

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_92951
Title of the Manuscript:	Thinking the Unthinkable: Indonesia's Search for a Reliable Partnership between the US and China
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> Clearly discuss the objective of the study, methodology, research finding, recommendation for future research etc.)</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Some paragraphs are presented without references and the direction of the research is still not clear</p> <p><b>Theoretical framework:</b> Need to add the similar study with your research and how your research differ from others research should be discussed clearly in this part</p> <p><b>Research Methodology: MISSING</b></p> <p><b>Results and discussion:</b> What are your research results? How do you discuss your research findings? How do you find your research results? How this research was carried out? NOT CLEAR</p> <p>Most of the references in the whole of your paper are too old. The latest study related with your research should be clearly discussed to support your discussion</p> <p><b>Reference:</b> Some of your references lists are not listed in paper body. Please re-check and make your ALL your references list should be put in paper body</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Please make revision based on my comments	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inda Sukati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Putera Batam, Indonesia