

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_91908
Title of the Manuscript:	READINESS OF VIETNAMESE HIGH SCHOOLS FOR DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION AND ITS INFLUENCING FACTORS: A QUANTITATIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is very interesting and pertinent. It is well written and it is structured according to a scientific paper. Although there are some changes that must be done: Key-words: too vague. Introduction: very extensive, the authors should be more synthetic and precise. Methodology; it is mandatory to integrate information about the procedure and the data analysis in order to be possible to replicate the study. Conclusions: authors could analyse in-depth the main implications of their results.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Susana Sofia Pereira da Silva
Department, University & Country	School of Hospitality and Tourism, Portugal