

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_92538
Title of the Manuscript:	ENRICHED SUPERPHOSPHATE AND AMMOPHOSPHATE FERTILIZER BASED ON WASHED DRIED PHOSPHORITE CONCENTRATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In section Materials and Methods, the X-ray analysis is not presented; please move the paragraph #4 from Results and Discussion in Materials and Methods.	
Optional/General comments	The following is a general comment, not compulsory to revise, but the authors are politely invited to take advise in the future: The references are from limited geographical area, but the internet allows a deeper documentation; as a consequence of limited documentation, there is little progress from a publication to the next one.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claudia Koncsag
Department, University & Country	Ovidius University of Constanța, Romania