

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_93113
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the hypolipidemic effect of Moringa oleifera seeds based on zebrafish hyperlipidemia model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaprij.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Abstract:</b> its good</li> <li><b>Introduction:</b> its good</li> <li><b>Materials and Methods</b>  <b>Materials and reagents</b> : no comment  <b>Methods:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>please correct it, because it is not in line with the brief description described in the abstract.</li> <li>The variation in the concentration of the extract tested in the method is not clear, while in the abstract it is explained. It should be made accordingly.</li> </ol> </li> <li><b>Results and Discussions:</b>  There is no match between the abstract, the method and the results described. Because, in the method there is no description of the control group, but in the results and discussions there is data that is presented and discussed.</li> <li><b>Conclusion:</b> please corrected. Because in the study there was no mention of nutrient and phytochemical components in the sample, why suddenly draw the content as a conclusion. The conclusion is better related to the data obtained and discussed.</li> <li><b>References:</b> Please correct the writing of et al in the reference is not common. Please include all authors' names in the reference. Adjust to the existing journal reference format</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kasta Gurning
Department, University & Country	Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Senior Medan, Indonesia