

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_91669
Title of the Manuscript:	Contemporary Preservice Mathematics Teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge Levels in Perspective: Self-reported Survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT Author need to mention « which sample size software tool» specifically used ?? is it PASS or other (i),(iii) I think between 1 & 3 there is (ii)2 , in numeration system. Pleas correct it .</p> <p>methodology Readers do not have sufficient knowledge about Ghanaian education system Particularly Teacher training program. While you are mentioning levels (100,200,300,and 400) , is that same as saying Level 1 , 2,3 and 4 or can we assume y1,y2,y3 and y4 ? so you need to make clear for readers.??</p> <p>Questionnaire Items The nature of the questionnaire items that asking about PK, TK, CK, PCK, TCK, TPK, TPACK is not mentioned. How do we know the right question item is asked for PK items or TK items etc. I suggest the author to show this item in the Likert scale table in the result rather than the mean score for items. or author need to attach the questionnaire as an appendix, so that we feel confident on the outcome.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dejene Girma Denbel
Department, University & Country	Dilla University, Ethiopia