

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_91190
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary cardiac lymphoma diagnosed and subtyped on pericardial fluid cytology
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- In the clinical history section it is mentioned that the patient is at risk of hemodynamic instability, and not suitable for chemotherapy. Although, there is no medical information of such instability. In addition, it should be discussed why the chemotherapy option was ruled out even though the patient was clinically stable at discharge.</p> <p>2- The patient was treated with a single fraction of palliative therapy. It would be nice to know which Grays was given to the patient and include a reference to the literature of such a therapeutic approach</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>3- The patient had atrial flutter. Although there is no information on whether the flutter was secondary to the PCL and whether it reversed after radiotherapy consistent with the statement that the patient was stable at discharge.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>4- The author(s) should consider including a sagittal section/cut of the PET-MR to show more clearly the location and FDG uptake of the PCL and eventually the involvement of the SVC.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Mendoza
Department, University & Country	Czech Republic