

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_91961</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Sex Differences in Computed Tomographic Angiography in Patients with Low and Intermediate Pretest Probability of Coronary Artery Disease</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. A lot of use of abbreviation.</li><li>2. Materials &amp; methods were too long &amp; full of unnecessary details.</li><li>3. The study relies mainly of gender-differences, which can be found in any other disease.</li><li>4. Gender differences require higher sample size than taken.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali A. Hadi Alsaady</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Al-Ameed, Iraq</b>