

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_93113
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the hypolipidemic effect of Moringa oleifera seeds based on zebrafish hyperlipidemia model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1)The title page should contain a brief title, name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations.The name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers (with country and area code) along with full postal address and e-mail address.</li><li>2) References should follow the style as per manuscript guidelines.</li><li>3) Check author guidelines for declaration of AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS, CONSENT,ETHICAL APPROVAL.</li><li>4) Mode of action of active compounds should be predicted from previous papers.</li><li>5) Positive and negative controls should be analysed with reasons that might increase or decrease in values.</li><li>6) How standard is reflecting on evaluation of data should be properly discussed.</li><li>7) Along with high yielding best results, low yielding results should be discussed and reason for high and low yields should be analysed and discussed.</li><li>8)Results should be graphically explained for better understanding.</li><li>9) Software used should be mentioned clearly along with version number.</li><li>10)Introduction part is to be extended. Review of literature is not sufficient.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) There should be spacing in text after full stop and in between words.</li><li>2) Paragraph and line spacing should be properly adjusted.</li><li>3) Short forms should not be used in written part.</li><li>4)Scientific names should be in Italics.</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) Highlighted yellow-already corrected.</li><li>2) Highlighted green-need to be corrected.</li></ol>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Surya Satya Sravanthi Pammi
Department, University & Country	SVRK Government Degree College, India