

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_91744
Title of the Manuscript:	Arial phytomass production and growth rate in Andean grasslands, at harvesting and application of natural fertilizers
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is interesting article. However, I have some suggestions to improve manuscript quality.</p> <p>Please write the scientific name as well with common name at first mentioning in manuscript.            Unit and number must not be attached. Please revise in whole manuscript.            Wang et al., (2017) Please cite the references correctly as per journal guideline            Please describe that how this study helped to overcome these research gaps            Please improve the coherence in writeup            Please cite the references in text of not more than 5 years old            Error bars are missing in the graphs like Fig. 3            Please combine the results and discussion sections            Mechanistic details ae missing in results and discussion. Please add the relevant details.            Discussion is not up to the mark. Please improve the discussion by providing supportive or conflicting results from other published reports.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kashif Ameer
Department, University & Country	University of Sargodha, Pakistan