

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_92202
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Coronary Syndrome in Egyptian Women During Their Reproductive Age Period
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although the author group reporting an interesting article, but the writing style of the article is too lengthy and difficult to understand. Please kindly improve the readability of the article. The grouping of analysis also is too difficult to understand.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please list down the age of inclusion and exclusion criteria 2. Please use scientific wording, ex: have children – parous 3. Please list down the total number of PCI. 4. Please explain the detail of PCI, for 2 vessels or 3 vessels or left main with 3 vessels disease, is all of these underwent complete revascularization? 5. Please clarify stress lifestyle definition, is it according to some questionnaire? 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When listing down abbreviation, it should be listed as below format: post coronary artery bypass graft (CABG). Kindly correct. 2. To correct the grammar and English style writing, suggest looking for English speaking author for proof reading. 3. Please avoid using too many abbreviation. It will affect the readability of the article 	

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	<u>Kim Heng Shee</u>
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	<u>Okamura Memorial Hospital, Japan</u>