

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_91555
Title of the Manuscript:	Non surgical management of immature permanent teeth with open apex and large periapical lesion- 8 months follow up.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> In methods section, write the manufacture, place and country for all the materials and equipment used in the study. 1.25% sodium hypochlorite was used in the study. However the recommended percentage for clinical use 2.5 %. Kindly justify. In methodology section, it is not clear whether the Metapex was replaced every time when the patient was recalled at 2, 3, 5, 6 and 8 month intervals, or only radiographic evaluation was done. check for grammatical errors, punctuation marks through out the manuscript. Write the composition of Metapex in detail either when describing it in introduction or discussion part. Include its disadvantages. The results of the study at the end are appreciable, however the follow up period is less. It is recommended to do follow up up to 4 years. In discussion, write some comparative studies and elaborate the discussion. Also include grey studies of metapex if any. It will be better if you add limitations of your study at the end of discussion. Kindly find yellow high lighted words/phrases in the manuscript and check for spelling or write complete manufacture details including manufactures, place, country . 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The treatment of choice in such type of case is apexification, i.e. establishing an apical barrier.^[3] - it would be better you can write various treatment options available. Including the newer methods in introduction. 	
Optional/General comments	Check grammar, punctuation marks through out the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiran R Halkai
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India