

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_90315
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Non-Eroding Discharge Based on the Threshold Velocity of Particle Motion and Soil Texture Triangle in Irrigation Furrows
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Many mentioned references in Introduction text viz. Walker & Skogeboe, 1987, Buffington & Montgomery, 1997, Gessler (1971) and Miller (1977), Alfadi (2012), Simoes (2014), Wang et al. (2015), Nalluri (1984) etc are missing in list of references part.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Part of paper structure viz. Abstract, Introduction, Methodology, Discussion, Conclusion etc. should be written in UPPERCASE as per guideline of journal.  <b>REFERENCES:</b> Mention references as per pattern of journal. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [1,2]	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Manuscript is good and well written. It is publishable in the present form or after minor revision.	

Comment [DND1]: Reference missing at the end of the manuscript.

Comment [DND2]: Reference missing at the end of the manuscript part References.

Comment [DND3]: Reference missing at the end of the manuscript part References.

Comment [DND4]: Reference missing at the end of the manuscript part References.

Comment [DND5]: Reference missing at the end of the manuscript part References.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rupesh Kumar Meena
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Rajasthan Agricultural University, India