

Impact of cyanobacterial inoculant on growth and productivity of peanut plants in sandy soil

Abstract

During the summer growing seasons of 2021 and 2022, two field experiments were conducted at Ismailia Agricultural Research Station to study the effect of cyanobacterial inoculation (*Anabaena oryzae* (*A. oryzae*) and *Nostoc mscarum*(*N. mscarum*)) on peanut yield, quality and certain soil biological activities under various nitrogen fertilization conditions and three types of application. Results showed that applying cyanobacteria inoculation to peanut plants generally enhanced peanut plant growth, leading to significantly higher yields of peanut and grains than uninoculated treatments. Treatment of *N. mscarum* + 75% N recorded the highest peanut yield and plant characteristics followed by *N. mscarum* + 75% N in soil drench application compared to other tested treatments and types of applications. Cyanobacteria enhanced the amount of N, P, K and Ca in peanut plants overall. By increasing the total chlorophyll, carotenoids, dehydrogenase, urease activities and nutrients in the peanut rhizosphere, cyanobacteria inoculation had a favorable impact on soil fertility. In general, cyanobacteria inoculation with 75% nitrogen amounts can benefit under peanut growth in sandy soil conditions.

Key words: Cyanobacteria, *Anabaena oryzae*, *Nostoc mscarum*, peanut.

Introduction

Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is a member of the groundnuts Leguminosae family and extensively cultivated oilseed and food legume in the semi-arid tropics. It is one of the most significant and frequently cultivated oil crops, which yearly produces 11% of the protein and 20% of the world's cooking oil [1].

Cyanobacteria are a new type of microbes that can help farmers achieve long-term success. [2] illustrates a theoretical picture of cyanobacteria's possible roles in sustainable agriculture and environmental protection. Because cyanobacteria can fix nitrogen from the air,

they could be employed as a biofertilizer in the production of economically significant crops like rice and beans. Chlorophylls, carotenes, xanthophylls, c-phycoerythrin, and c-phyococyanin are among the pigments, with the last two pigments being found in bluegreen algae[3]. They also can help plants with nitrogen deficit, soil aeration, water holding capacity, and vitamin B12 supplementation[4]. Cyanobacteria can digest a variety of contaminants and play a variety of roles in the soil ecosystem to keep soil fertility high[5].

Several studies have found that cyanobacteria can create extracellular polymeric compounds that aid them in overcoming water stress and binding soil particles to improve soil structure and fertility [6]. Exopolysaccharides-producing cyanobacteria are important in the reclamation and fertilization of desert soils, according to [7]. In the rice crop cultivation area, *Nostoc* sp., *Anabaena* sp., *Aulosira fertilissima*, *Calothrix* sp., *Tolypothrix* sp., and *Scytonema* sp. are the most efficient nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria[8]. *Anabaena* and *Nostoc* survive on the surface of soil and rocks, fixing up to 20–25 kg of nitrogen from the atmosphere each hectare. *Anabaena* can fix 60 kg of nitrogen per hectare per season and enriches soils with organic matter. Cyanobacteria can grow, mature, and produce useful organic products without the need for a host. In the rice paddy field, the Azolla-Anabaena interaction is an example of symbiosis for nitrogen fixation and nutrient enrichment. They lyse the lignin in the cell wall and release phenolic chemicals, causing the organism to sporulate profusely[9]. Cyanobacteria like as *Anabaena* and *Nostoc* can be found on the surface of soil and rocks, and they are important in the maintenance and build-up of soil fertility, yielding as a natural biofertilizer for different crops such as barley, oats, tomato, radish, cotton. Cyanobacterial algae's main functions are to make porous soil, create sticky compounds, phytohormones (auxin, gibberellins, etc.), vitamins and amino acids excretion[10], increase the water-holding capacity of soil due to their jelly-like texture[11], increasing soil biomass following death and degradation, decreases soil salinity, prevents the growth of weeds [12], Organic acid excretion as a source of soil phosphate[13], Effective heavy metal absorption on the microbial surface (bioremediation)[14].

Due to the previous advantages of cyanobacteria, the current study was carried out to investigate the effect of two cyanobacterial strains *A. oryzae* and *N. maccarium* as individual strain on growth and productivity of peanut plant with different ratios of nitrogen and compare between using full nitrogen fertilization and replace it with cyanobacteria by 50, 75 % nitrogen

ratios, in order to exchange chemical N fertilizers with biofertilizers and improve the biological characteristics of these sandy soils and produce clean agricultural products.

Material and methods

The current study was carried out in a field experiment in sandy soil at the Ismailia Agricultural Research Station (ARC), Ismailia Governorate, Egypt, in two consecutive summer seasons 20201 and 2022. Three various methods of adding treatments of cyanobacterial strains and different nitrogen fertilization ratios were achieved. Agric. Microbiol. Dept., Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Inst., ARC, Giza, Egypt, donated of *A.oryzae* and *N. muscorum* cyanobacterial strains. Area of experiment was 10.5 m² and contained 5 ridges; 60 cm apart and 3.5 m long. During soil preparation recommended doses of potassium and phosphorous fertilization was added as potassium sulfate (48 % K₂O) and monocalcium super phosphate (15.5% P₂O₅) form and nitrogen as ammonium nitrate (33.5%), the nitrogen doses were tested by 50 and 75 % of recommended dose, with both individual cyanobacterial strains due to decrease the addition of full recommended dose of nitrogen while complete recommended ratios were applied as a control treatment. Treatments of cyanobacteria were added by three different ways as following, first, seeds were soaked in suspension of *A. oryzae* and *N. muscorum* individual of each strain, another treatment was carried out as coating seeds with powder of individual of each cyanobacterial strain, before planted, the last treatment was by foliar doses after 15 ,45 and 60 days from seeds planting. Seeds were planted by hand on one side of the ridges (3-4 seed in each hill) and diluted to 2 plants hill⁻¹ after 15 days of planting. Cyanobacterial treatments were applied three times during experiment, first at zero time of planting, second was after 30 days and the last was after 45 days of planting. All recommended agricultural practices were adopted throughout both growth seasons. Samples of plants were taken randomly at harvest time after 75 days to determine different soil and plant analysis.

Plant analysis

1- Total chlorophyll and Carotenoids

Pigments were extracted from 1 g fresh young leaves in a dimethylformamide (DMF) soln. Overnight at 4°C to estimate the mass of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and Carotenoids per leaf. At wavelengths of 663, 470, and 647 nm, the

pigments were calculated using Moran's equation and a spectrophotometer Beckman Du 7400 [15].

2- Seeds quality

1- The peroxide number, saponification, iodine value, and saponifiable value were determined in seeds oil percentage content, Total carbohydrates percentages determined according to Dubios et al., Protein content in the peanut seeds according to standard methods [16].

Soil analysis

1) Soil characteristics and available nutrients N,P, K and Ca:

Soil samples were collected, air dried , gently ground and passed through 2mm sieve to determining , the particle size distribution using international pipette method and hexameta phosphate as dispersing agent .Soil organic matter (OM) content was determined using the modified walkelt and Blak method. pH using electrode pH meter. Water soluble cations and anions were determined by Collins Clcimeter and available N,P and K according to [17]. Soil exchangeable Ca^{2+} was extracted with 1 mol/L ammonium acetate (pH 7.0) [18] .

Table 1. Physical and chemical properties of experimental soil

Soil characteristics	Value	Soil characteristics	Value
Particle size distribution%:		Soluble cations (soil paste mmolc L⁻¹):	
Coarse sand	62.35	Ca ²⁺	2.92
Fin sand	30.08	Mg ²⁺	2.18
Silt	3.45	Na ⁺	1.30
Clay	4.12	K ⁺	2.34
pH (1:25 soil: water suspension)	7.65		
ECe (dS m ⁻¹ , soil paste extract)	0.87	Soluble anions (soil paste, mmolc L⁻¹):	
CaCO ₃ %	0.18	CO ₃ ²⁻	0.00
Organic matter %	0.21	HCO ₃ ⁻	2.47
Available nutrients (mg Kg ⁻¹)		Cl ⁻	3.53
Nitrogen	34.67	SO ₄ ²⁻	2.74
Phosphorus	2.1		
Potassium	147		


2) Dehydrogenase and urease activity

Casida's method for determining dehydrogenase activity was used to examine the samples [19], while urease activity was measured using colorimetric method [20].

Results and discussion

Chlorophyll and carotenoids

Both cyanobacterial strains are greatly improved total chlorophyll, carotenoids, contents in peanut plants, data in table (2) indicated that, the effect of treatment with individual cyanobacterial strains, and with different nitrogen rates by different types of applications on total chlorophyll and carotenoids of peanut plants at 70 days during two seasons 2021 and 2022, the highest chlorophyll contents were 1.55 and 1.44 mg g⁻¹ F.W, recorded by *N. muscorum* +75% N treatment in seed coating and soil drench application respectively, while the largest content of carotenoids were 52.82 and 51.53 mg g⁻¹ F.W recorded by *A. oryzae* and *A. oryzae* + 50 % N treatments in soil drench and foliar application respectively. Generally total chlorophyll and carotenoids contents increased in treated peanut plants in the presence of both cyanobacterial inoculants supplemented with nitrogen in different types of applications.

Moreover,  data in table (3) showed the effect of treatment with cyanobacterial as individual strains and with different nitrogen rates by various types of applications on oil percentages, iodine number, peroxidase number, saponification value, total carbohydrates percentage and protein solubility percentage in peanut seeds after harvest during two seasons 2021 and 2022.

A slightly significant variation in the peanut oil percentage content was observed among different applications and treatments, the highest oil percentage was 45% reported by *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment in soil drench application type followed by 43.75% reported by treatment of *A. oryzae* + 75% N in the same type of application, then *N.muscorum*+75 % N and *A. oryzae* + 75% N treatments in the other two types of application. Iodine number for peanut seeds was measured to define the degree of unsaturation and stability of peanut oil samples of peanut seeds, that affect the quality of seeds and decrease the percentage of rancidity, the highest iodine value was 97.42 recorded by treatment of *A. oryzae* followed by 97.39, 97.28 measured by *N.muscarum* and *A. oryzae* + 50% N respectively in seed coating application type, but foliar application type iodine number was less than seed coating 97.36 recorded by *A. oryzae* treatment and 97.29 recorded by *N. muscarum* treatment while soil drench application type was the least Iodine number at all. Peroxidase number The peroxide number of different varieties of peanut oil has significantly the highest value of 3.63 mEq was found in *A. oryzae* treatment,

followed by 3.32 and 3.26 mEq which recorded by *A. oryzae* +50% N and *N. muscarum* treatments respectively in seed coating application type, had peroxide value of other treatments

Table (2). Effect of treatment with individual cyanobacterial strains, and with different nitrogen on total chlorophyll and carotenoids of peanut plants at 70 days during two seasons 2021 and 2022.

	Treatments	Total chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹ F.W)	Carotenoids (mg g ⁻¹ F.W)
Seed coating	Control	1.14	23.42
	<i>A. oryzae</i>	0.81	35.81
	<i>A. oryzae</i> +50% N	1.33	42.77
	<i>A. oryzae</i> +75% N	1.06	46.52
	<i>N. muscarum</i>	1.35	34.62
	<i>N. muscarum</i> +50% N	1.36	38.83
	<i>N. muscarum</i> + 75% N	1.55	47.03
Soil drench	<i>A. oryzae</i>	1.10	49.77
	<i>A. oryzae</i> +50% N	1.16	35.12
	<i>A. oryzae</i> +75% N	1.43	43.78
	<i>N. muscarum</i>	1.29	44.52
	<i>N. muscarum</i> +50% N	1.38	40.43
	<i>N. muscarum</i> + 75% N	1.44	48.27
Foliar application	<i>A. oryzae</i>	1.26	52.28
	<i>A. oryzae</i> +50% N	1.41	51.53
	<i>A. oryzae</i> +75% N	1.08	44.32
	<i>N. muscarum</i>	1.20	48.02
	<i>N. muscarum</i> +50% N	1.35	46.77
	<i>N. muscarum</i> + 75% N	1.45	37.523

in all application type was in acceptable values between 2.78 and 3 mEq. Saponification value is an indication of the size or nature of fatty acid chains esterified to glycerol, the highest saponification values of peanut oil were 193.30 and 192.84 mg g⁻¹ which recorded by *A. oryzae* and *N. muscarum* treatments, respectively in seed coating application followed by 192.75 and 192.50 for the *A. oryzae* treatment in foliar and soil drench application, respectively. However total carbohydrate percentage found in soil drench application was the highest percentage 11.36,

11.29 and 11.20 recorded by *N. muscarum* + 75% N, *A. oryzae* +75% N and *N. muscarum* +50% N treatments respectively. The last recorded parameter was protein solubility percentage, treatment of *Nostoc* + 75% N showed the largest protein percentage 23.29 and *A. oryzae* +75% N 22.74 in foliar and soil drench application respectively, followed by 22.94 recorded by treatment of *A. oryzae* +75% N in soil drench application.

A) Response of Soil pH, nutrients contents, Soil organic matter percentages, and soil biological activity to:

Data in table (4) reported the changes in soil pH, organic matter percentage and some nutrient contents such as nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus, and calcium, due to the effect of cyanobacterial treatments and different types of application after 70 days of planting and at harvest time. Results showed that soil pH decreased in *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment in soil drench application (7.20) than control and other treatments, followed by *A. oryzae* +75 % N treatment (7.23), *N. muscorum*+50 % N treatments (7.30) and *A. oryzae* +50 % N (7.34) treatment, in the same application in 70 days samples. In harvest samples *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment reported the lowest pH at all (6.87) then *A. oryzae* +75 % N treatment (6.90), *N.muscorum*+50 % N treatments (6.99) and *A. oryzae* + 50 % N (7.10) treatments, in soil drench applications, soil pH in other treatments and another both types of applications were equal or less than control treatment slightly. In respect to the interaction effect between cyanobacteria treatments and nitrogen rates organic matter percentage increased in both periods, in all treatments and applications than control treatment, the highest organic matter percentage was *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment (0.3 and 0.34%) in soil drench application at harvest time and 70days respectively then *A. oryzae* +75 % N treatment (0.33 and 0.30 %) at harvest time and 70 days respectively in soil drench application, followed by the same treatments in foliar application (0.31 and 0.30%) and the smallest organic matter percenteges were in seed coating applications than control treatment. On the other respect, presence of both cyanobacteria increased nutrient contents in soil compered to uninoculated soil, *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment was the highest nutrient contents, N (131), P (5.03), K(243) and Ca (1.27) mg.kg⁻¹ in soil followed by *A.oryzae* +75 % N treatment N (122), P (4.58) K(227) and Ca (1.15) mg.kg⁻¹ in soil in 70 days stage in soil drench application, moreover in seed coating application *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment recorded N (92), P (4.63), K(217) and Ca (0.65) mg.kg⁻¹, but *A. oryzae* +75 % N treatment N (80), P (4.49),K(209) and Ca (0.60) mg.kg⁻¹ in soil in 70 days stage, foliar application recorded

the lowest nutrients contents in soil , while harvest time had lowest nutrient contents in all types of applications and all treatments than control.

Table (3) Effect of treatment with cyanobacterial strains with different nitrogen rates on oil percentages, pH, iodine number, peroxidase number, emulsification total carbohydrates percentage and protein contents in peanut seeds during two seasons 2021 and 2022.

	Treatments	Oil %	Iodine number	Peroxide number	Saponification value (mg g ⁻¹)	Total carbohydrate %	Protein %
Seed coating	Control	41.40	97.18	3.46	192.50	10.72	20.63
	<i>A.oryzae</i>	37.55	97.42	3.63	193.30	10.55	19.55
	<i>A.oryzae</i> +50% N	40.21	97.28	3.32	192.28	10.69	20.08
	<i>A.oryzae</i> +75% N	41.84	96.92	3.00	191.75	10.81	21.64
	<i>N. muscorum</i>	39.10	97.39	3.42	192.84	10.63	19.63
	<i>N. muscorum</i> +50% N	40.88	97.09	3.05	191.96	10.77	21.32
	<i>N. muscorum</i> + 75% N	42.56	96.82	2.93	190.98	10.90	22.35
Soil drench	<i>A.oryzae</i>	39.60	97.22	3.15	192.50	10.70	21.00
	<i>A.oryzae</i> +50% N	41.72	96.99	2.87	191.75	11.00	22.50
	<i>A.oryzae</i> +75% N	43.75	95.77	2.44	189.55	11.29	22.94
	<i>N.muscarum</i>	40.18	97.15	3.00	192.10	10.89	21.33
	<i>N.muscarum</i> +50% N	42.83	96.86	2.48	190.63	11.20	22.75
	<i>N.muscarum</i> + 75% N	45.00	95.71	2.35	188.94	11.36	23.29
	<i>A.oryzae</i>	38.80	97.36	3.26	192.75	10.61	20.28
Foliar application	<i>A.oryzae</i> +50% N	40.57	97.18	3.06	191.89	10.80	21.12
	<i>Anabeana</i> +75% N	42.50	96.86	2.84	190.88	11.00	22.60
	<i>N.muscarum</i>	39.68	97.29	3.18	192.22	10.68	20.55
	<i>N.muscarum</i> +50% N	41.53	97.00	2.97	191.24	10.95	21.79
	<i>N.muscarum</i> + 75% N	43.50	96.78	2.78	190.55	11.15	22.74

Table (4) Effect of each cyanobacterial strains by different nitrogen rates on N,P, K and Ca contents in soil through growth peanut plants at 70 days and at harvest time during two seasons 2021 and 2022.

	Treatments	Soil at 70 days						Soil at harvest time						
		pH	OM* %	N	P	K	Ca	pH	OM* %	N	P	K	Ca	
		mg.kg ⁻¹ soil												
Seed coating	Control	6	0.21	82(a)	4.18(a)	173(d)	0.45(g)	7.43	0.23	36 (c)	3.21(d)	151(d)	0.45(a)	
	<i>Anabena</i>	7.50	0.22	44 (g)	4.21(f)	178(e)	0.47(f)	7.41	0.23	32(e)	3.25(e)	155(c)	0.25(e)	
	<i>Anabeana</i> +50% N	7.47	0.23	66(e)	4.37(e)	192(c)	0.55(d)	7.38	0.26	37(c)	3.29(d)	159(b)	0.25(d)	
	<i>Anabeana</i> +75% N	7.43	0.24	80(c)	4.49(c)	209(a)	0.60(b)	7.27	0.29	39(b)	3.43(b)	163(a)	0.29(c)	
	<i>Nostoc</i>	7.49	0.22	48(f)	4.21(f)	183(d)	0.49(e)	7.40	0.24	34(d)	3.25(d)	156(c)	0.27(d)	
	<i>Nostoc</i> +50% N	7.44	0.24	74(d)	4.45(d)	205(b)	0.58 (c)	7.35	0.27	39(bc)	3.32(c)	163(e)	0.27(d)	
	<i>Nostoc</i> + 75% N	7.41	0.26	92(b)	4.63(b)	217(f)	0.65(a)	7.26	0.30	42(a)	3.56(a)	167(a)	0.31(b)	
Soil drench	<i>Anabena</i>	7.43	0.24	47(g)	4.25(f)	182(e)	0.86(f)	7.39	0.25	35(e)	3.42(e)	158(c)	0.54(e)	
	<i>Anabeana</i> +50% N	7.34	0.28	86 (e)	4.47(e)	210(c)	0.97(d)	7.10	0.28	40(c)	3.49(e)	165(b)	0.58(d)	
	<i>Anabeana</i> +75% N	7.23	0.31	122(c)	4.58(c)	227(a)	1.15(b)	6.90	0.33	43(e)	3.55(d)	171(a)	0.63(c)	
	<i>Nostoc</i>	7.42	0.26	52(f)	4.28(f)	194(d)	0.92(e)	7.37	0.26	37(d)	3.44(b)	160(c)	0.65(d)	
	<i>Nostoc</i> +50% N	7.30	0.30	109(d)	4.55(d)	223(b)	1.05(c)	6.99	0.31	43(bc)	3.57(c)	168(e)	0.60(d)	
	<i>Nostoc</i> + 75% N	7.20	0.34	131(b)	5.03(b)	234(f)	1.27(a)	6.87	0.36	46(a)	3.73(a)	174(a)	0.67(b)	
	<i>Anabena</i>	7.52	0.22	39(g)	4.15(f)	175(e)	0.56(f)	7.41	0.23	29(e)	2.95(e)	152(c)	0.24(e)	
Foliar application	<i>Anabeana</i> +50% N	7.5	0.25	59(e)	4.22(e)	189(c)	0.62(d)	7.41	0.26	33(c)	3.12(d)	153(b)	0.26(d)	
	<i>Anabeana</i> +75% N	7.49	0.29	78 (c)	4.28(c)	198(a)	0.70(b)	7.39	0.30	36(e)	3.22(d)	157(a)	0.29(c)	
	<i>Nostoc</i>	7.52	0.23	41(f)	4.15(f)	178(d)	0.59(e)	7.41	0.23	30(d)	2.97(d)	153(c)	0.27(d)	
	<i>Nostoc</i> +50% N	7.50	0.27	65(d)	4.25(d)	195(b)	0.66(c)	7.40	0.29	33(bc)	3.15(c)	156(e)	0.27(d)	
	<i>Nostoc</i> + 75% N	7.49	0.31	80(b)	4.33(b)	206(f)	0.76(a)	7.38	0.33	38(a)	3.27(a)	158(a)	0.30(b)	
	L.S.D. (0.05)				2.59	0.040	2.82	0.037			2.48	0.040	2.66	0.026

*OM : Organic Matter

Global concern surrounds the indiscriminate use of chemical nitrogenous fertilizers in agriculture. Alternatives to nitrogen fertilizers must be sought out immediately due to sustainability concerns. This alternative is provided by biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), a microbiological procedure that transforms atmospheric nitrogen into a form that plants can utilize. Nitrogen-fixing systems provide a financially appealing and environmentally responsible way to reduce external inputs and enhance internal resources[21]. Although groundnuts are legumes and can fix atmospheric nitrogen, they respond best to starting doses of small amounts of nitrogenous fertilizers. Results in tables 2,3 and 4 indicated that, inoculation with

cyanobacteria combined with different ratios of nitrogen significantly increased total chlorophyll and carotenoids, oil content, oil yield and net returns over no inoculation. Cyanobacteria are an emerging type of microorganism for the development of sustainable agriculture. It called diazotrophes are helpful for producing affordable, conveniently accessible biofertilizers that are friendly to the environment. The use of cyanobacteria to increase crop productivity and soil fertility is covered in several green technology articles. Numerous types of contaminants can be broken down by cyanobacteria, which also plays a variety of roles in the soil ecosystem to maintain soil fertility [2]. [8] Prasad and Prasad (2001) reported that, *Nostoc* sp., *Anabaena* sp., *Aulosira* sp., *Calothrix* sp., *Tolypothrix* sp., and *Scytonema* sp. cyanobacteria are the most effective nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria. They also can supplemented plants by vitamin B₁₂, increase soil aeration and water holding capacity, and reduce plant nitrogen deficiency [22].

Chittora et al. [23] revealed that cyanobacteria and other microalgae's usefulness in a variety of fields has made large-scale cultivation of them necessary. The success of large-scale biomass production. cyanobacteria are essential for maintaining and increasing soil fertility, which makes them a natural biofertilizer [22]. Blue-green algae's main functions are to create sticky compounds and porous soil, excretion of vitamins, amino acids, and phytohormones (auxin, gibberellins, etc.) [11], increase soil's ability to hold water thanks to their distinctive jelly structure, the soil's biomass increased after they died and decomposed, lower salinity of the soil, prevents the growth of weeds, soil phosphate availability due to organic acid excretion, effective heavy metal absorption on the an infectious surface [14].

B) Response of microbial community at different growth stages of peanut

Generally, data in Table (5) revealed that the presence of cyanobacteria mixed at different rates of nitrogen increased the soil biological activity as represented by the amount of DHA and urease activities after 70 days of planting. This increase in soil biological activity resumed to increase because of cyanobacteria inoculation till 70 days, then it highly decreased at harvest time due to reducing of water, inoculum and nutrients in all treatments and application types as well as control treatment. *N. muscorum*+75 % N treatment reported the highest activities in both enzymes in soil drench and seed coating applications 131.15, 115 µl H₂ (DHA) and 61.86, 52.4 µl NH₄ (urease) respectively, followed by *A. oryzae* +75 % N treatment 110 µl H₂ (DHA) and µl 50.8 NH₄ (urease) in soil drench application and in seed coating application were 100.9 µl H₂ (DHA) and 42 µl NH₄ (urease). However, all treatments in all application types combined with

cyanobacteria inoculation reported higher enzymes activities than all treatments without nitrogen and control treatments after 70 days of planting also at harvest time.

Table (6). Some microbial enzymes activities in rhizosphere of peanut beans plants treated with both cyanobacterial strains during two seasons.

Treatments	Dehydrogenase (mg TPF g ⁻¹ dry rhizosphere soil ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)		Urease (mg NH ₄ -N g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	
	70 days	Harvest	70days	Harvest
Control	40.4	10.5	10.2	4.11
<i>A. oryzae</i>	20.6	11.1	11.21	6.18
<i>A. oryzae</i> +50% N	57.60	29.50	29.50	8.10
<i>A. oryzae</i> +75% N	100.90	14.90	42.00	8.70
<i>N. muscarum</i>	109.10	13.21	26.50	7.25
<i>N. muscarum</i> +50% N	35.40	13.71	32.50	8.42
<i>N. muscarum</i> + 75% N	115.00	15.00	53.40	8.84
<i>A. oryzae</i>	35.45	12.45	15.70	5.27
<i>A. oryzae</i> +50% N	66.70	13.70	22.80	7.28
<i>A. oryzae</i> +75% N	110.00	14.60	50.8	9.18
<i>N. muscarum</i>	112.90	12.92	26.2	6.72
<i>N. muscarum</i> +50% N	71.10	14.10	35.7	8.70
<i>N. muscarum</i> + 75% N	131.15	14.77	61.86	9.89
<i>A. oryzae</i>	15.05	5.05	7.12	2.12
<i>A. oryzae</i> +50% N	57.00	7.00	26.5	4.25
<i>A. oryzae</i> +75% N	81.33	8.23	22.8	4.98
<i>N. muscarum</i>	46.27	6.77	9.25	3.75
<i>N. muscarum</i> +50% N	67.75	7.75	21.5	4.75
<i>N. muscarum</i> + 75% N	102.00	8.43	27.21	6.21

In the present study , the inoculation of cyanobacteria increased the soil's biological activity in terms of dehydrogenase (DHA) and urease activities. cyanobacterial inoculation, indicating their potential as plant growth and soil fertility improving rhizobacteria in other crops, including wheat, have benefited from[24] Plant colonisation can be indirectly assessed by estimating microbial activity and soil enzyme parameters during crop growth. In the absence of soil enzymes, which govern the transformation of elements in soil, the fertility of agricultural land falls. Microbial activity and soil fertility are often linked because nutrient cycling occurs via bacteria, resulting in variances in yields and changes in many soil characteristics. Because it represents the mineralization of key organic compounds, soil microbial biomass is critical in maintaining soil functions (Frankenberger and Dick 1983).Zulpa et al.[25] investigated the

impact of soil nutrients and microbiological activity on the cyanobacteria such as *Nostoc muscorum* and *Anabaena oryzae*, both strains produce exudates and biomass which capable of improving the microbial activity in soil. Dehydrogenase enzyme activity (DHA), which denotes energy transfer, serves as a measure of total microbial activity in soil. It has been suggested that using soil enzyme activities to consider the economic and sustainability impacts of agricultural operations and even to diagnose different types of soil [26]. Urease (urea amidohydrolase, EC 3.5.1.5), one of numerous soil enzymes, is a crucial enzyme that is directly related to the transformation, biological turnover, and bioavailability of nitrogen [27]. Cyanobacteria, whether in solid or liquid form, might be a beneficial potential biofertilizer. They also may photosynthesize and fix nitrogen in a wide range of soil types [28] and have a one-of-a-kind ability to fix nitrogen from the atmosphere by linking photosynthesis with nitrogen fixation. Biofertilizers are often environmentally friendly, less expensive, and can provide the nutrient requirements of crops [29]. Certain cyanobacteria have been discovered to not only flourish in such hostile environments, but also to improve the soil's physico-chemical qualities by supplementing it with carbon, nitrogen, available phosphorus, and other nutrients. The beneficial effects of using organic fertilizers in combination with mineral -N fertilizers on increasing nutrient concentration and content of peanut seeds could be due to their effect on providing plants with their nutritional requirements from various nutrients for a longer period of time, as well as their effect on increasing the availability of nutrients in the soil for uptake by plants and improving the nutritional status of the plants. The use of organic N in combination with biofertilizers as a partial replacement for chemical fertilizers was very efficient in increasing nutrient concentration and content in the peanut plants. These results could be attributed to biofertilizer's ability to transport important nutrients like N and P as well as secrete plant growth-promoting compounds like nitrate [30]. Microbial inoculants like cyanobacteria can help to restore the fertility of soil but are effective under specific environments and specific crops due to low efficiency nitrogen fertilisers especially in sandy soils due to leaching, its poor organic matter content, and weak water retention which, cause a great loss for nitrogen fertilizer [31].

Conclusion

From the previous results, recommendation is that using cyanobacteria as a biofertilizer combined with 75% nitrogen ratio may be useful for the quality and productivity of peanut plants

on sandy soil, while soil drench application type is more beneficial than other types of applications. To confirm and genuinely endorse this study, it must be done again with peanut and some other cereal crops.

References

- [1] Yong Chen, Shen, Xuefeng, Xueming Xiao, Zhaoxia Dong, "Silicon effects on antioxidative enzymes and lipid peroxidation in leaves and roots of peanut under aluminum stress." *Acta Physiologiae* 2014;36(11): 3063-3069.
- [2] Singh S P, Pathak J., Sinha R P, Cyanobacterial factories for the production of green energy and value-added products: an integrated approach for economic viability. *Renew. Sustain Energy Rev.* 2017; 69: 578-595.
- [3] Meena M, Swapnil P , Barupal T, Sharma K. A review on infectious pathogens and mode of transmission. *J. Plant Pathol. Microbiol.* 2019;10:1-4.
- [4] Hall DO, Markov SA, Watanabe Y, Rao KK, The potential applications of cyanobacterial photosynthesis for clean technologies. *Photosynth. Res.*,1995; 46: 159-167.
- [5] Shashirekha,S, Uma,L, Subramanian G. Phenoldegradationby themarine cyanobacterium *Phormidium valderianum* BDU-30501. *J. Ind. Microbiol.Biotechnol.*1997;19: 130-133.
- [6] Donna R Hill, Peat A , Potts M. Biochemistry and structure of the glycan secreted by desiccation-tolerant *Nostoc commune* (Cyanobacteria). *Protoplasma.* 1994; 182:126-148 .
- [7] Flaibani A., Olsen, Y, Painter, T J. Polysaccharides in desert reclamation: composition of exocellular proteoglycan complexes produced by filamentous bluegreen and unicellular green edaphicalgae. *Carbohydrate Res.*1989; 190:235-248.
- [8] Prasad RC, Prasad BN. Cyanobacteria as a source biofertilizer for sustainable agriculture in Nepal, *J. Plant Sci. Bot. Orient.* 2001;1: 127-133.
- [9] Malliga P, Uma L, Subramanian G., Lignolytic activity of the cyanobacterium *Anabaena azollae* ML2 and the value of coir waste as a carrier for BGA biofertilizer, *Microbios.*1996;86 : 175-183.

- [10] Rodríguez AA, Stella AM, Storni MM, Zulpa G, Zaccaro MC, Effects of cyanobacterial extracellular products and gibberellic acid on salinity tolerance in *Oryza sativa* L . Saline Systems.2006;1448:2-7.
- [11] Thajuddin N, Subramanian G, Cyanobacterial biodiversity and potential applications in biotechnology. Curr. Sci., 2005; 89: 47-57.
- [12] H. Saadatnia, H. Riahi, Cyanobacteria from paddy fields in Iran as a biofertilizer in rice plants, Plant Soil Environ.,2009;55: 207-212.
- [13] Wilson LT, Cyanobacteria: a potential nitrogen source in rice fields. Texas Rice. 2006; 6: 9-10.
- [14] Ibraheem IB, Cyanobacteria as alternative biological conditioners for bioremediation of barren soil. Egypt. J. Phycol.,2007; 8: 99-116.
- [15] Moran R. Formulae for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N, N-dimethylformamide. Plant Physiology. 1982;69(6):1376-1381.
- [16] AOAC. 1995. Official methods of analysis. Washington: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- [17] Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R.. Methods of soil analysis. 2nd Edn., Amercen Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI., USA. 1982.
- [18] Rasmussen, C., Heckman, K., Wieder, W.R., Keiluweit, M., Lawrence, C.R., Berhe, A.A., Blankinship, J.C.,*et al.*,Beyond clay: towards an improved set of variables for predicting soil organic matter content. Biogeochem., 2018.137(3):297-306.
- [19] Casida Jr L, Klein DA, Santoro T. Soil dehydrogenase activity. Soil Sci.,1964; 98:371-376.
- [20] Guo, Huan, Jun Yao, Minmin Cai, Yiguang Qian, Yue Guo, Hans H. Richnow, Ruth E. Blake, Serena Doni, and Brunello Ceccanti. "Effects of petroleum contamination on soil microbial numbers, metabolic activity and urease activity." Chemosphere. 2012; 87:(11): 1273-1280.
- [21] Rashid, Asmat, Mohmad Ramzan Mir, and Khalid Rehman Hakeem. "Biofertilizer use for sustainable agricultural production." Plant, Soil and Microbes. Springer, Cham, 2016;163-180.
- [22] Song, Weihua, Terri Teshiba, Kathleen Rein, Kevin E. O'Shea. "Ultrasonically induced degradation and detoxification of microcystin-LR (cyanobacterial toxin)." Environ. Sci. Technol., 2005;39(16): 6300-6305.
- [23] Chittora, Deepali, Mukesh Meena, Tansukh Barupal, Prashant Swapnil, and Kanika Sharma. "Cyanobacteria as a source of biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture." Biochem. biophys. reports .,2020; 22: 1-10.
- [24] Karthikeyan N, Prasanna R, Sood A, Jaiswal P, Nayak S, Kaushik BD. Physiological characterization and electron microscopic investigations of cyanobacteria associated with wheat rhizosphere. Folia Microbiol., 2009., 54:43- 51.
- [25] Zulpa, G., M. F. Siciliano, M. C. Zaccaro, M. Storni, M. Palm Effect of cyanobacteria on the soil micro-flora activity and maize remains degradation in a culture chamber experiment. Inter. J. Agric. Biol., 2008;1814-1821.

- [26] Tripathi, S., Kumari, S., Chakraborty, A., Gupta, A., Chakrabarti, K., Bandyapadhyay, B.K. Microbial biomass and its activities in salt-affected coastal soils. *Biol. Fertil. Soils.*, 2006; 42:273-277.
- [27] Liang, Y.C., Yang, Y.F., Yang, C.G., Shen, Q.R., Zhou, J.M., Yang, L.Z. Soil enzymatic activity and growth of rice and barley as influenced by organic manure in an anthropogenic soil. *Geoderma*. 2003,115,149-160.
- [28] Mishra, Upasana, and Sunil Pabbi. "Cyanobacteria: a potential biofertilizer for rice" *Resonance*. 2004.9: 6-10.
- [29] Badawy M. A. effect of soil and foliar fertilization with urea in yield, yield components and quality of sugar beat. (*Beta vulgaris*). *J.Agric.sci.Mansoura.Univ.*, 1996; 21: 3083-3096.
- [30] Wani, P. A., M. S. Khan, and A. Zaidi. Synergistic effects of the inoculation with nitrogenfixing and phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria on the performance of field-grown chickpea. *J. Plant Nutri. Soil Sci.*, 2007;170: (2): 283-287.
- [31] Ghazal F. M., Ahmed, S. M., El- Sayed G. A. M., Abd El-Rasoul Sh. M. Integrated effect of n-forms in mineral and organic with or without cyanobacteria inoculation on improving peanut productivity. *J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ.*, 2007; 32: 10769 -10781.