

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_92100
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Glu298Asp polymorphism of eNOS gene with cardiovascular diseases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the article presented "Association of Glu298Asp polymorphism of eNOS gene with cardiovascular diseases" the authors present a research regarding polymorphism in eNOS gene and the relationship with hypertension. I would recommend an extensive english revision, some passages are hard to follow and they tend to be repetitive. Some questions 1. It is not clear why they choose that polymorphism in particular 2. Why the generate they aged classes, which is the basis? 3. In the table 2 the total n is 224, but the participants are 112 in total, please check.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Valeska Cid-Jofré
Department, University & Country	Chile