

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_91958
Title of the Manuscript:	Trend and Time series analysis of vegetation dynamics using satellite data: A case study of Uttarakhand, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The summary is too long, it is better to reduce it and you do not exceed 250 words in total, for example you do not show the details of the methodology and the results.</li><li>2. Put a map of the location of the study area in relation to the map of India to make it easier for readers to know the situation in this area.</li><li>3. Put the titles above the tables</li><li>4. Enlarge the size (10 in height and 14 in width of figures 16, 17, 18 and 19 to be well displayed from the results.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	- All minor comments are in the text of the manuscript, please check them	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The structure of the manuscript has to be like this: 1. Introduction. 2- Materials and methods 3. Results and discussions 4. Conclusion and References.</li><li>- The bibliography well done, has mixed between the old and recent references.</li><li>- A very interesting and very rich work, I recommend to publish it in your journal after some modifications made in the manuscript.</li></ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aziz Benhamrouche
Department, University & Country	Ferhat Abbas University Setif1, Algeria