

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Asian Oncology Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AORJ_90716 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A opportunistic bacteremic infection by <i>Nocardia farcinica</i> in patient with advanced brain cancer in at an oncology centre in North India |
| Type of the Article | Case study |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Spelling errors in the title and content to be viewed seriously.2. Without complete background check, terms like "FIRST CASE" to be avoided.3. Was MIC by broth microdilution done? If so, what is the result?4. AST by disc diffusion method is not recommended and it is not wise to mention about it.5. References are inadequate and regional references needs to be added. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. A good case report should have clinical images, laboratory finding's images and radiological images pertaining to the case. Include images.2. Case to be discussed in brief. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Name: | Harish. M |
| Department, University & Country | SIMATS, India |