

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_91645
Title of the Manuscript:	A Game-Theoretic Credit Period and Promotion Model in a Supplier-Retailer Channel
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include what is going on in section 3. 2. Cite all the references included. 3. Parameters of the abstract are: what, how and why. What: It includes definition of the research work means what is going on in research work. How: It covers methodology part defines a technique that help to execute a research. Why: It focus application of the research work means where it can use. Overall, abstract is meaningful. 4. Conclusion ends by summing up the arguments, so it is good. 5. Differentiate preliminary work and the author's innovative work 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Mahalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College, India