

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_91568
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHNOBOTANICAL SURVEY AND AGROMORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF GINGER (ZINGIBER OFFICINALE ROSC., ZINGIBERACEAE) CULTIVARS IN SOUTH BENIN
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The topic of research was good.</p> <p>In the introduction, a clear cut aim of the study was missing related the materials and methods as well as result discussed here.</p> <p>The materials and methods was good.</p> <p>The result was stated well.</p> <p>The discussion was very brief. Can be explained more.</p> <p>Should collect more literature and can be discussed more effectively. Since more parameters were presented in the result was not fully stated in the discussion.</p> <p><b>Agromorphological</b> should be like <b>Agro-morphological</b> (correction required throughout the article)</p> <p>Fig 2 has words other than English.</p> <p>Fig 2: Table and chart are repeated. What is the need of both there?</p> <p>Fig 5 usage of brackets should be proper</p> <p>Table 5 :Abbreviation used should be explained</p> <p>Fig 9 abbreviation used in the dendrogram can be explained</p> <p>In most of the places, the square bracket [ ] was misplaced.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>V. N. Meena Devi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India</b>