

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_93276
Title of the Manuscript:	A comparative study of the response of fennel (Foeniculum vulgare, Mill) plants from Egypt and China to spraying with benzyladenine (BA).
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Nil	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>➤ The author mentioned that DPPH activity is found high for 100 ppm of BA (34.5 %) and for 150 ppm of BA it was observed as 33.35% only, as the difference is very less and this alone cant be taken for the final comparison effect with Egyptian fennel essential oil and Chinese fennel essential oil.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The work was studied in an effective way of comparison by the authors and was appreciated for the work.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Madhusudhanan
Department, University & Country	Anand Institute of Higher Technology, India