

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_90759
Title of the Manuscript:	HEPATOPROTECTIVE ACTIVITY OF AN AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF CASHEW APPLE (<i>Anacardium occidentale</i>) IN RATS AND MICE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalbjournal.com/index.php/BJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Name of the plant material used is title is wrong. It should be <i>Anacardium occidentale</i> L.2. What is the basis for the study on Hepato-protective activity provide any literature suggesting its use in traditional literature.3. What are the phytoconstituents present in the sample. No preliminary phytochemical studies done.4. Is the plant material was authenticated by any taxonomist? If yes provide the details.5. Animal ethical committee clearance not obtained? If yes provide the reference number.6. Any acute toxicity studies done? If no why and if Yes provide details.7. <i>The results could have been effectively represented in graphical format.</i>8. <i>Discussion and conclusion part is written very poorly. Correlate the results with possible secondary metabolites present in the sample with possible mechanism of action.</i>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Many spelling mistakes and syntax errors in the manuscript. [eg. All full stops are replaced by comma. 200± 20,56.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> <i>Institutional animal ethical committee clearance not mentioned in the manuscript.</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kirankumar Hullatti
Department, University & Country	Cauvery College of Pharmacy, India