

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_92697
Title of the Manuscript:	Non recurrent inferior laryngeal nerve: case report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors have written a manuscript titled "Non recurrent inferior laryngeal nerve: case report". No doubt, the topic is interesting still I feel incorporation of suggested comments will help in improving the quality of the paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Formatting is not upto the mark. Please rectify.</li><li>2. Lot of grammatical errors are present. Please correct.</li><li>3. Abstract can be rewritten adding need, work done, results obtained and significance of the work.</li><li>4. Introduction can be rewritten by focussing more on need of the work. Also add the outline of the work like section 1 deals with.. section 2 discusses....</li><li>5. Work can be discussed in details in case presentation.</li><li>6. Results need to be discussed in details in discussion.</li><li>7. Conclusion can be rewritten focussing more on work done, results obtained, limitations of the work and the future scope of the work.</li><li>8. More references needs to be added.</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Please incorporate the suggested comments to improve the quality of the paper	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jyoti Dabass
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Technology, India