

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_92068
Title of the Manuscript:	Biomarker: A potential novel therapeutic target for early detection of Lung cancer
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	<i>SUGGESTIONS:</i>	
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Title	Biomarker: A Potential Novel Therapeutic Target for Early Detection of Lung Cancer {Observe strictly the Editor Norms as far as Capital letters!}	
10. References	Observe irregular 'spaces' between/among words/terms!!! – See Editor Norms!	
Minor REVISION comments		
{Lines}	{79} "... prevalent, predominant or frequent..." site for the tumors(*) to spread	
{79}..... 'popular' site for the tumors to spread.....	(*) or	
Sub-Items {3.1, 3.1.1,...4.1 ,... 5.1 , 5.2 , ... 6.1 ,... 6.2 etc...}...	'tumours'....	
{73/74}	Observe Editor Norms as far as positions of "Sub-Items" in the main text.	
{180} 8. Conclusion and Discussion:	Please, observe continuity...! Please, avoid unnecessary space between lines 183 and 184 ... {... body...that can help...}.	
Optional/General comments		
General Comments	Observe strictly all Editor Norms, and the appropriate use of Capital letters! I do consider this particular Manuscript as a very important theme and subject!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandyr Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil