

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_92780
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on level of input and economics of production of groundnut in Gujarat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. I recommend the author to add the name of country which in second place in terms of groundnut production next to china. (Given in the second line of abstract)2. Author has to complete the sentence given in the last line of Rawal (review of literature) in the introduction.3. Author has to explain that what it means and is the necessray of given the sentance 'if tenant farmers are there in the study' in the 9th line of materials and methods.4. Author has not given sample size and process of data collection in the part 9f materials and methods which given in the abstract.5. What is the sampling technique adopted to select 12 farmers from each village among the selected districts. (Author has to remember that choosing 12 sample size from a village is very less quantity, it need to be extended minimum 30 in the future research work).6. Author has to develop appropriate policy recommendations for the worthy of study.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Amose
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India