

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_93373
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of a natural growth stimulant (Ascobain) on growth and yield of seeds and oil of Nigella sativa plants.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript titled "<b>Effect of a natural growth stimulant (Ascobain) on growth and yield of seeds and oil of Nigella sativa plants.</b>" is promising, but it is poorly written. I have the following observation and requests:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The language is tedious and some expressions are not proper, this manuscript must be polished and revised by a native speaker.</li><li>2. The abstract of the manuscript is not clear. Request authors to show your results with clarity.</li><li>3. The introduction, results and discussion are written poorly. Request to rewrite these sections with more latest references. Make a proper discussion of your results in comparison with the available literature.</li><li>4. Manage the table with the proper citation in text with proper placement.</li><li>5. Poor management of references in the manuscript. Proper management of references is required. Cite the references accordingly to the journal's demands. Request to cross-check references, whether they have been cited correctly or not.</li><li>6. Although the manuscript is interesting, it is poorly written, so request to rewrite the whole manuscript with proper citations.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bikash Adhikari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>