

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_90120
Title of the Manuscript:	On The Comparison of Adam-Bashforth and Adam Moulton Methods for Non-Stiff Differential Equations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Please, try to state the reason(s) that informed this comparison of these two methods, while did you not compare other multi-step methods, like Nytrom and Milne-simpson?</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	1. Why did you use the steps $k=3$ and 4, state the reason. 2. State the meanings of D and C as used in your methodology in equation (1) also, define h.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, this work is a well organized work, it is highly recommendable. If these points raised are addressed, I believe the work will highly be education and inspiring to upcoming researchers.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onu Obineke Henry
Department, University & Country	Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Nigeria