutritional information  
6 to make informed and healthier food choices. This study aimed at determining the level of  
7 knowledge and understanding of nutritional information on food labels and its impact on food  
8 choices among students at the University of Cape Coast, Ghana. A cross-sectional study  
9 employing random sampling was used to recruit 200 study participants. A pre-tested structured  
10 questionnaires were used to obtain data on socio demographic characteristics, frequency of food  
11 label use and nutritional knowledge of the students. Pertaining to the frequency of food label use,  
12 27.5% of students always use food labels, while 11% never use food labels. While most of the  
13 students were aware that packaged foods have labels, most of them do not refer to information  
14 on the labels when making purchases. Expiry date was considered the most important  
15 information on food labels, while the students may refer to labels mostly for health reasons. The  
16 students considered time wasting to be the major reason they might not refer to labels. However,  
17 majority of the students know what constitutes a balanced diet and how it can be achieved. There  
18 was no effect of gender, and level of study on the use of food labels, while no association was  
19 observed between nutritional knowledge of the students and the use of food labels.

20 **Key words:** Food labels, pre-packed foods, nutritional information, students

21  
UNDER PUBLICATION

22 **1. INTRODUCTION**

23 A food label is described as the identity card of food products [1], which additionally serves as a  
24 guide for the selection of consumable products to meet specific nutritional needs of consumers.  
25 Food labels are a must for all pre-packed foods as it conveys information about the composition,  
26 ingredients and the proportionate quantity. Additionally, consumers obtain information on  
27 quality, origin, processing and preservatives in food [1]. Thus, food labels may inherently have  
28 protective and health-promoting properties if the message it conveys is well understood and  
29 appropriately contextualized [2].

30 On the other hand, patronage of convenient pre-packed foods, especially among young adults has  
31 escalated [3] and typically among students, not only for the convenience and ease of  
32 accessibility, but also out of keen interest to explore exotic food products [4]. This is of concern  
33 because some pre-packed foods may have issues of nutritional inadequacies including the  
34 presence of allergens, high levels of saturated fats, sugars and salts, as well as low dietary fibre  
35 and vitamins [5]. Thus, particular attention to food labels may be necessary to reduce over-  
36 reliance on some pre-packed foods, whose excessive consumption could pose severe health  
37 threats. Indeed, increasing rates of diet-related diseases such as obesity, hypertension and  
38 diabetes has been observed in Ghana [6] and other parts of the world [7]. Apart from low  
39 physical activity, consumption of (ultra) processed culinary food ingredients, which form the  
40 bulk of energy-dense pre-packed foods are also implicated [8].

41 In Ghana, just as in other countries, food label policies and guidelines have been developed to  
42 regulate the purchasing behaviour of consumers [9]. Among the mandatory labelling  
43 requirements for pre-packed foods produced and/or consumed in Ghana are product name, list of  
44 ingredients, processing aids and additives, and product weight or volume. Other requirements  
45 include the name and address of the manufacturer, the country of origin, date of manufacture,  
46 instruction for storage and usage, as well as batch/lot number [10].

47 According to Miller & Cassady [3], consumers with prior nutritional knowledge show a higher  
48 likelihood of effectively using a food label, and thus, benefit more from healthful decisions based  
49 on the nutritional information. University students have a wide range of academic programmes,  
50 which can expose students to different levels of nutritional information, and thus, contribute to  
51 the use of food labels. We tested the strength of the inter relationships between study programs,  
52 knowledge and understanding of food labels and the influence of the latter on food choices. For  
53 this, the College of Health and Allied Sciences (COHAS) of the University of Cape Coast, where  
54 diverse programmes including Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Physician Assistant, Diagnostic  
55 Imaging Technology, Diagnostic Medical Sonography, Health Information Management,  
56 Biomedical Sciences, Medical Laboratory, Sports Science, and Optometry are run, was selected  
57 as a case study. The study contributes basic data that would be useful for expanding avenues for  
58 acquisition of nutritional information, especially among young adults for fully exploiting the  
59 advantages of food labels on pre-packed foods.

60

61

62 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

63 **2.1 Research design**

64 A descriptive cross-sectional study was used to ascertain the knowledge level and understanding  
65 of nutrition information on food labels, and its impact on food choices among students of the  
66 University of Cape Coast.

67 **2.2 Study population**

68 The study population comprised students from the School of Allied Health Sciences at the  
69 University of Cape. The school has a student population of over 4000 and runs 9 undergraduate  
70 programmes in Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Physician Assistant, Diagnostic Imaging  
71 Technology, Diagnostic Medical Sonography, Health Information Management, Biomedical  
72 Sciences, Medical Laboratory, Sports Science, and Optometry.

73 **2.3 Sample size and sampling procedure**

74 A sample size of 200 was used in this study. To calculate the sample size, the Cochran formular  
75 was used as follows.

$$n = \frac{Z^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2}$$

76 Where n is the sample size, Z is the statistic corresponding to level of confidence, P is expected  
77 prevalence and d is precision (corresponding to effect size).

78 Participants were selected using multistage sampling. A simple random sampling method was  
79 employed to select 7 out of the 9 programmes. To do this, the names of all 9 programmes were  
80 written on pieces of papers, mixed up in a bowl and selected by the principal investigator while  
81 blindfolded and without replacement. To obtain a specific number of students from each  
82 programme, the total number of students in each programme were divided by 7 and multiplied by  
83 the calculated sample size (200). Using simple balloting method, pieces of folded papers with  
84 YES or NO written on them were mixed in a bowl and given to the students to select. Students  
85 who selected YES and met the inclusion criteria were recruited for the study.

86 **2.4 Data collection procedures**

87 Preceding the administration of the research instruments, the reason for the study was explained  
88 to the respondents. Questionnaires were given to students who were willing to partake in the  
89 study. Also, participants were educated on the voluntary nature of the study. Students willing to  
90 participate were assured of high level of confidentiality. Students who were not comfortable with  
91 the study were given the opportunity to voluntarily opt out.

## 92 2.5 Data processing and analysis

93 Data entry and analysis was carried out in SPSS version 22.0. Chi-square test was carried out to  
94 assess the statistical association between socio demographic characteristics such as gender,  
95 department, and level of study, and the frequency of food label use.

96

## 97 3. Results

### 98 3.1 Overall response

99 Demographic characteristics showed that majority (96%) of respondents were within the age  
100 range of 18 to 29 years, while 6 and 2 respondents, respectively, were above 30 years and below  
101 18 years (Table 1). Also, more than half (55%) of the respondents were males. Among the  
102 different programmes of study, the highest (23.5) and least (4.5%) number of respondents were  
103 from Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, and Sports Science, respectively, while students in the  
104 second year of study (level 200) participated more in the study (36%) compared to the other year  
105 groups (Table 1).

106 Information about usage of food labels show that less than 30% of respondent always use food  
107 labels, while about 11% never use food labels (Table 2). Most of the respondents (91.5%) were  
108 aware that packaged foods have labels although 28% do not consider these labels when  
109 purchasing food. Additionally, 88% of the respondents revealed that food labels can be helpful at  
110 the time of purchasing pre-packed foods.

111 According to 73.3% of the respondents, the most important information on a food label is the  
112 expiry date (Table 3), while information on ingredients was considered important by 19.2%.  
113 Information on the weight/volume of product was not considered important by any of the  
114 respondents, while the method of preparation, name of producer and brand name were  
115 considered important by 1.7, 0.8, and 5.0% of the respondents, respectively.

116 About 61.6% of the respondents read food labels for health reasons, 17.7 and 12.1% read due to  
117 concerns with food allergies, and taste and flavour, respectively, while only 8.6% read labels to  
118 know the content of the product. The major reason why the respondents may not refer to food  
119 label is related to time wasting (52.7%), although getting confused (19.8%) and not knowing  
120 how to use information on food labels (17.4%) were also observed (Table 3).

121 With respect to nutritional knowledge (Table 4), about 69.5% of respondents answered correctly  
122 the question on what constitutes a balanced diet, although 3.5% said they did not know what  
123 constitutes a balanced diet. Additionally, 85.5% of the respondents had an idea how a balanced  
124 meal can be achieved, although only about 52% of the respondents know the major nutrients  
125 needed by the body.

### 126 3.2 Influence of gender on food label use and nutritional knowledge

127 The usage of food labels among the respondents show that 32.91 and 28.15% of females and  
128 males, respectively, used food labels always, while 6.33 and 14.56% do not use food labels

129 (Table 5). Chi-square test revealed no significant effect of gender on the use of food labels. Also,  
130 more than 85% of both genders were aware that packaged foods have labels, although about 27%  
131 do not consider these labels when purchasing food. Additionally, 17.78 and 7.27% of females  
132 and males, respectively, do not consider labels helpful when purchasing food.

133 With respect to the nutritional knowledge of the respondents, 74.44 and 65.45%, respectively, of  
134 females and males know the minimum requirement to achieve a balanced diet, while more than  
135 85% of both gender have an idea about what to consume to achieve a well-balanced diet. On the  
136 contrary, only 47.78 and 55.45% of females and males, respectively, know the major nutrients  
137 needed by the body (Table 5).

138

### 139 **3.3 Influence of programme of study on food label use and nutritional knowledge**

140 The frequency of food label among the different programmes of study show that majority of  
141 students use food labels intermittently (Table 6). Students of Diagnostic Medical Sonography  
142 reported the highest percent for never using food labels (27.78%), while Sports Science students  
143 had the highest for always using food labels (44.44%). Majority of students (>70%) were aware  
144 that packaged foods had labels and considered them helpful, with more than 50% considering the  
145 information of food labels prior to purchasing.

146 More than 70% of students in Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Biomedical Sciences, Physician  
147 Assistant and Sports Science knew the composition of a balanced diet. A similar observation was  
148 also made with respect to knowledge about the of major nutrients needed by the body. Also,  
149 most of the students in the different study programmes knew how a balanced diet can be  
150 obtained (Table 6).

### 151 **3.4 Influence of level of study on food label use and nutritional knowledge**

152 Among the different levels of study, 41.67% of level 400 students always use food labels  
153 compared to 23.08, 26.15 and 39.02% of levels 100, 200 and 300, respectively. (Table 7). While  
154 level 400 students recorded the highest for the group who always use food labels (41.67%), the  
155 group also recorded the highest among the different levels who never use food labels (16.67%).  
156 Chi-square test revealed no significant effect of level of study on the use of food labels.  
157 Although, about 90% of the students in the different levels of study were aware that packaged  
158 foods have labels, about 35% of levels 100 and 300 students do not consider such labels when  
159 buying food, while about 20% of level 200 students do not consider labels helpful when  
160 purchasing food.

161 About 66% of level 100 students know what constitutes a balanced diet (Table 7). This improved  
162 to 84.72% for level 200 students, however, a low number of (45.45%) of level 300 students  
163 know what constitutes a balanced diet. Similarly, only 68.18% of level 300 students know how a  
164 balance meal can be obtained compared to the over 85% of students observed in the other levels  
165 of study. Likewise, only 29.54% of level 300 students know the major nutrients needed by the  
166 body, compared to the over 55% observed for the other levels of study.

167

#### 168 4. DISCUSSION

169 The current study shows a low usage of food labels among the students, although a high  
170 awareness about the presence of food labels on packaged foods was observed. The proportion of  
171 students who read food labels is similar to that observed in a similar study carried by Madilo et  
172 al. [11]. It has been observed that the lack of understanding of nutritional information is among  
173 the major factors limiting the usage of food labels. In a study conducted in Malawi among 60  
174 consumers, only 7.3% were reported to understand the nutritional information on food labels  
175 [12]. Also, a study conducted in China reported that only 3.3% of respondents understood  
176 nutrition information on food labels [9]. A 2018 study conducted in Bahrain among 430  
177 consumers showed that only 42% actually read and understood the nutrition information on food  
178 labels [2]. Also, according to Norazmir et al. [13], about 53.6% of the respondents in Malaysia  
179 do not use food labels because they had limited knowledge in nutrition. In this study, however,  
180 majority of the students had some knowledge about nutrition, and thus could read and interpret  
181 nutritional information on food labels. Hence the low usage of food labels among the students  
182 goes to confirm the observation of Quigley and Watts [14] that education level does not  
183 influence the usage of food labels by consumers.

184 Although majority of the respondent considered food labels to be helpful, its usage in making  
185 food choices was low. This observation is similar to that made by Song et al. [9], showing that  
186 the impact of food labels in food selection is low. This study however, showed that food labels  
187 are mostly read for health reasons. According to Vemula et al. [15], women usually read labels to  
188 know the content of food packages, especially fats and sugars, as excess consumption of these  
189 two ingredients is known to promote weight gain. This shows that gender can affect the use of  
190 food labels, although no influence of gender on food label use was observed in this study.

191 One major reason limiting the use of food labels observed in this study was the perception that  
192 reading food labels amounts to time wasting, although concerns with food allergy and the  
193 confusing nature of labels was observed. A similar report was made in a study conducted by  
194 Jacobs et al. [16]. However, other studies have reported that labels are not read by consumers  
195 due to other factors such as poor organization and presentation on packages [17], and their  
196 complexity to understand [18]. This implies that labels on food packages should be simplified for  
197 easy reading and understanding by consumers.

198 With respect to the different demographics characteristics, it was observed that neither gender  
199 nor level (year) of study had an influence on the frequency of food labels use. A Chi-square test  
200 also showed no association between the nutritional knowledge of the students and the frequency  
201 of food labels, which affirms the observation of Quigley and Watts [14]. Indeed, a low interest in  
202 reading food labels have been observed in other studies carried out in Ghana ([19]; [20]; [11];  
203 [21]). The low usage of food labels among students may have health implications due to the  
204 recent increasing demand for pre-packaged foods. The low nutritional content of some pre-  
205 packaged foods, coupled with increasing issues of food adulteration means that consumers need  
206 to be vigilant to know the content of foods consumed. Hence, educating students and the general  
207 populace on the importance and usage of food labels and food choices must be intensified.

208 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

209 Less than a third of the students always refer to food labels when purchasing pre-packaged foods,  
210 even though majority of the students are aware that packaged foods have labels. Also, most  
211 students do not refer to information on food labels when making purchases. Among the  
212 information of labels, expiry date was considered the most important information on pre-  
213 packaged foods, while the students may refer to labels mostly for health reasons. Among the  
214 factors limiting the use of labels, time wasted reading labels was considered the most prominent.  
215 There was no effect of gender, and level of study on the use of food labels, while no association  
216 was observed between nutritional knowledge of the students and the use of food labels.

217 **Consent**

218 As per international standard or university standard, Participants' written consent has been  
219 collected and preserved by the author(s).

220 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

221 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

222 **REFERENCES**

- 223 1. Viola GCV, Bianchi F, Croce E, Ceretti E. Are Food Labels Effective as a Means of  
224 Health Prevention? *Journal of Public Health Research*. 2016; 5(3): 768–768.
- 225 2. Wahab RA. Food Label Use and Awareness of Nutritional Information Among  
226 Consumers in Bahrain: An Exploratory Study. *KnE Life Sciences*. 2018b; 4(6): 26.
- 227 3. Miller LMS, Cassady DL. The effects of nutrition knowledge on food label use. A  
228 review of the literature. *Appetite*. 2015a; 92: 207–216.
- 229 4. Quaidoo EY, Ohemeng A, Amankwah-Poku M. Sources of nutrition information and  
230 level of nutrition knowledge among young adults in the Accra metropolis. *BMC*  
231 *Public Health*. 2018; 18(1): 1323.
- 232 5. Moz-Christofolletti MA, Wollgast J. Sugars, Salt, Saturated Fat and Fibre Purchased  
233 through Packaged Food and Soft Drinks in Europe 2015–2018: Are We Making  
234 Progress? *Nutrients*. 2021; 13(7): 2416.
- 235 6. Ofori-Asenso R, Agyeman AA, Laar A, Boateng D. Overweight and obesity epidemic  
236 in Ghana—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2016; 16(1):  
237 1239.
- 238 7. Lin X, Xu Y, Pan X, Xu J, Ding Y, Sun X, Song X, Ren Y, Shan PF. Global, regional,  
239 and national burden and trend of diabetes in 195 countries and territories: An analysis  
240 from 1990 to 2025. *Scientific Reports*. 2020; 10(1):14790.
- 241 8. Nardocci M, Polsky, JY, Moubarac, JC. Consumption of ultra-processed foods is  
242 associated with obesity, diabetes and hypertension in Canadian adults. *Canadian*  
243 *Journal of Public Health*, 2021; 112(3): 421–429.
- 244 9. Song J, Huang J, Chen Y, Zhu Y, Li H, Wen Y, Yuan H, Liang Y. The understanding,  
245 attitude and use of nutrition label among consumers (China). *Nutrición Hospitalaria*.  
246 2015; 31(6): 2703–2710.

- 247 10. Food and Drugs Authority. Guidelines for the labeling of prepackaged foods. .  
248 <https://fdaghana.gov.gh/images/stories/pdfs/downloads/food%20guidelines> (2013)
- 249 11. Madilo FK, Owusu-Kwarteng J, Parry-Hanson Kunadu A, Tano-Debrah K. Self-  
250 reported use and understanding of food label information among tertiary education  
251 students in Ghana. *Food Control*. 2020; 108: 106841.
- 252 12. Kasapila W, Shawa P. Use and understanding of nutrition labels among consumers in  
253 Lilongwe (Malawi). *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*.  
254 2011; 11(5): 5171–5186.
- 255 13. Norazmir MN, Norazlanshah H, Naqieyah N, Anuar, MK. Understanding and use of  
256 food package nutrition label among educated young adults. *Pakistan Journal of*  
257 *Nutrition*. 2012; 11(10): 836.
- 258 14. Quigley and Watts Ltd. The impact of food safety label elements on consumers. A  
259 literature review prepared for Food Standards Australia New Zealand. Wellington:  
260 Food Standards Australia New Zealand. 2014
- 261 15. Vemula SR, Gavaravarapu SM, Mendu VVR, Mathur P, Avula L. Use of food label  
262 information by urban consumers in India—a study among supermarket shoppers. *Public*  
263 *Health Nutrition*. 2014; 17(9): 2104–2114.
- 264 16. Jacobs SA, de Beer H, Larney M. Adult consumers’ understanding and use of  
265 information on food labels: A study among consumers living in the Potchefstroom and  
266 Klerksdorp regions, South Africa. *Public Health Nutrition*. 2011; 14(3): 510–522.
- 267 17. Besler HT, Buyuktuncer Z, Uyar, MF. Consumer Understanding and Use of Food and  
268 Nutrition Labeling in Turkey. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. 2012;  
269 44(6): 584–591.
- 270 18. Saha SR, Vemula S, Mendu, VVR, M. Gavaravarapu S. Knowledge and Practices of  
271 Using Food Label Information Among Adolescents Attending Schools in Kolkata,  
272 India. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. 2013;. 45(6): 773–779.
- 273 19. Ababio PF, Adi DD, Amoah M. Evaluating the awareness and importance of food  
274 labelling information among consumers in the Kumasi metropolis of Ghana. *Food*  
275 *Control*. 2012; 26(2): 571–574.
- 276 20. Darkwa S. Knowledge of nutrition facts on food labels and their impact on food  
277 choices on consumers in Koforidua, Ghana: A case study. *South African Journal of*  
278 *Clinical Nutrition*. 2014; 27(1): 13–17.
- 279 21. Nimoh F, Opoku-Agyeman G, Frimpong AA. Consumers’ Awareness, Perception and  
280 Interest in Labelling of Processed Foods in Ghana: A Case of ‘Sobolo.’ *Applied*  
281 *Studies in Agribusiness and Commerce*. 2021; 15: 3–4.

282

283

284

285

286

287

UNDER PEER REVIEW

289

**Tables**290 **Table 1:** Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Frequency (%)</b>
<i>Age</i>	
<18	2 (1.0)
18-29	192 (96.0)
30 years and above	6 (3.0)
<i>Gender</i>	
Male	110 (55.0)
Female	90 (45.0)
<i>Programme of Study</i>	
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	47 (23.5)
Physician Assistant	24 (12.0)
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	18 (9.0)
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	8 (4.0)
Health Information Management	38 (19.0)
Biomedical Sciences	19 (9.5)
Optometry	18 (9.0)
Medical Laboratory	19 (9.5)
Sports Science	9 (4.5)
<i>Level of Study</i>	
100	56 (28.0)
200	72 (36.0)
300	44 (22.0)
400	28 (14.0)

291

292

293

294 **Table 2:** Usage of food labels among the respondents.

Variable	Frequency (%)
<i>How often do you read food labels?</i>	
Always	55 (27.5)
Sometimes	107 (58.8)
Never	20 (11.0)
<i>Are you aware that packaged foods has labels?</i>	
Yes	138 (91.5)
No	17 (8.5)
<i>Do you consider labels when buying packaged foods?</i>	
Yes	144 (72.0)
No	56 (28.0)
<i>Are labels helpful when purchasing foods?</i>	
Yes	176 (88.0)
No	24 (12.0)

295

296

UNDER PEER REVIEW

297 **Table 3:** Factors affecting the usage of food labels

Variable	Frequency (%)
<i>Which information on food labels is the most important to you?</i>	
Ingredients	38 (19.2)
Expiry date	147 (73.3)
Weight/volume of product	0 (0)
Method of preparation	3 (1.7)
Name of Producer	2 (0.8)
Brand name	10 (5.0)
<i>Why do you read food label?</i>	
I experience food allergy	35 (17.7)
For health reasons	122 (61.6)
Concerns on taste and flavor	24 (12.1)
To know the content of the food product	17 (8.6)
<i>Why don't you read food labels?</i>	
I do not know how to use	29 (17.4)
Label is not attractive	15 (9.0)
It is time consuming	88 (52.7)
It is confusing	33 (19.8)
Some beverages don't have labels	2 (1.2)

298

299

300

301

302 **Table 4:** Assessing the nutritional knowledge of respondents

Variable	Frequency (%)
<i>A balanced diet contains the following nutrients:</i>	
More proteins and carbohydrates (MPC)	22 (11.0)
Carbohydrates, fats and protein (CFP)	25 (12.5)
Fats, minerals and vitamins (FMV)	7 (3.5)
Carbohydrate, fats, protein, vitamins and minerals (CFPVM)	139 (69.5)
Don't Know (DK)	7 (3.5)
<i>You can consume a well-balanced diet by eating:</i>	
A lot of foods (LF)	14 (7.0)
Expensive foods (EF)	6 (3.0)
A lot of meat (LM)	4 (2.0)
A variety of foods (VF)	171 (85.5)
Cheap but delicious foods (CDF)	5 (2.5)
<i>What are the major nutrients needed by the body?</i>	
Carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals (CVM)	34 (17.0)
Carbohydrates, protein and fats (CPF)	104 (52.0)
Vitamins and minerals (VM)	15 (7.5)
Carbohydrates and Protein (CP)	18 (9.0)
Protein, vitamins and minerals (PVM)	29 (14.5)

303

304

**Table 5:** Effect of Gender on Food label use and nutritional knowledge.

Gender	Question/Response/Frequency				
	<i>How often do you read nutrition labels?</i>				
	Always	Sometimes	Never		
Female	26	48	5		
Male	29	59	15		
	<i>Are you aware that packaged foods have labels?</i>				
	Yes	No			
Female	77	13			
Male	106	4			
	<i>Do you consider labels when buying packaged foods</i>				
	Yes	No			
Female	66	24			
Male	78	32			
	<i>Are labels helpful when purchasing foods?</i>				
	Yes	No			
Female	74	16			
Male	102	8			
	<i>A balanced diet contains the following nutrients</i>				
	MPC	CFP	FMV	CFPVM	DK
Female	9	5	4	67	5
Male	13	20	3	72	2
	<i>You can consume a well-balanced diet by eating</i>				
	LF	EF	LM	VF	CDF
Female	8	2	0	76	4
Male	6	4	4	95	1
	<i>What are the major nutrients needed by the body?</i>				
	CVM	CPF	VM	CP	PVM
Female	18	43	7	5	17
Male	16	61	8	13	12

**Table 6:** Effect of Programme of Study on Food label usage and nutritional knowledge.

Programme of study	Question/Response/Frequency		
	<i>How often do you read nutrition labels?</i>		
	Always	Sometimes	Never
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	2	13	1
Physician Assistant	18	23	3
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	0	8	0
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	4	9	5
Health Information Management	15	16	7
Biomedical Sciences	3	10	0
Optometry	1	10	1
Medical Laboratory	8	13	3
Sports Science	4	5	0
	<i>Are you aware that packaged foods have labels?</i>		
	Yes	No	
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	19	0	
Physician Assistant	46	1	
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	8	0	
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	18	0	
Health Information Management	32	6	
Biomedical Sciences	19	0	
Optometry	13	5	
Medical Laboratory	19	5	
Sports Science	9	0	
	<i>Do you consider labels when buying packaged foods</i>		
	Yes	No	
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	15	4	
Physician Assistant	40	7	
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	1	7	
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	12	6	
Health Information Management	22	16	

Biomedical Sciences	14	5
Optometry	10	8
Medical Laboratory	21	3
Sports Science	9	0

*Are labels helpful when purchasing foods?*

	Yes	No	
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	16	3	19
Physician Assistant	43	4	47
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	8	0	8
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	18	0	18
Health Information Management	34	4	38
Biomedical Sciences	12	7	19
Optometry	14	4	18
Medical Laboratory	22	2	24
Sports Science	9	0	9

*A balanced diet contains the following nutrients*

	MPC	CFP	FMV	CFPVM	DK
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	0	10	0	9	0
Physician Assistant	0	5	2	40	0
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	3	0	1	4	0
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	0	0	0	18	0
Health Information Management	8	7	0	21	2
Biomedical Sciences	4	0	2	13	0
Optometry	0	1	2	10	5
Medical Laboratory	7	0	0	17	0
Sports Science	0	2	0	7	0

*You can consume a well-balanced diet by eating*

	LF	EF	LM	VF	CDF
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	2	0	0	16	1
Physician Assistant	2	0	0	43	2
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	1	0	0	7	0
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	0	0	0	18	0

Health Information Management	4	4	4	26	0
Biomedical Sciences	3	2	0	12	2
Optometry	0	0	0	18	0
Medical Laboratory	0	0	0	24	0
Sports Science	2	0	0	7	0

*What are the major nutrients needed by the body?*

	CVM	CPF	VM	CP	PVM
Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics	5	5	0	6	3
Physician Assistant	3	39	0	1	4
Diagnostic Medical Sonography	1	5	0	0	2
Diagnostic Imaging Technology	5	9	0	1	3
Health Information Management	10	9	9	3	7
Biomedical Sciences	4	6	2	4	3
Optometry	3	7	4	0	4
Medical Laboratory	3	16	0	2	3
Sports Science	0	8	0	1	0

**Table 7:** Effect of Level of Study on Food label usage and nutritional knowledge.

Year of Study	Question/Response/Frequency				
	<i>How often do you read nutrition labels?</i>				
	Always	Sometimes	Never		
100	12	33	7		
200	17	43	5		
300	16	21	4		
400	10	10	4		
	<i>Are you aware that packaged foods has labels?</i>				
	Yes	No			
100	50	6			
200	67	5			
300	39	5			
400	27	1			
	<i>Do you consider labels when buying packaged foods</i>				
	Yes	No			
100	36	20			
200	56	16			
300	29	15			
400	23	5			
	<i>Are labels helpful when purchasing foods?</i>				
	Yes	No			
100	51	5			
200	59	13			
300	40	4			
400	26	2			
	<i>A balanced diet contains the following nutrients</i>				
	MPC	CFP	FMV	CFPVM	DK
100	7	9	1	37	2
200	2	3	3	61	3
300	11	9	2	20	2
400	2	4	1	21	0
	<i>You can consume a well-balanced diet by eating</i>				
	LF	EF	LM	VF	CDF
100	2	0	0	53	1
200	5	0	0	64	3
300	4	6	4	30	0
400	3	0	0	24	1
	<i>What are the major nutrients needed by the body?</i>				

	CVM	CPF	VM	CP	PVM
100	9	30	1	4	12
200	12	40	3	12	5
300	9	13	11	1	10
400	4	21	0	1	2

---

UNDER PEER REVIEW