

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_91893
Title of the Manuscript:	CHALLENGES FACING PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH OF GHANA CHOIRS IN MAMPONG MUNICIPALITY IN ASHANTI REGION OF GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Overall, this research has met the research standards, both from the method used and the selected sample. The selected research variables are also very interesting to study. because this uses qualitative research methods, it would be nice if the researcher could include one or two paragraphs of arguments from the respondents in the research results column, to confirm the results of the research that has been done. Other than that, it's pretty good and could be published soon.	
Optional/General comments	The choice of words and narration of each paragraph is easy for readers to understand. The plot is well structured and clear, starting from writing the research title, abstract, results and discussion, conclusions, and keywords in the research. The results of the research in this journal have been described quite clearly, the discussion is made as detailed as possible, making it easier for readers to understand the results of the research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Rapiq Hilal
Department, University & Country	Sunan Kalijaga State Islamic University, Indonesia